

Σ_α^0 -Admissible Representations (Extended Abstract)

Matthew de Brecht and Akihiro Yamamoto

Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University
Yoshida Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan 606-8501
matthew@iip.ist.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp
akihiro@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract. We investigate a hierarchy of representations of topological spaces by measurable functions that extends the traditional notion of admissible representations common to computable analysis. Specific instances of these representations already occur in the literature (for example, the naive Cauchy representation of the reals and the “jump” of a representation), and have been used in investigating the computational properties of discontinuous functions. Our main contribution is the integration of a recently developing descriptive set theory for non-metrizable spaces that allows many previous results to generalize to arbitrary countably based T_0 topological spaces. In addition, for a class of topological spaces that include the reals (with the Euclidean topology) and the power set of ω (with the Scott-topology), we give a complete characterization of the functions that are (topologically) realizable with respect to the level of the representations of the domain and codomain spaces.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we introduce and investigate the topological properties of a hierarchy of representations of topological spaces, which we call Σ_α^0 -admissible representations. A partial function $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is called a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$) of the topological space X if and only if ρ is Σ_α^0 -measurable and every Σ_α^0 -measurable partial function to X is continuously reducible to ρ (see Definition 4). As Σ_1^0 -measurable functions are exactly the continuous functions, a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation is the same as the traditional notion of an “admissible” representation common to computable analysis (see [12] and [9]). A well known example of a Σ_2^0 -admissible representation is the naive Cauchy representation of the reals [4], and examples of representations in the finite levels of the hierarchy can be obtained iteratively by taking the “jump” of a representation [14]. These representations have been used in investigating the computational properties of discontinuous functions (see [3], [14], and [5]).

Whereas previous results have focused on metrizable spaces and finite levels of the hierarchy, in this paper we will investigate these representations for arbitrary countably based T_0 spaces and all countable levels of the hierarchy. Perhaps one reason that previous research has been restricted to metrizable spaces

is that the classical definition of the Borel hierarchy behaves rather poorly on non-metrizable spaces. Since the domain of a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation is a metrizable space, we can use the classical definition of the Borel hierarchy in defining these representations, even for arbitrary topological spaces. However, to better understand their properties, a slight modification of the definition of the Borel hierarchy is needed for non-metrizable spaces. It turns out that the correct definition is the one that has only recently been used by Tang [11] in studying $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and more extensively studied by Selivanov (see [10] for a survey). Using this modification, it can be shown that the Borel complexity of a subset of a countably based T_0 space is exactly determined by the complexity of the preimage of the set under a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation (see Corollary 3 below). Similar properties hold for higher levels of the hierarchy, and this regularity allows us to easily characterize the types of functions that are topologically realizable with respect to these representations. In particular, we give a complete characterization for a class of topological spaces that include the reals and $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ (see Theorem 9), and have also extended some important realizability results by Brattka [3] and Ziegler [14] to all countably based T_0 -spaces (see Theorem 8).

A final result worth mentioning is that, given a representation $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ of a set X , if there is a sequential topology τ on X that makes ρ a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation, then both τ and α are uniquely determined (see Corollary 4). Thus, Σ_α^0 -admissible representations provide a useful means of characterizing representations that cannot be interpreted as being admissible in the usual (continuous) sense.

We will define the Borel hierarchy for arbitrary topological spaces and review its basic properties in the next section. In Section 3 we will investigate some basic properties of Σ_α^0 -measurable functions between topological spaces. We prove that Σ_α^0 -admissible representations exist for all countable ordinals α and all countably based T_0 spaces in Section 4, and further investigate their properties in Section 5. Section 6 investigates which functions between topological spaces are realizable with respect to Σ_α^0 -admissible representations, and we conclude in Section 7. Several proofs have been omitted due to a lack of space. They can be obtained by contacting the first author.

2 The Borel Hierarchy

In this section we define the Borel hierarchy on arbitrary topological spaces and introduce some basic properties. We will use a definition of the Borel hierarchy that differs from the classical definition (e.g., the definition in [7]) on non-metrizable spaces, but is more suitable for general topological spaces.

