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Abstract

The visibility representation (VR for short) is a classical representation of plane graphs. It has various applications and has been extensively studied. A main focus of the study is to minimize the size of the VR. It is known that there exists a plane graph \(G\) with \(n\) vertices where any VR of \(G\) requires a grid of size at least \(\frac{2}{3}n \times (\frac{2}{3}n - 3)\) (width \(\times\) height). For upper bounds, it is known that every plane graph has a VR with grid size at most \(\frac{2}{3}n \times (2n - 5)\), and a VR with grid size at most \((n - 1) \times \frac{2}{3}n\). It has been an open problem to find a VR with both height and width simultaneously bounded away from the trivial upper bounds (namely with size at most \(c_h n \times c_w n\) with \(c_h < 1\) and \(c_w < 2\)).

In this paper, we provide the first VR construction with this property. We prove that every plane graph of \(n\) vertices has a VR with height \(\leq \max\{\frac{23}{24}n + \frac{2}{3}\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 4, \frac{11}{12}n + 13\}\) and width \(\leq \frac{23}{12}n\). The area (height \(\times\) width) of our VR is larger than the area of some of previous results. However, bounding one dimension of the VR only requires finding a good \(st\)-orientation or a good dual \(st^*\)-orientation of \(G\). On the other hand, to bound both dimensions of VR simultaneously, one must find a good \(st\)-orientation and a good dual \(st^*\)-orientation at the same time, and thus is far more challenging. Since \(st\)-orientation is a very useful concept in other applications, this result may be of independent interests.
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1 Introduction

Drawing plane graphs has emerged as a fast growing research area in recent years (see [1] for a survey). A visibility representation (VR for short) is a classical drawing style of plane graphs, where the vertices of a graph \(G\) are represented by non-overlapping horizontal line segments (called vertex segment), and each edge of \(G\) is represented by a vertical line segment touching the vertex segments of its end vertices. Fig. 1 shows a VR of a plane graph \(G\). The problem of computing a compact VR is important not only in algorithmic graph theory, but also in practical applications. A simple linear time VR algorithm was given in [13, 14] for 2-connected plane graphs. It uses an \(st\)-orientation of \(G\) and the corresponding \(st\)-orientation of its \(st\)-dual \(G^*\) to construct a VR. Using this approach, the height of the VR is bounded by \((n - 1)\) and the width of the VR is bounded by \((2n - 5)\) [13, 14].

* Research supported in part by NSF Grant CCR-0635104.
As in many other graph drawing problems, one of the main concerns in the VR research is to minimize the grid size (i.e. the height and the width) of the representation. For the lower bounds, it was shown in [16] that there exists a plane graph $G$ with $n$ vertices where any VR of $G$ requires a grid of size at least $(\lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor \times \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 3)$. Some work has been done to reduce the height and width of the VR by carefully constructing special $st$-orientations.

Table 1 compares related previous results and new result in this paper. The line 1 in Table 1 gives the trivial upper bounds. All other results, except the line 10 and 11 (the result in this paper), concentrated on one dimension of the VR (either the width or the height). In Table 1, the un-mentioned dimension is bounded by the trivial upper bound (namely, $n - 1$ for the height and $2n - 5$ for the width). In [11, 12], heuristic algorithms were developed aiming at reducing the height and the width of VRs simultaneously. The line 10 in Table 1 is the only VR construction with simultaneously reduced height and width. However, it only works for 4-connected plane graphs. The line 11 shows the new result in this paper: we prove that every plane graph with $n$ vertices has a VR with height at most $\max\{\frac{23}{12}n + 2\sqrt{n} + 4, \frac{11}{12}n + 13\}$ and width at most $\frac{23}{12}n$. The representation can be constructed in linear time.

The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces preliminaries. Section 3 presents a decomposition lemma for plane graphs. Section 4 presents the construction of VR with the stated height and width. Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we only consider simple graphs (namely without self-loops and multiple edges). A planar graph is a graph $G = (V, E)$ such that the vertices of $G$ can be drawn in the plane and the edges of $G$ can be drawn as non-intersecting curves. Such a drawing is called an embedding. The embedding divides the plane into a number of connected regions. Each region is called a face. The unbounded face is the exterior face. The other faces are interior faces. The vertices and edges that are not on the boundary of the exterior face are called interior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \leq (2n - 5)$ [13, 14]</td>
<td>$\leq (n - 1)$ [13, 14]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \leq \lfloor \frac{3n - 6}{2} \rfloor$ [6]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \leq \lfloor \frac{22n - 32}{15} \rfloor$ [9]</td>
<td>$\leq (n - 1)$ [7]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4 \leq \lfloor \frac{5n}{6} \rfloor$ [16]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \leq \lfloor \frac{13n - 24}{9} \rfloor$ [17]</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\leq \lfloor \frac{3n}{4} \rfloor$ [15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6 \leq \lfloor \frac{19n - 21}{8} \rfloor$ [18]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7 \leq \frac{2n}{3} + \lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ [4]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8 \leq \frac{2n}{3} + 14$ [19]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$9 \leq \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 2$ [3]</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor - 4$ [2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10 \leq \frac{1}{3}n$ [5]</td>
<td>$\leq \frac{1}{3}n$ [5]</td>
<td>$\leq \frac{1}{3}n + 2\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 4$ [5]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$11 \leq \frac{22}{12}n$</td>
<td>$\leq \max{\frac{23}{12}n + 2\sqrt{n} + 4, \frac{11}{12}n + 13}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Previous and new results on the height and the width of VR. (For the line 8, the original bound given in [19] was Height $\leq 2n/3 + O(1)$. By a more careful calculation, the term $O(1)$ is actually 14.)
vertices and edges, respectively. A plane graph is a planar graph with a fixed embedding. A plane triangulation is a plane graph where every face is a triangle (including the exterior face). \(|G|\) denotes the number of vertices of \(G\). \(I(G)\) denotes the set of interior vertices of \(G\). Thus \(|I(G)| = |G| - 3\) for a plane triangulation \(G\).

