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Abstract
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Brief Introduction to the Topic

The Dagstuhl Seminar 14201 on “Horn formulas, directed hypergraphs, lattices and closure systems: related formalisms and applications” was motivated by the growing recognition in the respective research communities that theoretical research and applications of the areas would benefit from increasing the interaction between these fields of research.
These areas deal with very closely related concepts, but have traditionally been studied within logic, algebra, combinatorics, database theory and artificial intelligence using different techniques and often exploring similar questions with somewhat different emphasis corresponding to the particular area. One of the basic results, the existence of GD-basis, was discovered independently and has different proofs in several areas, such as database theory, the theory of implicational systems and computational learning theory.

The principal objective of the seminar was, as formulated in the seminar announcement, to “bring together a critical mass of researchers and to provide a platform for personal contacts and scientific interchange between the different disciplines in an atmosphere that will stimulate collaboration and lead to new partnerships”. In particular, it was hoped that the invitation of a large number of young researchers who would then become familiar with the related research in all the topics discussed, will contribute to the fruitful study of these areas as a more unified discipline in the next generation. Another, related, objective was to help crystallize the main research directions and to disseminate challenging open problems across the different research areas.

Organization of the Seminar and Activities

The seminar brought together 40 participants working in various areas of mathematics and computer science, mostly in algebra, logic, database theory, artificial intelligence and data mining. In order to establish the common ground for the discussion and use of terminology, the organizers planned five tutorial talks that were scheduled in the first two days of the seminar. There were the following:
- Endre Boros, in Horn Boolean functions
- Leonid Libkin, in Data Bases
- Marcel Wild, in Closure Systems
- Karell Bertet, in Implicational systems and Concept Analysis
- Georgio Ausiello, in Directed Hypergraphs

It is worth mentioning that two of the tutorial presenters, Endre Boros and Georgio Ausiello, are editors-in-chief of two leading journals that often publish papers associated with the topic of the seminar: Applied Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, respectively. Most other talks of the seminar were related to one or more of these big themes, and they were loosely grouped into sections of presentations in order to stimulate the discussion during regular sessions of the seminar, as well as the break time and follow-up informal meetings. The following grouping gives an approximation to the various topics reflected in the presentations:
- Closures: Duquenne, Wild, Rudolph, Khardon
- Implicational systems: Wild, Adaricheva, Bertet
- Databases: Petit, Libkin
- Directed hypergraphs: Berczi, Nanni, Turán, Ausiello
- Concept Analysis: Obiedkov, Napoli, Kuznetsov
- Horn formulas: Arias, Kučera, Stasi, Čepek
- Applications : Arias, Balcazar, Nation, Bertet
- Knowledge representation: Khardon, Marquis, Sloan
- Constraints: Tamaki
- Probability: Istrate, Balcazar
- Satisfiability: Kleine Büning, Kullmann, Wild
There was a relatively large group of participants attending the seminar without giving a presentation, many of them formed an active audience that initiated discussions and informal meetings. There were several young participants, who associated themselves with one or two presenters, some of them were co-authors in presented results. In some presentations, the participants touched the rare topics which remarkably found active response from the audience. For example, U. Nanni mentioned application of hypergraphs in the modeling of E-Learning. This seems to open a new connection to the theory Knowledge Spaces that were not previously considered by the organizers as the direction of common interests with the current seminar. S. Tamaki presented the overview of recent developments in constraint satisfiability, the topic of the separate Dagstuhl seminar in 2012, which is also familiar to a number of participants of the current seminar. This gave a nice connection to the earlier collective effort of researchers approaching a common research problem with different tools.

On Wednesday most participants took part in the countryside walk, the venue of informal exchange of news and views. It was followed by a dinner and a session on open problems. 17 open problems were presented by the participants, some of them referring to the topics of presentations, several young participants introducing new topics. On Thursday night many informal groups were gathering in the after-dinner discussions. During the work of the whole seminar participants took advantage of open access to the comprehensive library, in particular, checking the collection of books authored by participants of the seminar.

Concluding Remarks

We believe that the seminar was very successful in bringing together a critical mass of researchers from different communities and in providing a platform for personal contacts and scientific interchange between the participants. Due to its highly interdisciplinary nature, in order to stimulate collaborations and to foster possible interactions between different research communities, the organizers decided to schedule talks and discussions not only grouped according to topics but also so as to provide a vivid mix of different research questions and results. In particular, the first two days started with introductory talks (tutorials and surveys) delivered by leading experts, while the rest of the seminar included talks presenting current research and applications as well as problem sessions aimed at identifying core research problems coming from the different fields. Besides presentations, the program offered room for open discussions and informal working groups. As a major outcome, a special issue of the journal *Theoretical Computer Science*, co-edited by the organizers, will be devoted to the themes of the seminar. We hope this could serve as a reference material for future interdisciplinary research in the field. Schloss Dagstuhl and its staff provided a very convenient and stimulating environment. The seminar participants appreciated the cordial atmosphere which improved mutual understanding and inspiration. The organizers of this seminar wish to thank all those who helped to make the seminar a fruitful research experience.
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3 Overview of Talks

3.1 Effective bases of closure systems

Kira V. Adaricheva (Yeshiva University – New York, US)
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In this talk the overview is presented of recent results inspired by the study of closure systems with unique critical sets. Many of these results, however, are of a more general nature. Among those is the statement that every optimum basis of a finite closure system, in D. Maier’s sense, is also right-side optimum. This connects the results given in D. Maier [5] and G. Ausiello et al. in [3], in different frameworks of closure systems.