We let ω_1 denote the least uncountable ordinal, ω the set of natural numbers (or the first infinite ordinal), and for sets A and B we let $A \setminus B$ denote the subset of A of elements not in B .

Definition 1. *Let X be a topological space. For each ordinal α ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$) we define $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ inductively as follows.*

1. $\Sigma_1^0(X)$ is the set of all open subsets of X .
2. For $\alpha > 1$, $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ is the set of all subsets A of X which can be expressed in the form

$$A = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i \setminus B'_i,$$

where for each i , B_i and B'_i are in $\Sigma_{\beta_i}^0(X)$ for some $\beta_i < \alpha$.

We define $\Pi_\alpha^0(X) = \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)\}$, $\Delta_\alpha^0(X) = \Sigma_\alpha^0(X) \cap \Pi_\alpha^0(X)$, and $\mathbf{B}(X) = \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1} \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$. \square

The above definition of the Borel hierarchy is equivalent to the definition that was used by Tang [11] in studying descriptive set theory on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ (the power set of the natural numbers with the Scott-topology), and more systematically investigated by Selivanov (see [10] for a survey of results and an extensive list of references).

The classical definition of the Borel hierarchy (which requires $B_i = X$ for all i in the second clause of Definition 1) is not suitable for non-metrizable spaces. For example, consider the Sierpinski space $\mathcal{S} = \{\perp, \top\}$ (where $\{\top\}$ is open, but $\{\perp\}$ is not). If we used the classical definition then $\Sigma_{2n+1}^0(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of open subsets of \mathcal{S} and $\Sigma_{2n+2}^0(\mathcal{S})$ is the closed subsets, so $\Sigma_{2n+1}^0(\mathcal{S}) \not\subseteq \Sigma_{2n+2}^0(\mathcal{S})$ (for $0 \leq n < \omega$). The Borel hierarchy defined in Definition 1 is equivalent to the classical definition for all metrizable spaces, and behaves as we expect it should even for non-metrizable spaces.

In the following, X and Y will denote arbitrary topological spaces, unless stated otherwise. The following results are easily proven, and can also be found in [10].

Proposition 1. For each α ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$),

1. $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ is closed under countable unions and finite intersections,
2. $\Pi_\alpha^0(X)$ is closed under countable intersections and finite unions,
3. $\Delta_\alpha^0(X)$ is closed under finite unions, finite intersections, and complementation.

\square

Proposition 2. If $\beta < \alpha$ then $\Sigma_\beta^0(X) \cup \Pi_\beta^0(X) \subseteq \Delta_\alpha^0(X)$. \square

Proposition 3. For $\alpha > 2$, each $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ can be expressed in the form $A = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i$, where for each i , B_i is in $\Pi_{\beta_i}^0(X)$ for some $\beta_i < \alpha$. \square

Proposition 4. If X is a metrizable space, then every $A \in \Sigma_2^0(X)$ is equal to a countable union of closed sets. \square

Proposition 5. If X is a subspace of Y , then $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X) = \{A \cap X \mid A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(Y)\}$ and $\Pi_\alpha^0(X) = \{A \cap X \mid A \in \Pi_\alpha^0(Y)\}$. \square

A topological space X is called a T_D -space if every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ is locally closed, i.e. $\{x\}$ is equal to the intersection of an open set and a closed set. T_D is a separation axiom proposed by Aull and Thron [2] that is strictly between the T_0 and T_1 axioms.

Proposition 6. *For any first-countable topological space X ,*

1. *Every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\Pi_2^0(X)$ $\iff X$ is a T_0 -space,*
2. *Every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\Delta_2^0(X)$ $\iff X$ is a T_D -space,*
3. *Every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\Pi_1^0(X)$ $\iff X$ is a T_1 -space,*
4. *Every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\Delta_1^0(X)$ $\iff X$ is a discrete space.*

□

3 Σ_α^0 -measurable functions

In this section we will investigate some basic properties of Σ_α^0 -measurable functions. Below, we will write $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ to indicate that f is a partial function from X to Y . The domain of definition of f will be denoted $\text{dom}(f)$. We say that $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is *continuous* if and only if for every open $U \subseteq Y$, there is open $V \subseteq X$ such that $f^{-1}(U) = V \cap \text{dom}(f)$. In other words, $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if the total function $f: \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous with respect to the subspace topology on $\text{dom}(f)$.