For a path \(P\), \(\text{length}(P)\) (or \(|P|\)) denotes the number of edges in \(P\). For two vertices \(a, b\) in \(P\), \(P(a, b)\) denotes the sub-path of \(P\) from \(a\) to \(b\) (inclusive). (We slightly abuse the notation here: For a graph \(G\), \(|G|\) denotes the number of vertices in \(G\). For a path \(P\), \(|P|\) denotes the number of edges in \(P\).)

When discussing VRs, we assume the input graph \(G\) is a plane triangulation. (If not, we get a triangulation \(G'\) by adding dummy edges into \(G\). After constructing a VR for \(G'\), we can get a VR of \(G\) by deleting the vertical line segments for the dummy edges). From now on, \(G\) always denotes a plane triangulation.

A numbering \(\mathcal{O}\) of a set \(S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}\) is a one-to-one mapping between \(S\) and the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}\). We write \(\mathcal{O} = (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_k})\) to indicate \(\mathcal{O}(a_{i_1}) = 1\), \(\mathcal{O}(a_{i_2}) = 2\) etc. A set \(S\) with a numbering written this way is called an ordered list. For two elements \(a_i\) and \(a_j\), if \(a_i\) is assigned a smaller number than \(a_j\) in \(\mathcal{O}\), we write \(a_i <_{\mathcal{O}} a_j\). Let \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) be two disjoint sets. If \(\mathcal{O}_1\) is a numbering of \(S_1\) and \(\mathcal{O}_2\) is a numbering of \(S_2\), their concatenation, written as \(\mathcal{O} = (\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2)\), is the numbering of \(S_1 \cup S_2\) where \(\mathcal{O}(x) = \mathcal{O}_1(x)\) for all \(x \in S_1\) and \(\mathcal{O}(y) = \mathcal{O}_2(y) + |S_1|\) for all \(y \in S_2\).

\(G\) is called a directed graph (digraph) if each edge of \(G\) is assigned a direction. An orientation of a (undirected) graph \(G\) is a digraph obtained from \(G\) by assigning a direction to each edge of \(G\). We use \(G\) to denote both the resulting digraph and the underlying undirected graph unless otherwise specified. (Its meaning will be clear from the context.)

Let \(G = (V, E)\) be an undirected graph. A numbering \(\mathcal{O}\) of \(V\) induces an orientation of \(G\) as follows: each edge of \(G\) is directed from its lower numbered end vertex to its higher numbered end vertex. The resulting digraph, denoted by \(G_{\mathcal{O}}\), is called the orientation derived from \(\mathcal{O}\) which, obviously, is an acyclic digraph. We use \(\text{length}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O})\) (or simply \(\text{length}(\mathcal{O})\)) if \(G\) is clear from the context) to denote the length of the longest directed path in \(G_{\mathcal{O}}\).

For a 2-connected plane graph \(G\) and an exterior edge \((s, t)\), an orientation of \(G\) is called an st-orientation if the resulting digraph is acyclic with \(s\) as the only source and \(t\) as the only sink. Such a digraph is also called an st-graph. Lempel et al. [8] showed that for every 2-connected plane graph \(G\) and an exterior edge \((s, t)\), there exists an st-orientation. For more properties of st-orientation and st-graph, we refer readers to [10].

Let \(G\) be a 2-connected plane graph and \((s, t)\) an exterior edge. An st-numbering of \(G\) is a one-to-one mapping \(\xi : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), such that \(\xi(s) = 1, \xi(t) = n\), and each vertex \(v \neq s, t\) has two neighbors \(u, w\) with \(\xi(u) < \xi(v) < \xi(w)\), where \(u (w, \text{resp.})\) is called a smaller neighbor (bigger neighbor, resp.) of \(v\). Given an st-numbering \(\xi\) of \(G\), the orientation of \(G\) derived from \(\xi\) is obviously an st-orientation of \(G\). On the other hand, if \(G = (V, E)\) has an st-orientation \(\mathcal{O}\), we can define an one-to-one mapping \(\xi : V \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}\) by topological sort. It is easy to see that \(\xi\) is an st-numbering and the orientation derived from \(\xi\) is \(\mathcal{O}\).