We introduce the K-basis of a closure system, which is a refinement of the canonical basis of V. Duquenne and J.L. Guigues [4], and discuss a polynomial algorithm to obtain it.

Then we focus on closure systems with unique critical sets, and some subclasses of these where the K-basis is unique. A further refinement in the form of the E-basis is possible for closure systems without D-cycles. The latter basis was first introduced in K. Adaricheva et al. [2], in the family of the ordered direct bases.

One of the main achievements is to demonstrate a polynomial algorithm to recognize the D-relation from a K-basis. Consequently, closure systems without D-cycles can be effectively recognized. While the E-basis achieves an optimum in one of its parts, the optimization of the others is an NP-complete problem. New results are obtained in finding the optimum bases in some classes of convex geometries, K. Adaricheva [1].
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3.2 Query learning of Horn implications: complexity and connections to the GD-basis

Marta Arias (UPC – Barcelona, ES)
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In this talk we will review our results on the connections between learning Horn formulas and a minimal representation of Horn implications (the Guigue-Duquenne basis or GD-basis).
The talk will cover a review of known upper and lower bounds on the complexity of learning these formulas by presenting a well-known algorithm and the notion of certificates. Then, we will introduce a new learning model based on closure queries which we think captures the essence of the difficulty of learning Horn implications. This is joint work with José L. Balcázar and Cristina Tirnauca.

3.3 Logical entailment among partial implications

Jose Luis Balcazar (UPC – Barcelona, ES)

Relaxed implication connectives are a relatively natural concept. Probabilistic versions defined by resorting to thresholding conditional probability have been proposed in different research communities. Luxemburger introduces them as ‘partial implications’; the association rules of the data mining world are partial implications that impose the additional condition that the consequent is a single propositional variable.

One gets easily a logic language of partial implications. For that language, we review known syntactic characterizations of one formula entailing another, and of two formulas entailing another, as well as the handling of additional classical implications, as described in the paper linked to by the following DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2168/LMCS-6(2:4)2010.

We report as well on our latest developments towards a full characterization of entailment among partial implications, from arbitrary sets of premises. This progress is obtained, essentially, by recasting the entailment property in the form of a linear program and reasoning on its dual. This part is joint work (unpublished for the time being) with Albert Atserias.

3.4 From digraphs to dypergraphs: paths and arborescences

Kristof Berczi (Eötvös Lorand University – Budapest, HU)

Directed hypergraphs can be defined in many ways. We consider the following definition: a directed hypergraph (or dypergraph for short) is a pair $H = (V, E)$ where $V$ is a finite ground set, $E$ is a family of hyperedges (subsets of $V$), and each hyperedge $e$ has a designated node called the head of $e$, while other nodes in $e$ are called tails. A path is an alternating sequence $v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, e_k, v_{k+1}$, where $v_i$ is a tail node of $e_i$ and $v_{i+1}$ is the head of $e_i$. A node $v$ is said to be weakly reachable from another node $u$ if there is a path from $u$ to $v$. The reason for calling this reachability condition ‘weak’ is that in some applications a different notion of reachability is used. However, an advantage of the present definition is that analogues of Menger’s theorems extend naturally.

In this talk, we show how to extend Menger’s theorem to dypergraphs. A method for ‘cropping’ dyperedges is also presented, giving a powerful tool for handling rooted edge-connectivity problems in dypergraphs.
3.5 Closure lattices and implication bases

Karell Bertet (University of La Rochelle, FR)

Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) [14] allows to analyze and extract information from a context – i.e. a binary table – where implicit knowledge between data can be represented either by a concept lattice or implications. In data mining, various symbolic methods stemming from FCA have been studied for (un)supervised classification, association rules extraction and frequent pattern extraction. These methods focus on relevant concepts, closures, or implicational rules and their minimal generators. Implications are also linked to minimal functional dependencies in databases. In logic area, implicational system corresponds to the set of representation of Horn clauses of a logic formula, and the closed set lattice is composed of all the true subsets of variables. A closure lattice can also be used as the hierarchical scheme of an ontology. More recently, extensions to more complex data were also proposed as pattern structures in [13], i.e. when there exists a Galois connexion between objects and their space of description. Let us cite extensions to histograms description of objects [25], or logical space description [9]. Thus, implicit knowledge can be extracted from any closure system as contexts, but also implications systems, pattern structures.