Definition 2. *A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable if and only if for every open $U \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$. A partial function $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Σ_α^0 -measurable if and only if for every open $U \subseteq Y$, there is $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ such that $f^{-1}(U) = A \cap \text{dom}(f)$.* □

Equivalently, a partial function $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable if and only if for every open $U \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(f))$, where $\text{dom}(f)$ is given the relative topology.

For any fixed $\alpha > 1$, the Σ_α^0 -measurable functions are not closed under composition. To characterize how composition behaves, we will need ordinal addition. Addition on ordinals is defined recursively as follows:

1. $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$
2. $\alpha + (\beta + 1) = (\alpha + \beta) + 1 =$ the successor of $\alpha + \beta$.
3. $\alpha + \lambda = \lim_{\beta < \lambda} (\alpha + \beta)$ for limit ordinal λ .

Note that ordinal addition is non-commutative. For example, $1 + \omega = \omega \neq \omega + 1$. Also note that if $\alpha < \beta$, then there is a unique ordinal γ such that $\alpha + \gamma = \beta$.

Composing with continuous functions does not change the level of a function. For that reason it would have been more convenient for our purposes to define the Borel Hierarchy so that open sets and continuous functions were of level 0 (the additive identity for ordinals). To simplify the statement of some of the following theorems and proofs, we will often make use of the following ‘‘hat’’ notation, so that we can treat the Borel Hierarchy as if we defined the open sets to be at level 0.

Definition 3. *For $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, define $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha + 1$ if $\alpha < \omega$ and $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$ if $\alpha \geq \omega$.* □

Note that $\alpha < \beta \iff \widehat{\alpha} < \widehat{\beta}$ and $\widehat{\alpha + \beta} = \widehat{\alpha} + \beta$ hold for any countable ordinals α and β .

Lemma 1. *Let X and Y be countably based T_0 spaces. If $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$) and $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(Y)$ ($0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$), then $f^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(f))$. \square*

Theorem 1. *Let X, Y , and Z be countably based T_0 spaces, $f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ a Σ_α^0 -measurable function ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$), and $g: \subseteq Y \rightarrow Z$ a Σ_β^0 -measurable function ($0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$). Then $g \circ f: \subseteq X \rightarrow Z$ is $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -measurable. \square*

In particular, if f is Σ_2^0 -measurable and g is Σ_ω^0 -measurable, then due to the non-commutativity of ordinal addition, $g \circ f$ is Σ_ω^0 -measurable but $f \circ g$ is $\Sigma_{\omega+1}^0$ -measurable (assuming the compositions make sense).

The following is due to Wadge (this is Theorem 22.10 in [7]). We let ω^ω denote the Baire space.

Proposition 7 (Wadge). *If $B \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is in $\mathbf{B}(\omega^\omega) \setminus \Pi_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$), then for any $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$ there is continuous total $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $A = f^{-1}(B)$. \square*

We will need the following generalization of Wadge's results that characterize reductions using measurable functions.

Theorem 2. *For $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ and $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$, if $B \in \mathbf{B}(\omega^\omega) \setminus \Pi_\beta^0(\omega^\omega)$, then for any $A \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\omega^\omega)$ there exists a Σ_α^0 -measurable total function $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $A = f^{-1}(B)$. \square*

4 Existence of Σ_α^0 -admissible representations

The goal of this section is to show that every countably based T_0 space has a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation for $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ (Theorem 3 below). We also show the complexity of converting between representations of different levels (Theorem 4), and consider representations of representations of a space (Corollary 2), which is a generalization of Ziegler's "jump" of a representation [14].

Definition 4. *A Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of a topological space X is a Σ_α^0 -measurable partial function $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ such that for every Σ_α^0 -measurable partial function $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$, there exists continuous $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $f = \rho \circ g$. \square*

Note that the above definition implies that Σ_α^0 -admissible representations are always surjective. Clearly, a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation is equivalent to what is usually called an "admissible representation" in the computable analysis literature (see, e.g., [12] and [9]). The above definition applies to arbitrary topological spaces, but most of our results will focus on countably based spaces.

