

# Current Trends and New Perspectives for First-Order Model-Checking\*

Stephan Kreutzer

Chair for Logic and Semantics, Technical University Berlin, Berlin, Germany  
stephan.kreutzer@tu-berlin.de

---

## Abstract

The model-checking problem for a logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is the problem of deciding for a given formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$  and structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  whether the formula is true in the structure, i.e. whether  $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi$ .

Model-checking for logics such as *First-Order Logic* (FO) or *Monadic Second-Order Logic* (MSO) has been studied intensively in the literature, especially in the context of algorithmic meta-theorems within the framework of parameterized complexity. However, in the past the focus of this line of research was model-checking on classes of *sparse* graphs, e.g. planar graphs, graph classes excluding a minor or classes which are nowhere dense. By now, the complexity of first-order model-checking on sparse classes of graphs is completely understood. Hence, current research now focusses mainly on classes of dense graphs.

In this talk we will briefly review the known results on sparse classes of graphs and explain the complete classification of classes of sparse graphs on which first-order model-checking is tractable. In the second part we will then focus on recent and ongoing research analysing the complexity of first-order model-checking on classes of dense graphs.

**1998 ACM Subject Classification** F.4.1 Computational Logic

**Keywords and phrases** Finite Model Theory, Computational Model Theory, Algorithmic Meta Theorems, Model-Checking, Logical Approaches in Graph Theory

**Digital Object Identifier** 10.4230/LIPIcs.CSL.2017.4

**Category** Invited Talk

## 1 Introduction

The *model checking problem*  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L})$  for a logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is the problem to decide, given as input a formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$  and a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ , whether  $\varphi$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Often the model checking problem is relativised to a particular class  $\mathcal{C}$  of structures. We define  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  as the restriction of  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L})$  to structures in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Understanding the model checking complexity for important logical systems such as first-order (FO) and monadic second-order logic (MSO) but also various temporal logics has been one of the prominent topics in finite and computational model theory for decades. Prominent applications of model checking include database systems or computer aided verification, where logical methods are prevalent.

For classical logics such as first-order logic (FO) or monadic second-order logic (MSO) the model checking problem is known to be computationally intractable: it is PSPACE-complete for FO and MSO. These hardness results even hold in restriction to structures with only two

---

\* Stephan Kreutzer's research has been supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC Consolidator Grant DISTRUCT, grant agreement No 648527).



© Stephan Kreutzer;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

26th EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic (CSL 2017).

Editors: Valentin Goranko and Mads Dam; Article No. 4; pp. 4:1–4:5

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics



LIPICs Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

elements and no relations. As in most “model centric” contexts two element structures are not particularly interesting, it has become standard to measure the complexity of model checking problems in a more refined complexity framework, especially in the framework of parameterized complexity.

In the parameterized setting, the goal is to develop algorithms deciding  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  on input  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$  in time  $f(|\varphi|) \cdot |\mathfrak{A}|^c$ , where  $f$  is a computable function and  $c$  is a constant. Problems that can be solved in this time are called *fixed-parameter tractable* (fpt). If, furthermore,  $c = 1$  they are called *fixed-parameter linear*.

If  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  is fpt, then a fixed formula  $\varphi$  can be evaluated efficiently even in very large structures, as the running time in the size of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is only linear or polynomial. This is not only a theoretical observation but seems to be reflected also in practical applications. For instance, model checking for one of the widely used temporal logics, the *linear time logic* (LTL), is PSPACE-complete. But it is fixed-parameter tractable and it can be solved very efficiently in real world applications.

For logics such as MSO or FO, it is not hard to see that their model checking problem is not fixed-parameter tractable in general.<sup>1</sup> On the other hand, Courcelle [2] showed that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of structures of bounded *tree width*, then  $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}, \mathcal{C})$  is fixed-parameter linear, even if quantification over sets of edges as well as sets of vertices is allowed (this logic is called  $\text{MSO}_2$  whereas  $\text{MSO}_1$  only has quantification over sets of vertices in a graph).

$\text{MSO}_2$  is a very powerful language in which many natural graph theoretical problems can be formulated easily and naturally. It has attracted significant interest in the parameterized graph algorithms community, especially in a field known as algorithmic graph structure theory where researchers develop algorithms for NP-hard problems that become efficient for special classes of graphs, such as classes with forbidden minors. Initially, Courcelle’s theorem served as a quick and easy way of proving that a problem is linear time solvable for classes of bounded tree width. Subsequently it has become a valuable tool in parameterized algorithms research for proving general meta-tractability results such as meta-kernels and the core concepts of the model-theoretic proof of Courcelle’s theorem have found its way into the standard tool set of parameterized graph algorithmics, e.g. in the form of *protrusions*. Furthermore, Langer et al. [16] showed that an  $\text{MSO}_2$ -solver can be implemented and used for solving real-world problems. Hence,  $\text{MSO}_2$  can now even be used as a high-level programming language for graph problems.