The first reference book on lattice theory is due to Birkhoff in 1940 [4], where the lattice structure is introduced as an algebraic structure provided with two operators: the lower and upper bounds. However, in recent works [20, 6], Monjardet establishes that the algebraic lattice structure appeared for the first time in the works of Dedekind in 1900 as the dualgruppe term, and then with several forms and terminologies between 1928 and 1936 in the works of Merge, Klein, Blind, Birkhoff, Öre or Von Neuman. Monjardet also mentions that lattices appeared for the first time in 1847 in its Boolean form in the works of Boole on the algebra of logic, then in the works of Pierce in 1880. The lattice term was proposed by Birkhoff during the first symposium on lattices in 1938, while Merge proposed the System von Dingenr term, and Öre the structure term.

In [8, 1], the lattice is defined as an ordered structure (i.e. transitive, antisymmetric and reflexive) defined by the existence of particular elements called upper and lower bounds. The notion of irreducible elements [1, 19] of a lattice allow the conception of compact representations of lattices from which it is possible to reconstruct them. The fundamental result of lattice theory, stemming from [1], establishes the bijection between any lattice and the Galois lattice defined from its binary table of irreducible elements. A direct consequence is the existence of bijective links between lattices, reduced contexts (via the table) and a set of implicational rules (via the canonical (direct) basis):

1. Any complete lattice is the concept lattice of its binary table; Any reduced context is isomorphic to the irreducible table of its concept lattice.

2. Any complete lattice is isomorphic to the closed set lattice of its canonical (direct) basis of implicational rules; Any implicational system on its canonical (direct) form is isomorphic to the canonical (direct) basis of its closed set lattice.

In [1], the authors introduce the Galois lattice term, while the Galois connexion term was defined by Ore in 1944 in [23]. This fundamental bijection is a consequence of the property of a closure operator of any Galois connexion, and can therefore be extended to a closed set lattice [7] and to the very rich closure systems, i.e. sets systems provided with a closure operator. Indeed, we find closure operators in numerous domains, whether in logic, in databases, in combinatoric, or even in data analysis ....
The concept lattice was introduced in the 1990s by Wille within the framework of the Formal Concepts Analysis (FCA), with a reference book dated 1999 [14]. A concept lattice is a graph defined from a binary data (or context) object $\times$ attributes. This lattice composed of concepts connected by the generalization/specialisation relation supplies a very intuitive representation of the data. FCA is a knowledge representation approach whose use is increasing this last decade in various domains of computer science, such as data mining, knowledge representation, databases or information retrieval. Indeed, the technological improvements enable to use these structures for large data in these domains though they are exponential in space/time (worst case), and make the development of a large number of applications possible. The need for efficient algorithms to manipulate these structures is a major challenge.

Generation problems of these objects stemming from lattice theory belong to the more general class of problems having an input of size $n$, and an output of size $N$ bounded by $2^n$:
- The closed set lattice and the canonical (direct) basis of a closure system on a set $S$ have a size bounded by $2^{|S|}$ in the worst case.
- The canonical (direct) basis and the minimal generators of a lattice $(X, \leq)$ have a size bounded by $2^{|X|}$ in the worst case.

For this class of problems, a classical worst-case analysis makes them exponential, thus NP-complete. However, a more precise estimation can be obtained from output-sensitive analysis where the time complexity per element – i.e. one implication or one closed set – is estimated either by the amortized complexity or the delay complexity. A polynomial delay algorithm is then an algorithm with a delay cost bounded by a polynomial. In lattice theory, generation problems with an exponential output are mainly resolved:

**Closed set lattice generation from a closure system: Polynomial delay problem**

Numerous polynomial delay algorithms have been proposed in the literature [21, 12, 5, 15, 22]. Ganter’s NextClosure [12] is the reference algorithm that computes the closures lexically ordered (which can then be ordered to form the lattice) while Bordat’s algorithm [5] is the first algorithm that computes directly the Hasse diagram of the lattice.