We let \mathcal{S} denote the Sierpinski space, which has only two points \top and \perp , and where $\{\top\}$ is open but $\{\perp\}$ is not open.

Proposition 8. *Let $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega) \setminus \Pi_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$ and define $\rho: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ so that $\rho(y) = \top$ if $y \in A$ and $\rho(y) = \perp$ if $y \notin A$. Then ρ is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation for \mathcal{S} .*

Proof. It is clear that ρ is Σ_α^0 -measurable. Let $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a Σ_α^0 -measurable partial function. Then $f^{-1}(\{\top\}) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(f))$, so there is $B \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$ such that $f^{-1}(\{\top\}) = B \cap \text{dom}(f)$. From Proposition 7 there is continuous $g: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $g^{-1}(A) = B$. Then for all $y \in \text{dom}(f)$, $f(y) = \top \iff g(y) \in A \iff \rho(g(y)) = \top$. Hence, by restricting the domain of g if necessary, $f = \rho \circ g$. \square

Corollary 1. *For $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ and $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$, if $\rho_{\alpha+\beta}: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -admissible representation of \mathcal{S} and $\rho_\beta: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation of \mathcal{S} , then there exists a Σ_α^0 -measurable function $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $\rho_{\alpha+\beta} = \rho_\beta \circ f$.*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 2 and Proposition 8. \square

Proposition 9. *If X is a subspace of Y and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow Y$ is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of Y , then $\rho_X: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ defined as the restriction of ρ to $\text{dom}(\rho_X) = \rho^{-1}(X)$, is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of X .* \square

Proposition 10. *If $\{X_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ and $\{Y_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ are all countably based T_0 -spaces, and for each i $f_i: \subseteq X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$), then $f^\omega: \subseteq \prod X_i \rightarrow \prod Y_i$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable, where $\prod X_i$ and $\prod Y_i$ are given the product topologies and f^ω is defined so that $f^\omega(\xi)(i) = f_i(\xi(i))$.* \square

For the following proposition, let $\phi: \omega^\omega \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^\omega$ be a homeomorphism.

Proposition 11. *Let X_i be a countably based T_0 space and $\rho_i: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X_i$ a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation for X_i ($i \in \omega$). Then $\rho^\omega \circ \phi$ is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation for $\prod X_i$.*

Proof. The proof that $\rho^\omega \circ \phi$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable follows from Proposition 10.

Let $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \prod X_i$ be a Σ_α^0 -measurable partial function. By the Σ_α^0 -admissibility of $\rho_i: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X_i$, for $i \in \omega$ there is continuous $g_i: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $\pi_i \circ f = \rho_i \circ g_i$, where $\pi_i: \prod X_i \rightarrow X_i$ is the i -th projection. Since π_i is a total function, we must have that $\text{dom}(f) = \text{dom}(\pi_i \circ f) \subseteq \text{dom}(g_i)$ for all $i \in \omega$. Define $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^\omega$ so that $g(\xi)(i) = g_i(\xi)$. Then $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \text{dom}(g)$ and

$$\rho^\omega(g(\xi))(i) = \rho_i(g(\xi)(i)) = \rho_i(g_i(\xi)) = \pi_i(f(\xi)) = f(\xi)(i),$$

so $f = \rho^\omega \circ g$. Define $h: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ as $h = \phi^{-1} \circ g$. Clearly, h is continuous and $f = \rho^\omega \circ g = \rho^\omega \circ \phi \circ h$. \square

Since every countably based T_0 space is homeomorphic to a subspace of \mathcal{S}^ω , we obtain the following.

Theorem 3. *For every countably based T_0 space X and every α ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$), there exists a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of X .* \square

The following can be proved for $X = \mathcal{S}^\omega$ by using representations obtained from Proposition 11 and applying Corollary 1 in parallel. Subspaces of \mathcal{S}^ω are handled by restricting the functions as necessary.