As mentioned above, for logics such as FO or MSO,  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  is (presumably) not fixed-parameter tractable on the class of all finite structures or graphs but it is tractable if  $\mathcal{C}$  has bounded tree width. This raises the natural question for a logic  $\mathcal{L}$  such as FO,  $\text{MSO}_1$ ,  $\text{MSO}_2$ :

*What are the largest classes  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs or structures for which  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  is still fixed-parameter tractable.*

Or:

*Can we identify a structural property  $P$  such that  $\text{MC}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$  is fixed-parameter tractable for a class  $\mathcal{C}$  if, and only if,  $\mathcal{C}$  has property  $P$ ?*

Such a characterisation (of course subject to complexity theoretic assumptions) would be extremely interesting as it

---

<sup>1</sup> Here and elsewhere in this abstract we assume the standard hypotheses in complexity theory such as  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$  and a similar assumption in parameterized complexity theory and our hardness results are subject to these assumptions.

- (a) completely explains what makes model checking for this logic hard,
- (b) identifies a set of algorithmic techniques that can be employed in the tractable cases to evaluate formulas quickly, and
- (c) provided that the property  $P$  is a natural and efficiently decidable parameter, yields a quick way of checking whether model checking for the logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is a particular application determined by the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of structures is feasible.

This leads to a systematic research programme aiming at identifying such characterisations of tractable cases in terms of parameters  $P$  for the major logics such as FO,  $\text{MSO}_1$  and  $\text{MSO}_2$ .

Following Courcelle's theorem, this research programme has received significant attention in the area. In [15, 14] it was proved in that fixed-parameter tractability of  $\text{MSO}_2$  cannot be extended much beyond the case of bounded tree width. This does not establish a tight characterisation but shows that tree width seems to be the characterising parameter for  $\text{MSO}_2$  tractability.

For  $\text{MSO}_1$ , i.e. monadic second-order logic with quantification over sets of vertices only, it was proved by Courcelle et al. [3] that  $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_1, \mathcal{C})$  is fixed-parameter tractable on any class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs of bounded clique width. Here, no matching lower bound is known and to date the right graph theoretical tools to establish such a bound are still missing.

Most research, however, has gone into understanding the tractable cases for first-order model checking. For a long time much of this research has focussed on sparse classes of graphs<sup>2</sup>. Seese [20] showed that  $\text{MC}(\text{FO}, \mathcal{C})$  is fpt for classes  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs of bounded maximum degree. The result was generalised by Flum and Grohe to classes with excluded minors [7] and to classes of bounded local tree width by Flum, Frick and Grohe [6]. This was followed by a series of related results, e.g. in [5, 4, 21]. See also [11] for a survey of earlier work in this area.

Finally, the case for sparse classes of graphs was settled completely in [12], where it was proved that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of graphs that is closed under taking subgraphs then  $\text{MC}(\text{FO}, \mathcal{C})$  is fixed-parameter tractable if, and only if,  $\mathcal{C}$  is *nowhere dense*. Nowhere dense classes of graphs have been introduced by Nešetřil and Ossonda de Mendez [18, 19, 17] as a model for *sparseness*. A huge number of results that have been obtained in recent years support their claim that nowhere denseness captures structural sparsity.

The result in [12] completely characterises the tractable cases of first-order model checking for classes of structures closed under substructures by a simple and natural parameter. Consequently, current research activities focus on model checking on dense classes of graphs. For instance, Ganian et al. [10] showed that first-order model checking is fpt on certain classes of interval graphs. And Bova et al. [1] proved that model checking for existential FO is fpt on classes of partial orders. This was later generalised by Gajarsky et al. [8] to full FO.

These examples demonstrate tractability of first-order model checking on classes of graphs that were already well established and studied in different contexts.

A different approach is to study transformations of graph classes that preserve efficient model checking. The most notably of these are first-order interpretations. A currently very active topic in this field is to study the closure of sparse classes of graphs under first-order

<sup>2</sup> We call a class of graphs *sparse* if the number of edges is essentially linear in the number of vertices. There are several mathematical concepts trying to define sparseness formally, including *bounded average degree*, *degeneracy* or *nowhere dense classes* of graphs. Usually, sparse classes of graphs can be closed under taking subgraphs, i.e. if  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $H \subseteq G$  then  $H \in \mathcal{C}$ . The obvious exception is bounded average degree.

interpretations designed in a way that the tractability of first-order model checking is carried over from the sparse to the dense class. This route was for instance taken by Gajarsky et al. who studied the interpretation closure of classes of graphs of bounded degree and obtained a very elegant solution to this problem. See e.g. [9].