**Canonical direct basis generation from a closure system: Open problem**

Let us cite the algorithm of Mannila in [18], where the canonical direct basis is generated with an exponential time per implication in the worst case. It is based on the generation of all minimal transverses, a problem known to be an open problem (there actually exists a quasi-polynomial algorithm to solve it). More generally, the canonical direct basis can be obtained from the closed set lattice of the closure system, with the generation of all closed set as an additional cost, thus an exponential delay complexity. In the particular case where the closure system is an implicational system, there exist specific algorithms, always with the same exponential delay complexity. The algorithms described in [27] and [3] compute an intermediate but larger implicational system of exponential size in the worst case. The algorithm with the best known complexity, in logic area, is due to Fredman and Khachiyan ([11]). It generates one implication with a quasi-polynomial time and has been modified in [17] to solve this problem with a first step in deterministic polynomial time, followed by $O(\log^2|S|)$ non deterministic steps.
Canonical direct basis generation from a lattice: Polynomial amortized problem

In the area of data mining the algorithm of Taouil and Bastide in [26] generates the canonical direct basis with an exponential complexity per implication. The incremental algorithm of Pfaltz [24] and Jen algorithm [10] both compute the minimal generators – i.e. premises of the canonical direct basis – of a lattice with the same complexity. However, in logic area, the algorithm attributed to Ibaraki et al. ([16]) computes an implication in polynomial time with a set of closures as input. The algorithm in [2] is similar to those in [16]. It computes the dependency graph of a lattice, and then extracts the canonical direct basis from this graph.

Equivalent notions such as lattices (or closed set lattices), closure systems, closure operators (or dual closure operators), (pure) Horn functions have been studied by different authors in different domains (topology, lattice theory, hypergraph theory, choice functions, relational databases, data mining and concept analysis, artificial intelligence and expert systems, knowledge spaces, logic and logic programming, theorem proving...). It is not surprising that one finds the same notions, results or algorithms under various names. One can also find many original results or algorithms only known in a specific domain. It would be very profitable to increase (or create) the communications between the various domains that use the same (or equivalent) notions and tools.
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In this talk basic properties of Horn functions and their representations are surveyed. Horn functions are viewed as 0-1 valued real functions, and representations in terms of their implicants (implicates) are considered. The space of implicants and implicates are viewed as abstract sets, in which consensus and resolution provides some order. We recalled results about decomposability of the Horn minimization problem in terms of exclusive sets and components. We provided constructive descriptions via forward chaining and syntactical properties for such exclusive families of implicants.

These properties provided the bases for the efficient (polynomial delay) generation of ALL prime implicants/implicates of a Horn DNF/CNF (equivalent to generating the direct implication basis of an implication system).
We also recalled an associated graph, the so-called implication graph of a Horn function, and showed that the structure of this graph provides additional exclusive subfamilies of exclusive sets. Based on such exclusive sets and the resulting decomposition ideas, one can simplify Horn minimization and also can simplify the proof of correctness of some hardness results.

Finally, we recalled recent hardness results about Horn DNF/CNF minimization and Horn literal minimization, namely that unless P=NP, one cannot approximate within a factor of $2^{\log^{1-o(1)} n}$ the term/literal minimum of a Horn DNF (and the same applies to clause/literal minimum Horn CNF-s), even if the input is restricted to ‘short’ cubic Horn DNF/CNF-s.

This talk was based on joint research with O. Čepek, Y. Crama, A. Gruber, P.L. Hammer, A. Kogan, and P. Kučera.
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3.7 Shortest CNF representations of Horn functions: complexity issues, decomposition schemes, and lower bounds

Ondřej Čepek (Charles University – Prague, CZ)

Finding a shortest CNF representation of a given Horn function is a problem with many practical applications in artificial intelligence (knowledge compression) and other areas of computer science. In this talk we briefly survey complexity results known for this problem and then concentrate on the relationships between CNF representations of Horn functions and certain sets of implicates of these functions. We introduce two definitions of sets of implicates which are both based on the properties of resolution. The first type of sets, called exclusive sets of implicates, is shown to have a functional property useful for decompositions which allow to reduce the minimization problem to smaller subCNFs. The second type of sets, called essential sets of implicates, has an orthogonality property, which implies that every CNF representation and every essential set must intersect. This property leads to
interesting lower bounds on the CNF size. Given the topic of the Dagstuhl seminar, it would be interesting to see whether concepts similar to exclusive nad essential sets of implicates were studied in the context of directed hypergraphs or closure systems.

3.8 Some variations on lexicographic enumeration of closed subsets and canonical bases of implications

Vincent Duquenne (UPMC – Paris, FR)

Since their promotion in 1984 [3], many efforts have been done to overtake the so-called NextCLOSURE algorithms, which are applying natural lexicographic enumeration [7]. More than going faster (who cares getting more than 105/s on a 200E laptop?), a hidden motivation could have been to try escaping their simplicity … These attempts have been partly successful to scan through intents (closed subsets) with some costs. For the canonical basis of implications based on pseudo-intents [2, 4] the fees are a bit higher and more than often – if not always – involve an exponential explosion in memory [5, 6]. Here we come back to mere lexicographic enumeration with some specific tricks to speed up the process, which can be adapted to other related objects. Hence with a special dedication to ecologically minded users, we will try to give some visions of what could be next in doing with boosted basic algorithms.

Keywords: closure operator, lattice, combinatorial exhaustive enumeration, NextCLOSURE, (quasi / pseudo) intents, canonical basis of implications.
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3.9 Random Horn satisfiability. Some (old) results and some new(er) surprises.