Theorem 4 (Reductions between representations). *Let X be a countably based T_0 -space. For $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ and $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$, if $\rho_{\alpha+\beta}: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is a $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -admissible representation of X and $\rho_\beta: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation of X , then there exists a Σ_α^0 -measurable function $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $\rho_{\alpha+\beta} = \rho_\beta \circ f$. \square*

Corollary 2 (Representations of representations). *Let X be a countably based T_0 space, $\rho_\beta: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation of X , and $\rho_\alpha: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \text{dom}(\rho_\beta)$ a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of $\text{dom}(\rho_\beta)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$). Then $\rho_\beta \circ \rho_\alpha: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is a $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -admissible representation of X .*

Proof. First note that $\rho_\beta \circ \rho_\alpha$ is $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -measurable by Theorem 1. Let $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ be a $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -admissible representation of X . By Theorem 4, there is a Σ_α^0 -measurable $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $\rho = \rho_\beta \circ f$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\text{range}(f) \subseteq \text{dom}(\rho_\beta)$, and so by the Σ_α^0 -admissibility of ρ_α there is a continuous $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $f = \rho_\alpha \circ g$. It follows that g is a continuous reduction of ρ to $\rho_\beta \circ \rho_\alpha$, thus $\rho_\beta \circ \rho_\alpha$ is $\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0$ -admissible. \square

Let $\iota': \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be a Σ_2^0 -admissible representation of ω^ω . By the above theorem, if $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation ($1 \leq \beta < \omega$) of a countably based T_0 space X , then $\rho \circ \iota'$ is a $\Sigma_{\beta+1}^0$ -admissible representation of X . This corresponds to Ziegler's "jump" of a representation [14]. However, it should be noted that if ρ is Σ_β^0 -admissible for $\beta \geq \omega$, then $\rho \circ \iota'$ is still Σ_β^0 -measurable and thus *not* $\Sigma_{\beta+1}^0$ -admissible.

5 Properties of Σ_α^0 -admissible representations

The main purpose of this section is to relate the Borel complexity of a subset of a space with the complexity of the preimage of the subset under a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation. These results will be useful in the following section where we characterize the functions that are realizable with respect to these representations.

Many of the following results are heavily dependent on the following proposition by J. Saint Raymond (Lemma 17 in [8]). Although the original statement of the result was in terms of metrizable spaces, it is easy to verify that the arguments in the proof hold for more general spaces when we define the Borel hierarchy according to Definition 1.

Proposition 12 (Saint-Raymond [8]). *Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an open continuous surjective total function with Polish fibers (i.e. $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is Polish for each $y \in Y$), where X is a separable metric space and Y is a countably based T_0 topological*

space. Then for every $A \subseteq Y$ and $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(Y)$ if and only if $\phi^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$. \square

Since every countably based T_0 space has a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation that is open and has Polish fibers (see Corollary 15 and Proposition 16 in [4]), we find that the Borel hierarchy is preserved under Σ_1^0 -admissible representations of countably based T_0 spaces.

Corollary 3. *Let X be a countably based T_0 space and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation of X . Then for $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ if and only if $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$. \square*

Our next goal is to generalize Corollary 3 to some Σ_α^0 -admissible representations. Let ω^* have as a base set $\omega \cup \{\infty\}$ and the topology so that U is open if and only if either $\infty \notin U$ or else U is cofinite (i.e., for some $m < \omega$, $n \in U$ for all $n \geq m$). Note that ω^* is the one-point compactification of ω with the discrete topology, hence the notation (which should not be confused with the set of finite strings of natural numbers).

Lemma 2. *Let $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^*$ be Σ_α^0 -admissible ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). Then $S \subseteq \omega^*$ is open if and only if $\rho^{-1}(S) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$. \square*

Definition 5. *Let X be an arbitrary topological space. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is sequentially open if and only if for every sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ that converges to $x \in A$, there is some m such that $x_n \in A$ for all $n \geq m$. X is a sequential space if and only if all sequentially open subsets of X are open. \square*

Note that all countably based spaces are sequential spaces (see Theorem 1.6.14 in [6]).