From now on, we will interchangeably use the term “an st-numbering” of \(G\) and the term “an st-orientation” of \(G\), where each edge of \(G\) is directed accordingly.

**Definition 1.** Let \(G\) be a plane graph with an st-orientation \(\mathcal{O}\), where \((s, t)\) is an exterior edge drawn at the left on the exterior face of \(G\). The st-dual graph \(G^*\) of \(G\) and the dual orientation \(\mathcal{O}^*\) of \(\mathcal{O}\) is defined as follows:

- Each face \(f\) of \(G\) corresponds to a node \(f^*\) of \(G^*\). In particular, the unique interior face adjacent to the edge \((s, t)\) corresponds to a node \(s^*\) in \(G^*\), the exterior face corresponds to a node \(t^*\) in \(G^*\).
For each edge $e \neq (s, t)$ of $G$ separating a face $f_1$ on its left and a face $f_2$ on its right, there is a dual edge $e^*$ in $G^*$ from $f_1^*$ to $f_2^*$.

The dual edge of the exterior edge $(s, t)$ is directed from $s^*$ to $t^*$.

**Figure 1** (1) An st-graph $G$ and its st-dual graph $G^*$; (2) A VR of $G$.

Fig. 1 (1) shows an st-graph $G$ and its st-dual graph $G^*$. (Circles and solid lines denote the vertices and the edges of $G$. Squares and dashed lines denote the nodes and the edges of $G^*$.) It is well known that the st-dual graph $G^*$ defined above is an st-graph with source $s^*$ and sink $t^*$. The correspondence between an st-orientation $O$ of $G$ and the dual st-orientation $O^*$ is a one-to-one correspondence. The following theorem was given in [13, 14]:

**Theorem 2.** Let $G$ be a 2-connected plane graph with an st-orientation $O$. Let $O^*$ be the dual st-orientation of the st-dual graph $G^*$. A VR of $G$ can be obtained from $O$ in linear time. The height of the VR is $\text{length}(O)$. The width of the VR is $\text{length}(O^*)$. Since $G$ has $n$ vertices and $G^*$ has $2n - 4$ nodes, any st-orientation of $G$ leads to a VR with height $\leq n - 1$ and width $\leq 2n - 5$.

Fig. 1 (2) shows a VR of the graph $G$ shown in Fig. 1 (1). The width of the VR is $\text{length}(O^*) = 5$. The height of the VR is $\text{length}(O) = 3$.

The following theorems were given in [19, 3, 5], and will be needed later for our VR construction.

**Theorem 3.** [19] Every plane triangulation with $n$ vertices has a VR with width $\leq 2n - 5$ and height $\leq \frac{4}{3}n + 14$, which can be constructed in linear time.

**Theorem 4.** [3] Every plane triangulation with $n$ vertices has a VR with height $\leq n - 1$ and width $\leq \lfloor \frac{4}{3}n \rfloor - 2$, which can be constructed in linear time.

**Theorem 5.** [5] Every 4-connected plane triangulation with $n$ vertices has a VR with height $\leq \frac{3}{4}n + 2[\sqrt{n}] + 4$ and width $\leq \frac{3}{2}n$, which can be constructed in linear time.

From Theorem 2, results in above theorems can also be stated in terms of the length of the orientations of $G$. The statement “$G$ has an st-orientation $O$ such that $\text{length}(O) \leq x$ and $\text{length}(O^*) \leq y$” is equivalent to the statement “the VR of $G$ derived from $O$ has height $\leq x$ and width $\leq y$”. We will use these two statements interchangeably.

## 3 A Decomposition Lemma

The basic idea of our VR construction is as follows: We use the VR constructions in Theorems 2, 3, 4 and 5 for different subgraphs of $G$, some of them have small width and others have small height. The crux of the construction is to find a proper balance that reduces overall
height and width of the VR. In this section, we prove a decomposition lemma that is needed by our VR construction to achieve the balance.

Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a plane graph. A triangle of \( G \) is a set of three mutually adjacent vertices. The notation \( \triangle = (a, b, c) \) denotes a triangle consisting of vertices \( a, b, c \). A triangle \( \triangle \) divides the plane into its interior and exterior regions. We say \( \triangle = (a, b, c) \) is a separating triangle if \( G - \{a, b, c\} \) is disconnected. In other words, \( \triangle = (a, b, c) \) is a separating triangle if there are vertices in both its interior and exterior regions. The following fact by Whitney is well known:

**Fact 1.** A plane triangulation \( G \) is 4-connected if and only if \( G \) has no separating triangles.

Let \( \triangle = (a, b, c) \) be a separating triangle. \( G_{\triangle} \) denotes the subgraph of \( G \) induced by \( \{a, b, c\} \cup \{v \in V \mid v \text{ is in interior of } \triangle\} \). \( \triangle \) is maximal if there is no other separating triangle \( \triangle' \) such \( G_{\triangle} \subsetneq G_{\triangle'} \). Two triangles \( \triangle_1 \) and \( \triangle_2 \) are related if either \( G_{\triangle_1} \subseteq G_{\triangle_2} \) or \( G_{\triangle_2} \subseteq G_{\triangle_1} \).

Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two plane triangulations. If \( G_1 \) has an internal face \( f \) such that the vertex set of \( f \) and the vertex set of the outer face of \( G_2 \) are identical, we can embed \( G_2 \) into \( G_1 \) by identifying the face \( f \) and the exterior face of \( G_2 \). The resulting plane triangulation is denoted by \( G_1 \oplus_f G_2 \) (or simply \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \)).

**Definition 6.** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two plane triangulations such that \( G_2 \) can be embedded into \( G_1 \) by a common face \( f = \{a, b, c\} \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) be an st-orientation of \( G_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) be an st-orientation of \( G_2 \) such that the three edges \( \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, a)\} \) are oriented the same way in \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \). \( \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2 \) denotes the union of \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \), which is an orientation of \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \).

**Lemma 7.** Let \( G_1 \), \( G_2 \), \( \mathcal{O}_1 \), and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) be as in Definition 6. Then \( \mathcal{O}_{G_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G_2} \) is an st-orientation of \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \).

**Proof.** Immediate from the definition.

**Definition 8.** The 4-block tree of a plane triangulation \( G \) is a rooted tree \( T \) defined as follows:

- If \( G \) has no separating triangles (i.e. \( G \) is 4-connected), then \( T \) consists of a single root \( r \).
- If not, let \( \triangle_1, \ldots, \triangle_p \) be the maximal separating triangles of \( G \). Let \( T_i \) be the 4-block tree of \( G_{\triangle_i} \). Then \( T \) is the tree with root \( r \) and the roots of \( T_i \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq p \)) as the children of \( r \).

From the definition, we have the following properties:

- Each non-root node \( u \) of \( T \) corresponds to a separating triangle \( \triangle_u \) of \( G \).
- For any \( u, v \in T \), \( u \) and \( v \) have ancestor-descendant relation if and only if \( \triangle_u \) and \( \triangle_v \) are related in \( G \).

For a node \( u \) of \( T \), \( G_u \) denotes the subgraph \( G_{\triangle_u} - (\cup_{v \in C(u)} I(G_{\triangle_v})) \) where \( C(u) \) is the set of children of \( u \) in \( T \). In other words, \( G_u \) is obtained from \( G_{\triangle_u} \) by deleting all vertices that are in the interior of the maximal separating triangles of \( G_{\triangle_u} \). Since \( G_u \) has no separating triangles, \( G_u \) is 4-connected. Each \( G_u \) is called a 4-block component of \( G \). Fig. 2 shows a plane triangulation \( G \), the 4-block components and the 4-block tree of \( G \).

For a node \( u \in T \), define \( |T_u| = |G_{\triangle_u}| \).

**Lemma 9.** Let \( G \) be a triangulation and \( T \) be its 4-block tree. At least one of the following two conditions holds.
1. There exists a node $v$ in $T$ such that $|G_v| \geq \frac{n}{4}$.
2. There exists a set of unrelated separating triangles $\{\triangle_1, \triangle_2, \ldots, \triangle_h\}$, such that $|G_{\triangle_i}| \geq 5$ and $\frac{n}{4} - 3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| \leq \frac{3n}{4} - 3$.

**Proof.** Let $r$ be the root of $T$. Let $H$ be a maximal path in $T$ from $r$ to some node $v$ of $T$ such that for each node $u \in H$, $|T_u| \geq \frac{3n}{4}$ (v can be the root $r$).

If $v$ is a leaf of $T$, then $|G_v| \geq \frac{3n}{4} > \frac{n}{2}$. So condition (1) is satisfied.

Now, suppose $v$ is not a leaf. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p\}$ be the children of $v$ in $T$. Without loss of generality, assume $|T_{v_1}| \leq |T_{v_2}| \leq \ldots \leq |T_{v_p}|$. Then, either $\frac{n}{4} \leq |T_{v_p}| < \frac{3n}{4}$; or $|T_{v_i}| < \frac{n}{4}$ for all $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p\}$.

If $\frac{n}{4} \leq |T_{v_p}| < \frac{3n}{4}$, then the separating triangle $\triangle_{v_p}$ satisfies $\frac{n}{4} - 3 \leq |I(G_{\triangle_{v_p}})| \leq \frac{3n}{4} - 3$. So the single separating triangle $\triangle_{v_p}$ satisfies condition (2).

Now suppose $|T_{v_i}| < \frac{n}{4}$ for all $v_i$. Let $i_m$ be the index such that $|T_{v_i}| \leq 4$ for all $i \leq i_m$ and $|T_{v_{i_m}}| \geq 5$ for all $i > i_m$. There are three cases.

1. $\sum_{i=i_m}^{n} |T_{v_i}| - 3 < \frac{3n}{4} - 3$.