Gabriel Istrate (West University of Timisoara, RO)

We will overview results on thresholds in random versions of satisfiability, applications to random tree automata emptiness, and the way random Horn satisfiability is important in a classification (similar in spirit to Schaefer’s dichotomy theorem) of thresholds of generalized satisfiability problems in a model based on clausal formulas. We will also discuss the connection of this classification to recently investigated the problem of random mixed Horn satisfiability.

The talk is based on several papers, some of them authored solely, one of them in cooperation with Cristopher Moore and Moshe Vardi, as well as some unpublished results.

3.10 GFODDs – a representation and calculus for structured functions over possible worlds

Roni Khardon (Tufts University, US)

The Generalized first order decision diagrams (GFODD) representation, captures numerical generalizations of first order logic where truth values are generalized to real values and logical quantifiers are generalized to aggregation functions over such values. GFODDs can be seen as expressions representing functions over possible worlds (interpretations) and can therefore represent probability functions, value functions etc. A calculus of expressions allows us to perform operations over the functions they represent and perform inference in this way. A heuristic, using reasoning from examples, allows us to implement inference reasonably efficiently and therefore support complex patterns of inference. The talk will give an overview of this work motivating the representation, discussing complexity, explaining heuristics, and illustrating our main application in solving structured Markov decision problems.

Based on joint work in multiple papers with Saket Joshi, Kristian Kersting, Chenggang Wang, Paul Schermerhorn, Matthias Scheutz, Aswin Raghavan, Alan Fern, Prasad Tadepalli.

A complexity based treatment can be found in [1]. For other papers please see our project site (see URL above) for online versions of the papers.
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3.11 Closed Sets in Relational Data

Roni Khardon (Tufts University, US)

In this talk I reviewed our work from IJCAI 2007 and AMAI 2013 that investigates semantic properties of closed sets in multi-relational datasets, and the implications for algorithmic properties. Prior literature has multiple definitions for the notions of occurrence of a pattern and the notion of closure relative to such occurrences. Our work shows that these implicit choices are important because they yield dramatically different properties, resulting closed sets, and algorithms.

Based on joint work with Gemma Garriga and Luc De Raedt.

Note: Given the strong interest and focus on closed sets in the workshop I added this second brief talk to complement the discussion.
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3.12 Expressive Power of Quantified Horn Formulas

Hans Kleine Büning (Universität Paderborn, DE)

In this talk we give an overview of the expressive power of quantified Horn formulas and related quantified Boolean formulas. Additionally, we present the computational complexities of the satisfiability problem for various classes and the structure of satisfying models. These are Skolem functions for the existential quantifiers satisfying the formula. Another application is the linear time transformation of propositional formulas into satisfiability equivalent formulas in CNF by means of existentially quantified CNF formulas with free variables where the bounded part of the clauses are 2-Horn clauses.

Based on joint work with Uwe Bubeck and Xishun Zhao.
Hydras: Complexity on general graphs and a subclass of trees

Petr Kučera (Charles University – Prague, CZ)

Hydra formulas were introduced in [1]. A hydra formula is a Horn formula consisting of definite Horn clauses of size 3 specified by a set of bodies of size 2, and containing clauses formed by these bodies and all possible heads. A hydra formula can be specified by the undirected graph formed by the bodies occurring in the formula. The minimal formula size for hydras is then called the hydra number of the underlying graph. In this paper we aim to answer some open questions regarding complexity of determining the hydra number of a graph which were left open in [1]. In particular we show that the problem of checking, whether a graph $G = (V, E)$ is single-headed, i.e. whether the hydra number of $G$ is equal to the number of edges, is NP-complete. We also consider hydra number of trees and we describe a family of trees for which the hydra number can be determined in polynomial time.
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Good SAT representations of boolean functions, with applications to XORs

Oliver Kullmann (Swansea University, GB)

An approach towards measuring the quality of SAT representation is outlined, via various 'hardness measurements'. The application to XOR-constraints is considered, showing that there is no polysize 'good' representation in general, while finding such a “good” representation is fixed-parameter tractable in the number of constraints. 'Good' here means 'UR-representation', that is, every partial instantiation to the variables of the boolean function represented, which destroys all satisfying assignments, is recognised by unit-clause propagation. We consider also stronger positive results, using unit-propagation completeness.
Most important computation problems of lattices and related implication systems are discussed: computing a concept lattice from context (i.e., computing a lattice from the sets of its irreducible elements), computing cardinality-minimal implication base (i.e., minimum Horn theory or minimum cover of functional dependencies) related to the lattice. Learning from positive and negative examples in a concept lattice is shown to be equivalent to a dualization problem on a lattice given implicitly by the ordered set of its irreducible elements. Efficient lazy classification is proposed as an alternative to the direct usage of implications as classification rules. The positive results are shown to be extendible to lattices of arbitrary closed descriptions.
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3.16 Databases and lattice theory
Leonid Libkin (University of Edinburgh, GB)

License © Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Leonid Libkin

In this talk we surveyed recent database applications that used lattice-theoretic techniques, specifically the lattice of graph cores ordered by homomorphisms between them. We explained why these are important in the study of conjunctive queries, and concentrated on two applications: approximation of queries by efficient ones, and evaluation of queries over databases with incomplete information.