For the sparse setting, model checking for FO was studied on classes of graphs closed under taking subgraphs. This is a perfectly natural operation in the sparse setting. For dense graphs, it seems equally natural to consider classes of graphs closed under induced subgraphs. A third approach therefore is to study graph parameters which for sparse classes of graphs are equivalent to nowhere denseness but are also well defined for classes closed under induced subgraphs. One such parameter is *stability*. Stable classes of graphs hold the promise to be a very interesting class for model checking and other algorithmic purposes. See e.g. [13]. But there is still a lot of work required to establish their basic algorithmic properties.

While currently there is significant progress and activity in the study of first-order model checking on dense classes of graphs, we are still very far from a precise characterisation of the dense classes of graphs with tractable model checking.

In this talk we will briefly review the results obtained for model-checking problems in the sparse setting and then present the new ideas and results and future directions for first-order model checking on dense classes of graphs.

---

## References

- 1 Simone Bova, Robert Ganian, and Stefan Szeider. Model checking existential logic on partially ordered sets. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.*, 17(2):10:1–10:35, 2016. doi:10.1145/2814937.
- 2 B. Courcelle. Graph rewriting: An algebraic and logic approach. In J. van Leeuwen, editor, *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, volume 2, pages 194–242. Elsevier, 1990.
- 3 Bruno Courcelle, Janos Makowsky, and Udi Rotics. Linear time solvable optimization problems on graphs of bounded clique-width. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 33(2):125–150, 2000.
- 4 A. Dawar, M. Grohe, and S. Kreutzer. Locally excluding a minor. In *Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*, pages 270–279, 2007.
- 5 Zdeněk Dvořák, Daniel Král, and Robin Thomas. Deciding first-order properties for sparse graphs. In *51st Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, 2010.
- 6 Jörg Flum, Markus Frick, and Martin Grohe. Query evaluation via tree-decompositions. *J. ACM*, 49(6):716–752, 2002. doi:10.1145/602220.602222.
- 7 Jörg Flum and Martin Grohe. Fixed-parameter tractability, definability, and model checking. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 31:113–145, 2001.
- 8 Jakub Gajarský, Petr Hlinený, Daniel Lokshtanov, Jan Obdržálek, Sebastian Ordyniak, M. S. Ramanujan, and Saket Saurabh. FO model checking on posets of bounded width. In *IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2015, Berkeley, CA, USA, 17-20 October, 2015*, pages 963–974, 2015. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2015.63.
- 9 Jakub Gajarský, Petr Hlinený, Jan Obdržálek, Daniel Lokshtanov, and M. S. Ramanujan. A new perspective on FO model checking of dense graph classes. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, LICS’16, New York, NY, USA, July 5-8, 2016*, pages 176–184, 2016. doi:10.1145/2933575.2935314.
- 10 Robert Ganian, Petr Hlinený, Daniel Král, Jan Obdržálek, Jarett Schwartz, and Jakub Teska. FO model checking of interval graphs. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 11(4), 2015. doi:10.2168/LMCS-11(4:11)2015.

- 11 Martin Grohe and Stephan Kreutzer. Methods for algorithmic meta-theorems. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 588, American Mathematical Society 2011.
- 12 Martin Grohe, Stephan Kreutzer, and Sebastian Siebertz. Deciding first-order properties of nowhere dense graphs. In *46th Annual Symposium on the Theory of Computing (STOC)*, 2014.
- 13 Stephan Kreutzer, Roman Rabinovich, and Sebastian Siebertz. Polynomial kernels and wideness properties of nowhere dense graph classes. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2017, Barcelona, Spain, Hotel Porta Fira, January 16-19*, pages 1533–1545, 2017. doi:10.1137/1.9781611974782.100.
- 14 Stephan Kreutzer and Siamak Tazari. Lower bounds for the complexity of monadic second-order logic. In *Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*, 2010.
- 15 Stephan Kreutzer and Siamak Tazari. On brambles, grid-like minors, and parameterized intractability of monadic second-order logic. In *Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, 2010.
- 16 Alexander Langer, Felix Reidl, Peter Rossmanith, and Somnath Sikdar. Evaluation of an mso-solver. In *Algorithm Engineering & Experiments (ALENEX)*, pages 55–63, 2012.
- 17 Jaroslav Nešetřil and Patrice Ossona de Mendez. *Sparsity*. Springer, 2012.
- 18 Jaroslav Nešetřil and Patrice Ossona de Mendez. First order properties of nowhere dense structures. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 75(3):868–887, 2010.
- 19 Jaroslav Nešetřil and Patrice Ossona de Mendez. On nowhere dense graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 32(4):600–617, 2011.
- 20 Detlev Seese. Linear time computable problems and first-order descriptions. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 5:505–526, 1996.
- 21 Luc Segoufin and Alexandre Vigny. Constant Delay Enumeration for FO Queries over Databases with Local Bounded Expansion. In Michael Benedikt and Giorgio Orsi, editors, *20th International Conference on Database Theory (ICDT 2017)*, volume 68 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 20:1–20:16. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2017. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICDT.2017.20.