Let $n_1 = |G_v|$. Since $G_v$ is a triangulation with $n_1$ vertices, $G_v$ has $2n_1 - 5$ internal faces by Euler’s formula. Each child $v_i$ of $v$ corresponds to a maximal separating triangle of $G_{\triangle_i}$, and each such separating triangle is one of the interior faces of $G_v$. Thus, $i_m \leq p \leq 2n_1 - 5$.

Since $|I(G_{\triangle_i})| = 1$ for all $i \leq i_m$, we have:

$$\frac{3}{4} n \leq |T_v| = n_1 + \sum_{i \leq i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| + \sum_{i > i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})|$$

$$= n_1 + i_m + \sum_{i > i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| \leq n_1 + (2n_1 - 5) + \sum_{i > i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})|$$

From the assumption $\sum_{i > i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| < \frac{3n}{4} - 3$, we have: $3n_1 - 5 > \frac{3}{4} n - \frac{n}{4} = \frac{n}{2}$. This implies $|G_v| = n_1 \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} = \frac{3n}{4}$. So $G_v$ satisfies (1).

2. $\frac{n}{4} - 3 \leq \sum_{i > i_m} (|T_{v_i}| - 3) < \frac{3n}{4} - 3$.

This is equivalent to $\frac{n}{4} - 3 \leq \sum_{i > i_m} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| \leq \frac{3n}{4} - 3$. So the set of unrelated separating triangles $\{\triangle_{v_{i_m+1}}, \triangle_{v_{i_m+2}}, \ldots, \triangle_{v_p}\}$ satisfies (2).

3. $\sum_{i > i_m} (|T_{v_i}| - 3) > \frac{3n}{4} - 3$.

\[\text{Figure 2} \ (1) \ A \ triangulation \ G; \ (2) \ 4\text{-block components and the 4-block tree} \ T \text{ of} \ G.\]
Let \( i_t \) be the first index such that \( \sum_{i_m < i \leq i_t} (|T_v_i| - 3) \geq \frac{5}{4} - 3 \). Because each \( |T_v_i| < \frac{3}{4} \), clearly \( \sum_{i_m < i \leq i_t} (|T_v_i| - 3) \leq \frac{5}{4} n - 3 \). So the set of unrelated separating triangles \( \{ \Delta_{v_{i_m+1}}, \Delta_{v_{i_m+2}}, \ldots, \Delta_{v_{i_t}} \} \) satisfies (2).

4 Compact Visibility Representation

In this section, we describe our compact VR construction of a plane triangulation \( G \). In order to reduce VR’s height and width simultaneously, we construct a VR of \( G \) by using different VRs for some subgraphs of \( G \). As stated in theorems 2, 3, 4 and 5, some of these VRs have small height and others have small width. Roughly speaking, we select a set of separating triangles, \( \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_h \} \) of \( G \). For the subgraph of \( G \) that is outside of \( \{ G_{\Delta_1}, G_{\Delta_2}, \ldots, G_{\Delta_h} \} \) (call it \( G' \)), we use a VR of \( G' \) with small height. For each \( G_{\Delta_i} \), we use a VR with small width. Then, we embed each \( G_{\Delta_i} \) into \( G' \).

Define \( \mathcal{X}(k) = \lceil \frac{2}{3} k \rceil - 1 \). It is easy to verify:

\[ \mathcal{X}(k) \text{ is a non-decreasing function}; \text{ and } \mathcal{X}(k) \geq 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{X}(k) \geq k/3 \text{ for all } k \geq 2. \]

**Theorem 10.** Let \( S = \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_h \} \) be a set of unrelated separating triangles of \( G \). Then \( G \) has an st-orientation \( \mathcal{O} \) such that \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \frac{2n}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 \) and \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}*) \leq 2n - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{h} \mathcal{X}(\lceil I(G_{\Delta_i}) \rceil) \).

**Proof.** Define \( G_{\text{ext}} = G - \cup_{i=1}^{h} I(G_{\Delta_i}) \) and \( G_j = G_{\text{ext}} \cup \cup_{i=1}^{j} G_{\Delta_i} \). We will show that \( G_j \) \((0 \leq j \leq h)\) has an st-orientation \( \mathcal{O}_j \) so that:

\[ \text{(Claim 1.)} \quad \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_j) \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_j| + \sum_{i=1}^{j} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14. \]

\[ \text{(Claim 2.)} \quad \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_j) \leq |G_j| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mathcal{X}(\lceil I(G_{\Delta_i}) \rceil). \]

Then the theorem follows. We prove claims 1 and 2 by induction.

Base case \( j = 0 \): From Theorem 3, \( G_0 = G_{\text{ext}} \) has an st-orientation \( \mathcal{O}_0 \) such that \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_0) \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_0| + 14 \) and \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_0) \leq 2 |G_0| - 5 \). So the claims hold for the base case.

Induction hypothesis: \( G_j \) has an st-orientation \( \mathcal{O}_j \) such that: \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_j) \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_j| + \sum_{i=1}^{j} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 \) and \( \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_j^*) \leq 2 |G_j| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mathcal{X}(\lceil I(G_{\Delta_i}) \rceil) \).