3.17 Knowledge compilation and Horn formulae
Pierre Marquis (Artois University – Lens, FR)


In this talk I will focus on the concept of disjunctive closure of the language of (renamable) Horn CNF formulae, i.e., the class of existentially quantified disjunctions of (renamable) propositional Horn CNF formulae. I will analyze the corresponding languages L along the lines of the knowledge compilation map, i.e. I will make precise the queries and transformations of interest feasible in polynomial time (and those which are not unless P = NP) when the input is in L and I will also compare the succinctness of L with those of other propositional languages.

3.18 Directed hypergraphs: a leverage to investigate properties of Petri nets, workflow nets, and more
Umberto Nanni (University of Rome “La Sapienza”, IT)
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Petri nets have been introduced in 1962 by Carl Adam Petri to model the dynamic properties of a concurrent system, and have enjoyed a wide success, with application in a number of realms – far beyond computing. A Petri net is a 4-tuple \((P, T, A, M_0)\) where: \(P\) is the set of places, \(T\) is the set of transitions, \(A\) is the set of arcs (from places to transitions, or vice-versa, i.e., \((P, T, A)\) is a bipartite graph), and \(M_0\) is the initial marking which can be expressed as a function from places to natural numbers; you can figure out the marking of a net as a distribution of “tokens” over the places. The marking of a Petri net, representing the state of the net, can evolve from the initial state by firing transitions. Most problems on Petri nets (a bit less expressive than Turing machines) are decidable, although with high computational complexity. We introduce the notion of T-path within Petri nets, and adopt
directed hypergraphs in order to determine structural properties of nets. In particular, we
study the relationships between T-paths and firable sequences of transitions. Let us consider
a Petri net P, with initial marking M0, and the set of places with a positive marking in M0,
i.e., \( P0 = \{ p \mid M0(p) > 0 \} \). It can be shown that the existence of a T-path from any subset of
P0 to a transition t is a necessary condition for the potential firability of t, and, furthermore:
(a) if P is a Conflict Free Petri net, this is also a sufficient condition, (b) if P is a general
Petri net, t is potentially firable “by augmentation” of the initial marking (i.e., by increasing
the number of tokens in P0). For the class of Conflict-Free nets (CFPN), using the notion of
T-path, we show that there exist simple algorithms requiring linear space and time for the
following problems: (a) determining the set of firable transitions, (b) determining the set of
reachable places, (c) determining the set of live transitions, (d) deciding the boundedness of
the net. All these problems, untractable on general Petri nets, have been solved previously
in CF-nets by matrix operations in quadratic time in several papers by Howell, Rosier, Yen.

Given a Petri net and a marking M, the well-known coverability problem consists in
finding a reachable marking M’ such that \( M' \geq M \). We introduce a relaxed form of this
problem: we say that a marking M is “coverable by augmentation” if it is coverable from
an augmented marking M0+ of the initial marking \( M0 : M0+ \geq M0 \) and, for any place
p, \( M0 + (p) = 0 \) if \( M0(p) = 0 \). The coverability problem is known to be EXPSPACE-hard
[Rackoff, 1978]; we solve the relaxed problem of coverability by augmentation in linear time
in general Petri nets.

Workflow nets are the results of adopting Petri nets for modeling Workflow Management
[van der Aalst, 1997]. This seminal approach has boosted the adoption of these structures
within the context of Business Process Management, with impact on methodologies with
high industrial relevance (UML, BPMN). We show as adopting the notion of augmented
marking allow us to investigate properties of Workflow nets.

More recent applications of directed hypergraphs in the context of eLearning show that it
is possible to build personalized learning paths in linear time within a collection of learning
components: this solution tackle the problem of managing and reusing learning objects stored
in huge repositories where they have been accumulated in the range of millions.

3.19 Formal Concept Analysis, Variations and Applications

Amedeo Napoli (LORIA & INRIA Nancy, FR)

In Formal Concept Analysis (FCA [8]), the formalization of a classification problem relies
on a formal context \( K = (G, M, I) \) where G is a set of objects, M a set of attributes and
\( I \subseteq G \times M \) a binary relation describing links between objects and attributes. Then a formal
concept corresponds to a maximal set of objects – the extent – associated with a maximal
set of attributes – the intent. Formal concepts are ordered within a complete lattice thanks
to a subsumption relation based on extent inclusion.