Theorem 5. *Let X be a sequential T_0 space and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ be Σ_α^0 -admissible ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). Then $U \subseteq X$ is open if and only if $\rho^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$.*

Proof. If U is open then $\rho^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$ holds because ρ is Σ_α^0 -measurable.

Assume that $\rho^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$ and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ be a sequence converging to $x \in U$. Define $f: \omega^* \rightarrow X$ so that $f(n) = x_n$ and $f(\infty) = x$. Then f is clearly continuous. If δ is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of ω^* , then $f \circ \delta$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable, so by the Σ_α^0 -admissibility of ρ there is continuous $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $f \circ \delta = \rho \circ g$. Since g is continuous, $\delta^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)) = g^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(U)) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\delta))$. It follows that $f^{-1}(U)$ is open by Lemma 2. Since $\infty \in f^{-1}(U)$, there is $m < \omega$ such that $n \in f^{-1}(U)$ for all $n \geq m$. Therefore, $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq m$. Since $\{x_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ and its limit $x \in U$ were arbitrary, U is sequentially open, hence open because X is a sequential space. \square

The rest of this section extends Theorem 5 to the entire hierarchy for a special class of topological spaces.

Lemma 3. *Let $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of ω^ω ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). For $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$ and $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$, $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(\omega^\omega)$ if and only if $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$. \square*

Lemma 4. *Let X be a zero-dimensional Polish space and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of X ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). For $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$, $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(X)$ if and only if $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$.*

Proof. For the non-trivial part of the lemma, we can assume that X is a closed subset of ω^ω (see Theorem 7.8 in [7]) and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is the restriction of a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation $\rho': \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ of ω^ω as in Proposition 9 (i.e., $\text{dom}(\rho) = (\rho')^{-1}(X)$, and $\rho = \rho'|_{\text{dom}(\rho)}$). It follows from these assumptions that $\text{dom}(\rho) \in \Pi_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$ because X is a closed subset of ω^ω and ρ' is Σ_α^0 -measurable.

The case $\beta = 0$ is the statement of Theorem 5, so assume $\beta \geq 1$ and $A \subseteq X$ is such that $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$. By Proposition 5 there is $B \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\omega^\omega)$ such that $\rho^{-1}(A) = B \cap \text{dom}(\rho)$. Since $\alpha < \alpha + \beta$ and $\text{dom}(\rho) \in \Pi_\alpha^0(\omega^\omega)$, $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\omega^\omega)$. Since $(\rho')^{-1}(A) = \rho^{-1}(A)$, it follows from Lemma 3 that $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(\omega^\omega)$ and hence $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(X)$. \square

Definition 6. *We will say that a space X has a Polish representation if and only if there is a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ of X such that $\text{dom}(\rho)$ with the subspace topology is a (zero-dimensional) Polish space.*

In particular, the real numbers with the Euclidean topology and $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ with the Scott-topology have Polish representations (an admissible representation of the reals with closed domain of definition is given in [13], and the representation $\delta: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ defined as $\delta(\xi) = \{n - 1 \mid \exists j(\xi(j) = n \neq 0)\}$ is total and can be shown to be admissible).

Theorem 6. *Let X be a countably based T_0 space with a Polish representation and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of X ($0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). For $0 \leq \beta < \omega_1$, $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(X)$ if and only if $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$.*

Proof. For the non-trivial part of the proof, let $\delta: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ be Σ_1^0 -admissible such that $\text{dom}(\delta)$ is Polish. Let $\delta': \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \text{dom}(\delta)$ be a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of $\text{dom}(\delta)$. Since $\delta \circ \delta'$ is Σ_α^0 -measurable, there is continuous $f: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $\delta \circ \delta' = \rho \circ f$.

Assume $A \subseteq X$ is such that $\rho^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\rho))$. Then $(\delta')^{-1}(\delta^{-1}(A)) = f^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(A)) \in \Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}^0(\text{dom}(\delta'))$ because f is continuous (here we are using the fact that $\text{dom}(\delta') \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$). It follows from Lemma 4 that $\delta^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_\beta^0(\text{dom}(\delta))$, hence $A \in \Sigma_\beta^0(X)$ from Corollary 3. \square

6 Realizability Theorems

In this section we will investigate which functions are realizable with respect to Σ_α^0 -admissible representations. We only consider topological realizability, and do not consider computational issues.