Suppose that \( \Delta_{k+1} = (a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}, c_{k+1}) \). Without loss of generality, assume the edges of \( \Delta_{k+1} \) are oriented in \( \mathcal{O}_k \) as \( \{(a_{k+1} \rightarrow b_{k+1}), (b_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1}), (a_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1})\} \).

By Theorem 4, \( G_{\Delta_{k+1}} \) has an st-orientation \( \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{k+1}} \), with \( a_{k+1} \) as the source and \( c_{k+1} \) as the sink, such that: length(\( \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{k+1}} \)) \( \leq |G_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - 1 \) and length(\( \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{k+1}}^* \)) \( \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - 2 \).

Let \( \mathcal{O}_{k+1} = \mathcal{O}_k \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{k+1}} \). First we show length(\( \mathcal{O}_{k+1} \)) \( \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_{k+1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 \).

Note that \( |G_{k+1}| = |G_k| + |I(G_{\Delta_{k+1}})| = |G_k| + |G_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - 3 \).

Let \( P_{k+1} \) be a longest path in \( \mathcal{O}_{k+1} \) from \( s \) to \( t \) in \( G_{k+1} \); \( P_k \) a longest path in \( \mathcal{O}_k \) from \( s \) to \( t \) in \( G_k \); and \( P_{\Delta_{k+1}} \) a longest path in \( \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{k+1}} \) from \( a_{k+1} \) to \( c_{k+1} \).

There are several cases:

(i) \( P_{k+1} \) does not contain any interior edge in \( G_{\Delta_{k+1}} \). Then \( P_{k+1} \) is a path in \( G_k \). By induction hypothesis,

\[ \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{k+1}) = |P_{k+1}| \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 \leq \frac{2}{3} |G_{k+1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14. \]
(ii) $P_{k+1}$ passes through a path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$ from $a_{k+1}$ to $c_{k+1}$ (see Fig. 3 (1)). $P_{k+1}$ can be divided into 3 sub-paths: $\{P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},c_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)\}$. Here $P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)$ are paths in $G_k$. $P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},c_{k+1})$ is a path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$. Since $P_{\Delta_k+1}$ is a longest path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$, we have: $|P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},c_{k+1})| \leq |P_{\Delta_k+1}|$.

Let $P'$ be the concatenation of: $P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})$ followed by the edges $(a_{k+1} \rightarrow b_{k+1})$ and $(b_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1})$; followed by $P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)$. Then $P'$ is a path in $G_k$. Thus $|P'| = |P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})| + 2 + |P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)| = |P_k|$. This implies: $|P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})| + |P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)| \leq |P_k| - 2$.

Hence:

$$\text{length}(O_{k+1}) = |P_{k+1}| = |P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})| + |P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},c_{k+1})| + |P_{k+1}(c_{k+1},t)|$$

$$\leq |P_k| - 2 + |P_{\Delta_k+1}| \leq \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 + |G_{\Delta_k+1}| - 1 - 2$$

$$= \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + (|I(G_{\Delta_k+1})| + 3) + 14 - 3$$

$$= \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + |I(G_{\Delta_k+1})| + 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 3 + 14 - 3$$

$$= \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14$$

(iii) $P_{k+1}$ passes through a path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$ from $a_{k+1}$ to $b_{k+1}$ (see Fig. 3 (2)). $P_{k+1}$ can be divided into three sub-paths: $\{P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},b_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(b_{k+1},t)\}$. Here $P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1}), P_{k+1}(b_{k+1},t)$ are paths in $G_k$, while $P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},b_{k+1})$ is a path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$. The concatenation of $P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},b_{k+1})$ and the edge $b_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1}$ is a path in $G_{\Delta_k+1}$. Hence: $|P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},b_{k+1})| + 1 \leq |P_{\Delta_k+1}|$. The concatenation of $P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})$ followed by the edge $a_{k+1} \rightarrow b_{k+1}$, followed by $P_{k+1}(b_{k+1},t)$ is a path in $G_k$. So:

$$|P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})| + 1 + |P_{k+1}(b_{k+1},t)| \leq |P_k|.$$ 

Hence:

$$\text{length}(O_{k+1}) = |P_{k+1}| = |P_{k+1}(s,a_{k+1})| + |P_{k+1}(a_{k+1},b_{k+1})| + |P_{k+1}(b_{k+1},t)|$$

$$\leq (|P_k| - 1) + (|P_{\Delta_k+1}| - 1)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14 + |G_{\Delta_k+1}| - 3$$

$$= \frac{2}{3}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 14$$
(iv) $P_{k+1}$ passes through a path in $G_{\Delta_{k+1}}$ from $b_{k+1}$ to $c_{k+1}$. The proof is symmetric to Case 3.

Next we prove Claim 2. Let $P^*_{k+1}$ be a longest path of $O^*_k$ from $s^*$ to $t^*$. From induction hypothesis, $|P^*_{k+1}| \leq 2|G_k| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(I(G_{\Delta_i}))$. Let $P^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}$ be a longest path in $G^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}$.

By Theorem 4, $|P^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}|}{2} \right\rfloor - 2$.