The standard FCA formalism can be extended to deal with relational and complex data.
Relational Concept Analysis (RCA [12]) is able to take into account relational data, i.e.
relations between objects while the basic data structure in FCA relies on object and attribute
relationships. Pattern Structures [7] provide an extension of Formal Concept Analysis for
dealing with complex data such as numbers, intervals, sequences, trees and graphs. Pattern
Structures are based on a triple \((G, (D, \sqcap), \delta)\), where \(G\) is a set of objects, \((D, \sqcap)\) is a semi-lattice of descriptions, and \(\delta\) is a mapping associating an object with a description. The similarity operation \(\sqcap\) induces a subsumption relation in \((D, \sqcap)\) such as \(c \sqcap d = c\) iff \(c \sqsubseteq d\). In addition, a similarity between objects based on the closeness of attribute values can be considered and formalized as a tolerance relation, i.e. reflexive and symmetric [9].

In this presentation, we will introduce basic elements on Formal Concept Analysis (FCA), Relational Concept Analysis and Pattern Structures. Then we will discuss the capabilities of RCA and Pattern Structures in various applications. RCA is used in text mining while Pattern Structures are used in situations involving real-world data for dealing with numbers and intervals [11, 10], with strings and sequences [3], for discovering functional dependencies [2, 1], for information retrieval [5] and for ontology engineering [6, 4]. Actually, FCA, RCA and Pattern Structures are become an efficient and well-founded mathematical support for knowledge discovery in real-world applications.

**Keywords:** Formal Concept Analysis, pattern structures, relational concept analysis, classification, knowledge discovery, complex data mining.
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In this talk we show that reductions based on the singular value decomposition can be combined with order-theoretic methods to produce a useful analysis of biological data for cancer patients. An iterated rank-one decomposition of matrices for gene expression, microRNA expression, and methylation loci identifies a genetic signature for a particular type of cancer. The signature can be correlated with clinical data, with the goal of guiding detection, classification and treatment of cancers.

This method is applied to find a signal based on the expression of five microRNA that is a predictor for survival (response to treatment) of patients with ovarian cancer. In a related study, an order-theoretic method is applied to immunological data from brain tumor patients to again find a predictor for response to treatment.

This is based on joint work with Vyacheslav Adarichev, Kira Adaricheva, Gordon Okimoto, Tom Wenska and Ashkan Zienalzadeh.

3.21 Enumeration of minimal dominating sets of a graph and related notions

Lhouari Nourine (University Blaise Pascal – Aubiere, FR)

We consider the problem of enumerating (inclusion-wise) minimal dominating sets in graphs. The enumeration of minimal dominating sets of graphs is closely related to the well-known
transversal hypergraph problem in hypergraphs, which consists in enumerating the set of minimal transversals (or hitting sets) of a hypergraph. It is well known that the set of minimal dominating sets of a graph is in bijection with the set of minimal transversals of its closed neighbourhood hypergraph. We show that the problem of enumerating minimal transversals of a hypergraph can be polynomially reduced to the enumeration of minimal dominating sets of co-bipartite graph, and therefore they are equivalent.

We also formulate the enumeration of minimal dominating sets of a graph as the enumeration of i-keys of some implicational system, where a set is an i-key if its i-closure is the set of vertices of the graph. Whenever the implicational system is direct then i-keys correspond to classical notion of keys.
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3.22 Parameterized Ceteris Paribus Preferences over Atomic Conjunctions

Sergei Obiedkov (NRU Higher School of Economics – Moscow, RU)

License Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license © Sergei Obiedkov


URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38317-5_12

We consider a propositional language for describing parameterised ceteris paribus preferences [4] over atomic conjunctions. Such preferences are only required to hold when the alternatives being compared agree on a specified subset of propositional variables. We propose an approach based on formal concept analysis [1] to learning preferences from data by showing that ceteris paribus preferences valid in a particular model correspond to implications of a special formal context derived from this model. Regarding the expressivity of the language in question, we show that a parameterised preference statement is equivalent to a conjunction of an exponential number of classical, non-parameterized, ceteris paribus statements [3]. Next, we present an inference system for parameterized statements and prove that the problem of checking the semantic consequence relation for such statements is coNP-complete. Finally, we adapt a polynomial-time algorithm for abduction using Horn clauses represented by their characteristic models [2] to the problem of determining preferences over new alternatives from preferences over given alternatives (with ceteris paribus preferences as the underlying model).
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3.23 RQL: a Query Language for Implications
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RQL is a concrete SQL-like language derived from a well-founded logical query language, called SafeRL, allowing to express a wide variety of rules to be satisfied against the data. RQL extends and generalizes functional dependencies in databases to new and unexpected implications easily expressed with a SQL-like language. In this setting, every rule mining problem turns out to be seen as a query processing problem. We provide a query rewriting technique and a constructive proof of the main query equivalence theorem, leading to an efficient query processing technique. From a practical point of view, we show how a tight integration of RQL can be performed on top of any Database Management Systems. The approach has been implemented and experimented on different datasets (http://rql.insa-lyon.fr).