Definition 7. Let X and Y be arbitrary topological spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a function. We say that f is $\langle \Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\beta^0 \rangle$ -realizable by a Σ_γ^0 -measurable function if there is a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation ρ_X of X and a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation ρ_Y of Y and a Σ_γ^0 -measurable partial function $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $f \circ \rho_X = \rho_Y \circ g$. If a continuous such g exists, then we say that f is $\langle \Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\beta^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable. \square

Lemma 5. Let X be an arbitrary topological space, and $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ be a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of X ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$). Then X is a T_0 -space.

Proof. Exactly like Schröder's proof for Σ_1^0 -admissible representations (Theorem 13 in [9]). \square

Lemma 6. For $1 \leq \beta < \alpha < \omega_1$, a function from the discrete two point space $\mathbf{2}$ to the Sierpinski space \mathcal{S} is $\langle \Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\beta^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable if and only if it is a constant function. \square

Note that the following theorem does not assume that X and Y are countably based.

Theorem 7. Let X and Y be any topological spaces such that X has a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation and Y has a Σ_β^0 -admissible representation, where $1 \leq \beta < \alpha < \omega_1$. Then a function from X to Y is $\langle \Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\beta^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable if and only if it is a constant function. \square

Statement (3) in the following is a topological generalization of Brattka's extension [3] of the Kreitz-Weihrauch Representation Theorem [12] to all countably based T_0 -spaces and all countable ordinals. Statements (1) and (2) are generalizations of some results by Ziegler [14].

Theorem 8. Let X and Y be countably based T_0 spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a total function, and $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.

1. f is $\langle \Sigma_1^0, \Sigma_\alpha^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable if and only if f is Σ_α^0 -measurable,
2. f is $\langle \Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\alpha^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable if and only if f is continuous,
3. f is $\langle \Sigma_1^0, \Sigma_1^0 \rangle$ -realizable by a Σ_α^0 -measurable function if and only if f is Σ_α^0 -measurable.

Proof. The “if” part of (1) and (2) immediately follow from the definition of admissibility. For (3), assume f is Σ_α^0 -measurable. From statement (1) it follows that f is $\langle \Sigma_1^0, \Sigma_\alpha^0 \rangle$ -continuously realizable, and by Theorem 4 there is a Σ_α^0 -measurable reduction of any Σ_α^0 representation of Y to a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation of Y . Composing the two produces a Σ_α^0 -measurable function that $\langle \Sigma_1^0, \Sigma_1^0 \rangle$ -realizes f .

The proof of the “only if” parts are similar for all three statements, so we only prove (1). Let ρ_X be a Σ_1^0 -admissible representation of X , ρ_Y a Σ_α^0 -admissible representation of Y , and assume $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ is continuous such that $f \circ \rho_X = \rho_Y \circ g$. Let $U \subseteq Y$ be open. Then $\rho_X^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)) = g^{-1}(\rho_Y^{-1}(U)) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(\text{dom}(\rho_X))$ because ρ_Y is Σ_α^0 -measurable, g is continuous, and $\text{dom}(\rho_X) \subseteq \text{dom}(g)$. By Corollary 3, it follows that $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$, hence f is Σ_α^0 -measurable (for statement (2), use Theorem 5 instead of Corollary 3). \square

The following shows that, assuming that a representation of a set is admissible at some level with respect to some topology on the set, then the level of the representation and any corresponding sequential topology on the set is uniquely determined. Note, however, that it is easy to construct representations of a set that are not admissible at any level with respect to any topology on the set.

Corollary 4. *Let X be a set with at least two elements, and let $\rho: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ be an arbitrary function. If τ and τ' are two topologies on X such that ρ is Σ_α^0 -admissible ($1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$) with respect to τ , and ρ is Σ_β^0 -admissible ($1 \leq \beta < \omega_1$) with respect to τ' , then $\alpha = \beta$. If in addition τ and τ' are sequential topologies then $\tau = \tau'$. \square*

Finally, we give a complete characterization for the case that X has a Polish representation (recall that ordinal addition is non-commutative). Note that a generalization of Theorem 6 to all countably based T_0 -spaces would allow us to drop the ‘‘Polish representation’’ restriction on X .