Let $P^*_{k+1}$ be a longest path of $O^*_k$ from $s^*$ to $t^*$. Let $f_{k+1}$ be the face in $G_{k+1}$ that is in the interior of $\Delta_{k+1}$ adjacent to the edge $a_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1}$ (see Fig. 3 (3)). (In other words, $f_{k+1}$ corresponds to the source node of dual st-orientation of $G^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}$.) If $P^*_{k+1}$ uses any edge in $G^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}$, it must cross the edge $a_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1}$ and enter the face $f_{k+1}$. There are two cases.

(a) $P^*_{k+1}$ does not pass $f_{k+1}$. Then $P^*_{k+1}$ is a path in $G^*_k$ and the claim trivially holds.
(b) $P^*_{k+1}$ passes through $f_{k+1}$.

$\text{length}(O^*_{k+1}) = |P^*_{k+1}| + |P^*_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - |\{f_{k+1}\}| \leq 2|G_k| - 5$

$$\leq 2|G_k| - |I(G_{\Delta_{k+1}})| - \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(I(G_{\Delta_i})) + \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}|}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 - 1$$

$$= 2|G_k| - |I(G_{\Delta_{k+1}})| - \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(I(G_{\Delta_i})) + \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}|}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 - 3$$

$$= 2|G_k| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(I(G_{\Delta_i})) - 2|I(G_{\Delta_{k+1}})| + \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}|}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 - 1$$

$$= 2|G_k| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(I(G_{\Delta_i})) - \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}|}{2} \right\rfloor$$

$$\leq 2|G_k| - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} X(I(G_{\Delta_i}))$$

$\blacksquare$

**Lemma 11.** Let $S = \{\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\ldots,\Delta_h\}$ be a set of unrelated separating triangles of $G$ such that $G' = G - \cup_{i=1}^{h} I(G_{\Delta_i})$ is a $4$-connected graph. Then, $G$ has an st-orientation $O$ such that $\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{3}{4} n + \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 2\sqrt{|G'|} | + 4$ and $\text{length}(O^*) \leq \frac{3}{4} n + \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\Delta_i})|$.

**Proof.** Define $G_j = G' \cup \cup_{i=1}^{h} I(G_{\Delta_i})$. We show, by induction, that $G_j$ has an st-orientation $O_j$ such that

1. $\text{length}(O_j) \leq \frac{3}{4} n + \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\Delta_i})| + 2\sqrt{|G'|} | + 4$
2. $\text{length}(O'_j) \leq \frac{3}{4} n + \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\Delta_i})|$.

Base case $j = 0$: Since $G_0 = G'$ is 4-connected, by Theorem 5, $G'$ has an st-orientation $O'$ such that $\text{length}(O') \leq \frac{3}{4} |G'| + 2\sqrt{|G'|} | + 4$ and $\text{length}(O'^*) \leq \frac{3}{4} |G'|$. The claims are trivially true.

Suppose the claims are true for $j = k$.

Suppose that $\Delta_{k+1} = \{a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}, c_{k+1}\}$. Without loss of generality, assume the edges of $\Delta_{k+1}$ are oriented in $O_k$ as $\{(a_{k+1} \rightarrow b_{k+1}), (b_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1}), (a_{k+1} \rightarrow c_{k+1})\}$.

By Theorem 2, $G_{\Delta_{k+1}}$ has an st-orientation $O_{\Delta_{k+1}}$, with $a_{k+1}$ as the source and $c_{k+1}$ as the sink, such that $\text{length}(O_{\Delta_{k+1}}) \leq |G_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - 1$ and $\text{length}(O_{\Delta_{k+1}}^*) \leq 2|G_{\Delta_{k+1}}| - 5$.

We show the orientation $O_{k+1} = O_k \oplus O_{\Delta_{k+1}}$ satisfies the claims.
The proof of Claim 1 is similar to the first part of the proof of Theorem 10. We only prove Claim 2.

By induction hypothesis, $G_k$ has an st-orientation $O_k$ such that $\text{length}(O_k) \leq \frac{3}{2}|G_k| + \sum_{i=1}^k |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|$. Also, we know that $\text{length}(O_{\vartriangle_k+1}) \leq 2|G_{\vartriangle_k+1}| - 5$. As in the proof of Theorem 10, there are two cases for analyzing $\text{length}(O_{\vartriangle_k+1})$.

(a) $P_{\vartriangle_k+1}^*$ does not pass $f_{\vartriangle_k+1}$. Then $P_{\vartriangle_k+1}^*$ is a path in $G_k^*$ and the claim trivially holds.

(b) $P_{\vartriangle_k+1}^*$ passes $f_{\vartriangle_k+1}$. Then:

$$\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{3}{2}|G_k| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})| + 2|G_{\vartriangle_k+1}| - 5 - 1$$

$$= \frac{3}{2}|G_k| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})| + 2|I(G_{\vartriangle_k+1})| \leq \frac{3}{2}|G_{\vartriangle_k+1}| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|$$

This completes the induction.