**References**


3.24 On the Succinctness of Closure Operator Representations
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It is widely known that closure operators on finite sets can be represented by sets of implications (also known as inclusion dependencies) as well as by formal contexts. In this paper, we consider these two representation types, as well as generalizations of them:
extended implications and context families. We discuss the mutual succinctness of these four representations and the tractability of certain operations used to compare and modify closure operators.

3.25 The hydra number of a graph

Despina Stasi (Illinois Inst. of Technology, US)

We consider a graph parameter, the hydra number, arising from a restricted version of Horn minimization. The hydra number of a graph $G=(V,E)$ is the minimum number of hyperarcs of the form $(u,v)\rightarrow w$ required in a directed hypergraph $H=(V,F)$, such that for every pair $(u,v)$, the set of vertices reachable in $H$ from $u,v$ is the entire vertex set $V$ if $(u,v)$ is an edge in $E$, and it is $u,v$ otherwise. Here reachability is defined by the standard forward chaining or marking algorithm. Various bounds are given for the hydra number. We show that the hydra number of a graph can be upper bounded by the number of edges plus the path cover number of its line graph, and this is a sharp bound for some graphs. On the other hand, we construct graphs with hydra number equal to the number of edges, but having arbitrarily large path cover number. We also give a lower bound for trees which is sharp for spider trees.

3.26 The Complexity of Robust Satisfiability of the Constraint Satisfaction Problem (Survey)

Suguru Tamaki (Kyoto University, JP)

In the Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP), an instance consists of a set of variables and a set of relations, and the objective is to find an assignment of values to the variables that satisfies all the constraints. In Max-CSP, the objective is to find an assignment that satisfies as many constraints as possible. Robust satisfiability of CSP is the notion between (standard) CSP and Max-CSP.

A CSP instance is $(1-\epsilon)$-satisfiable if some assignment satisfies at least a $(1-\epsilon)$-fraction of constraints. For a class $C$ of instances of CSP, an approximation algorithm is robust if there exists $f: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$, where $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\epsilon) = 0$, such that for every $\epsilon \geq 0$ and every $(1-\epsilon)$-satisfiable instance of $C$, the algorithm outputs an assignment that satisfies at least a $(1- f(\epsilon))$-fraction of constraints.

Since robust satisfiability of CSP is NP-hard in general, we are naturally interested in tractable subclasses of CSP. A constraint language $\Gamma$ is a set of relations over some domain. Then a subclass of CSP, denoted by CSP($\Gamma$), is defined as a set of CSP instances with relations from $\Gamma$. 
The complexity classification problem of robust satisfiability of CSP($\Gamma$) for each $\Gamma$ was initiated by Zwick, and people have continued the study to reach the ultimate goal, i.e., the complete classification of constraint languages with respect to polynomial time robust approximability. Finally, Barto and Kozik complete the classification for CSP with finite domains.

In this talk, I will give an overview on the complexity of robust satisfiability of CSP. This talk is in part based on joint work with Gábor Kun, Ryan O’Donnell, Yuichi Yoshida, and Yuan Zhou.

3.27 Some combinatorial problems for directed hypergraphs

György Turán (University of Illinois – Chicago, US)

We consider directed hypergraphs with size-3 edges of the form $a, b \rightarrow c$, and discuss some extremal and probabilistic problems corresponding to analogous problems for graphs.

How many edges can a directed hypergraph on $n$ vertices have if it doesn’t contain two edges of the form $a, b \rightarrow c$ and $c, d \rightarrow e$? It is shown that the maximum is given by the following directed hypergraph: divide the vertices into two parts $A$ and $B$, and include all triples $a, b \rightarrow c$ with $a, b \in A$ and $c \in B$, choosing sizes to maximize the number of edges. The similar problem for triples $a, b \rightarrow c$ and $b, c \rightarrow d$ is solved only asymptotically.

We also discuss a question about random directed hypergraphs, where every edge is included with probability $p$. Given a directed hypergraph, a pair $(u, v)$ is good, if forward chaining started from $u$ and $v$ marks every vertex. The probability of having at least one good pair changes from almost 0 to almost 1 over the interval between $1/n \ln n$ and $\ln \ln n / n \ln n$. The probability of every pair being good changes from almost 0 to almost 1 around $\ln n / n$. The question of how the number of good pairs grows in between is open.

We also mention an $n / \ln n$ approximation algorithm for the minimization of Horn formulas in the Steiner version, where it is allowed to introduce new variables in a restricted manner. The algorithm is based on covering bipartite graphs with bicliques.

Joint works with Amitava Bhattacharya, Bhaskar DasGupta, Marina Langlois, Dhruv Mubayi, Despina Stasi and Robert Sloan.
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