Theorem 9. *Let X and Y be countably based T_0 spaces, and further assume X has a Polish representation. For any total function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and any countable ordinals α , β and γ , there exists a Σ_γ^0 -measurable $g: \subseteq \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ that $(\Sigma_\alpha^0, \Sigma_\beta^0)$ -realizes f if and only if:*

1. $\alpha > \gamma + \beta$ and f is a constant function, or
2. $\alpha \leq \gamma + \beta$ and f is a Σ_η^0 -measurable function, where η is (the unique ordinal) such that $\alpha + \eta = \gamma + \beta$.

\square

7 Conclusion

We have introduced and investigated the basic properties of a hierarchy of representations of topological spaces. Σ_α^0 -admissible representations provide a well-behaved topological interpretation of representations that can not be interpreted as admissible in the traditional (continuous) sense (see Corollary 4). These representations are also significant for better understanding the computational properties of discontinuous functions, which has been investigated for metric spaces in [3], [14], and [5].

The first open problem is to generalize Theorem 6 to all countably based T_0 -spaces. One difficulty in generalizing Saint-Raymond’s result (Proposition 12) is that the fibers of Σ_α^0 -admissible representations are not Polish in general.

A second open problem is to classify precisely which topological spaces have Σ_α^0 -admissible representations. An attractive conjecture is that they are exactly the spaces with Σ_1^0 -admissible representations, which were completely classified by Schröder [9].

Finally, a further refinement of the hierarchy would be useful, particularly between the continuous and Σ_2^0 representations. One interesting class of functions are the Δ_2^0 -functions (i.e., preimages of open sets are Δ_2^0 , or, equivalently, preimages of Σ_2^0 sets are Σ_2^0), which are closed under composition. Wadge reducibility

and game semantics for these functions have been investigated by Andretta [1]. Note that a Σ_2^0 -admissible representation of a discrete space can be interpreted as a “ Δ_2^0 -admissible” representation, and, because they are closed under composition, a Δ_2^0 -admissible representation can at best only determine the topology of the represented set up to Δ_2^0 -isomorphism (i.e., a bijection that along with its inverse is a Δ_2^0 -function).

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 19300046 from JSPS, and by Kyoto University Global COE “Information Education and Research Center for Knowledge-Circulating Society”. We thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

References

1. A. Andretta, *More on Wadge determinacy*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* **144** (2006), 2–32.
2. C. E. Aull and W. J. Thron, *Separation axioms between T_0 and T_1* , *Indag. Math.* **24** (1963), 26–37.
3. V. Brattka, *Effective Borel measurability and reducibility of functions*, *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* **51** (2005), 19–44.
4. V. Brattka and P. Hertling, *Topological properties of real number representations*, *Theoretical Computer Science* **284** (2002), 241–257.
5. V. Brattka and M. Makanise, *Limit computable functions and subsets*, (to appear).
6. R. Engelking, *General topology*, Heldermann, 1989.
7. A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
8. J. Saint Raymond, *Preservation of the Borel class under countable-compact-covering mappings*, *Topology and its Applications* **154** (2007), 1714–1725.
9. M. Schröder, *Extended admissibility*, *Theoretical Computer Science* **284** (2002), 519–538.
10. V. Selivanov, *Towards a descriptive set theory for domain-like structures*, *Theoretical Computer Science* **365** (2006), 258–282.
11. A. Tang, *Chain properties in $P(\omega)$* , *Theoretical Computer Science* **9** (1979), 153–172.
12. K. Weihrauch, *Computable analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
13. K. Weihrauch and C. Kreitz, *Representations of the real numbers and of the open subsets of the set of real numbers*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* **35** (1987), 247–260.
14. M. Ziegler, *Revising type-2 computation and degrees of discontinuity*, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* **167** (2007), 255–274.