Theorem 12. Let $G_v$ be a 4-block component of $G$, with the corresponding separating triangle $\vartriangle_v$ in $G$. Then $G$ has an st-orientation $O$ such that $\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{3}{4}n + \frac{1}{4}(n - |G_v|) + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 4$ and length($O^*$) \leq \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{n - |G_v|}{2}.

Proof. Let $S = \{\vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_2, \ldots, \vartriangle_k\}$ be the set of maximal separating triangles of $G_{\vartriangle_v}$. Since $G_v$ is 4-connected, by Lemma 11, $G_{\vartriangle_v}$ has an st-orientation $O_{\vartriangle_v}$ such that:

$$\text{length}(O_{\vartriangle_v}) \leq \frac{3}{4}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 4 + \sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|$$

$$\text{length}(O^*)_{\vartriangle_v} \leq \frac{3}{2}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|}{2}.$$

Let $G_{ext} = G - I(G_{\vartriangle_v})$. Then $G_{ext}$ has an st-orientation such that $\text{length}(O_{ext}) \leq |G_{ext}| - 1$ and length($O_{ext}^*$) \leq 2|G_{ext}|-5. Let $O = O_{ext} \oplus O_{\vartriangle_v}$. Then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{length}(O) & \leq \text{length}(O_{ext}) + \text{length}(O_{\vartriangle_v}) - 2 \\
& \leq (|G_{ext}| - 1) + \frac{3}{4}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 4 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|}{4} - 2 \\
& = \frac{3}{4}|G_{ext}| + \frac{1}{4}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + \frac{3}{4}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|}{4} + 1 \\
& = \frac{3}{4}(|G| + 3) + \frac{1}{4}(|V(G_{ext}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^h I(G_{\vartriangle_i}))| + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 1 \\
& = \frac{3}{4}(n + 3) + \frac{1}{4}(n - |G_v| + 3) + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 1 \\
& = \frac{3}{4}n + \frac{1}{4}(n - |G_v|) + 2\sqrt{|G_v|} + 4 \\
\text{length}(O^*) & = \text{length}(O_{ext}^*) + \text{length}(O_{\vartriangle_v}^*) - 1 \\
& \leq (2|G_{ext}| - 5) + \frac{3}{2}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|}{2} - 1 \\
& = \frac{3}{2}|G_{ext}| + \frac{3}{2}|G_{\vartriangle_v}| + \frac{1}{2}|G_{ext}| + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h |I(G_{\vartriangle_i})|}{2} - 6 \\
& = \frac{3}{2}(|G| + 3) + \frac{1}{2}(|I(G_{ext}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^h I(G_{\vartriangle_i}))| + 3) - 6 = \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}(n - |G_v|)
\end{align*}
\]
This completes the proof.

**Theorem 13.** Every plane triangulation $G$ of $n$ vertices has a VR with height $\leq \max\{\frac{23}{24}n + 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil + 4, \frac{11}{12}n + 13\}$ and width $\leq \frac{23}{12}n$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 9, there are two cases:

**Case 1:** $G$ has a 4-block component with size $n_1 \geq \frac{n}{6}$. By Theorem 12, $G$ has an $st$-orientation $O$ such that $\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{23}{24}n + 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil + 4$ and $\text{length}(O^*) \leq \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{(n-n_1)}{2}$.

Since $n_1 \geq \frac{n}{6}$, we have: $\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{23}{24}n + 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil + 4$ and $\text{length}(O^*) \leq \frac{23}{12}n$.

**Case 2:** $G$ has a set of unrelated separating triangles $\{\triangle_1, \triangle_2, \ldots, \triangle_h\}$ such that:

- For all $i$, $|G_{\triangle_i}| \geq 5$, (which implies $|I(G_{\triangle_i})| \geq 2$).
- $\frac{n}{4} - 3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{h} |I(G_{\triangle_i})| \leq \frac{n}{4} - 3$.

Since $\chi(z) \geq z/3$ for all $z \geq 2$, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h} \chi(|I(G_{\triangle_i})|) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{h} \frac{|I(G_{\triangle_i})|}{3}.$$  

By Theorem 10, $G$ has an $st$-orientation $O$ such that

$$\text{length}(O) \leq \frac{2n}{3} + \frac{|\cup_{i=1}^{h} I(G_{\triangle_i})|}{3} + 14 \leq \frac{2n}{3} + \frac{3n/2 - 3 - 3}{3} + 14 = \frac{11}{12}n + 13$$

$$\text{length}(O^*) \leq 2n - 5 - \sum_{i=1}^{h} \chi(|I(G_{\triangle_i})|) \leq 2n - 5 - \frac{n/4 - 3}{3} < \frac{23}{12}n.$$  

In either case, the orientation $O$ leads to a VR of $G$ with the stated width and height.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we showed that every plane graph of $n$ vertices has a VR with height $\leq \max\{\frac{23}{24}n + 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil + 4, \frac{11}{12}n + 13\}$ and width $\leq \frac{23}{12}n$. This is the first VR construction for general plane graphs that simultaneously bounds the height and the width from the trivial upper bound. The gap between the size of our VR and the known lower bound is still large. It would be interesting to find more compact VR constructions.
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