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Abstract
This report documents the program and outcomes of Dagstuhl Seminar 18241 “High-performance Graph Algorithms”. The seminar reflected the ongoing qualitative change how graph algorithms are used in practice due to (i) the complex structure of graphs in new and emerging applications, (ii) the size of typical inputs, and (iii) the computer systems with which graph problems are solved. This change is having a tremendous impact on the field of graph algorithms in terms of algorithm theory and implementation as well as hardware requirements and application areas.

The seminar covered recent advances in all these aspects, trying to balance and mediate between theory and practice. The abstracts included in this report contain and survey recent state-of-the-art results, but also point to promising new directions for high-performance graph algorithms and their applications, both from a theoretical and a practical point of view.
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1 Executive Summary

Henning Meyerhenke
Richard Peng
Ilya Safro
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Many presentations in this Dagstuhl seminar emphasized recent trends regarding typical inputs and their effect on graph algorithm development. From a high-level perspective, one can divide the presentations into two categories: either more focused on algorithm theory or more focused on practical algorithmic results. Many talks considered both theoretical and practical aspects. Furthermore, attention was given to intermix talks with theoretical and practically motivated starting points in order to encourage discussions among attendees. We were happy to see such discussions, as well as synergy of both aspects, carrying over to working groups on open problems.
Theory-focused talks were given by Sachdeva, Nanongkai, Jacob, Mouatadid, Kyng, Tsourakakis, and Litvak. They considered numerous topics such as Laplacian solvers and related optimization techniques, dynamic graph algorithms, external-memory graph algorithms, graph decompositions, and generative models.

The talks with emphasis on practical performance can be further subdivided into three subclasses: (i) graph mining, network analysis and optimization, (ii) parallel, distributed and streaming graph algorithms and (iii) graph generation. The talks given by Koutra, Ahmed, Klymko, Angriman, Gleich and Schulz fall into the first subclass, with a wide variation of algorithmic problems under consideration. Likewise, it was interesting to see the variety in computing platforms and tools (for example shared memory, message passing, distributed systems, streaming from databases, GraphBLAS) used in the eight talks of subclass two, presented by Besta, Shun, Predari, Ramachandran, Pothen, Bader, Finocchi and Davis. Finally, the talks by Phillips, Sanders and Penschuck as well as Crescenzi dealt with generating very large graphs with properties also found in real-world graphs – which is important, among others, for convincing scaling studies in algorithm engineering.
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3 Overview of Talks

3.1 Sampling from Massive Graph Streams: A Unifying Framework

Nesreen K. Ahmed (Intel Labs – Santa Clara, US)
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The rapid growth of the Internet and the explosion in online social media has led to a data deluge. A growing set of online applications are continuously generating data at unprecedented rates, from the Internet of things (e.g., connected devices, routers), electronic communication (e.g., email, IMs), social media (e.g., blogs), to the vast collection of online social networks and content sharing applications (e.g., Facebook, Twitter). Graphs are a natural data representation in many of these application domains, where nodes represent individuals/entities and edges represent the interaction, communication, or connectivity among them. Consider interaction and activity networks formed from electronic communication between online users. These resulting interaction and activity networks manifest as a stream of edges, where edges (i.e., interactions) occur one at a time, carrying a wealth of behavioral, community, and relationship information. Many of these networks are massive in size, due to the prolific amount of activity data. To keep up with the growing pace of this data, we need efficient methods to analyze dynamic interaction networks as the data arrives in streams, rather than static snapshots of graphs. Sampling provides an attractive approach to quickly and efficiently find an approximate answer to a query, or more generally, any analysis objective. In this talk, I will discuss a novel adaptive general-purpose framework for sampling from graph streams, called graph priority sampling (GPS). From a high-volume stream of edges, our proposed framework maintains a generic sample of limited size that can be used at any time to accurately estimate the total weight of arbitrary graph subsets (i.e., triangles, cliques). To obtain accurate estimates of various graph properties, our proposed framework maintains a weight sensitive sample that devotes sampling resources to edges that are informative for those properties. Unbiasedness of subgraph estimators is established through a new Martingale formulation of graph stream sampling, in which subgraph estimators are unbiased, even when computed at different points in the stream. I will summarize the results of our large-scale experimental study on real-world graphs from various domains.

3.2 Centrality Computation in Real-World Networks: New Challenges

Eugenio Angriman (Universität Köln, DE)
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The efficient computation of the top-k most central nodes of a graph has been widely studied, especially for well-known centrality metrics such as Closeness, Katz, or Betweenness. We present several techniques that allow us to efficiently recompute the top-k nodes with highest Closeness Centrality after the insertion or removal of an edge in a network without requiring
asymptotically more memory than the static algorithms. We also give a brief introduction to NetworKit, an open-source toolkit for large-scale network analysis with shared-memory systems. NetworKit includes a wide range of state-of-the-art graph algorithms implemented in C++, which can be easily used from a Python frontend. Finally, we introduce some open problems related to the extension of single-node centrality metrics to groups of nodes.

3.3 Massive-scale Graph Analytics: A New Algorithmic Model

David A. Bader (Georgia Institute of Technology – Atlanta, US)

Emerging real-world graph problems include: detecting and preventing disease in human populations; revealing community structure in large social networks; and improving the resilience of the electric power grid. Unlike traditional applications in computational science and engineering, solving these problems at scale often raises new challenges because of the sparsity and lack of locality in the data, the need for research on scalable algorithms and development of frameworks for solving these real-world problems on high performance computers, and for improved models that capture the noise and bias inherent in the torrential data streams.

Focusing on parallel algorithm design and implementation, Bader formalizes a practical model for graph analysis on streaming data. In this model, a massive graph undergoes changes from an input stream of edge insertions and removals. The model supports concurrent updating of the graph while algorithms execute concurrently on the dynamic data structure. The talk introduces a concept of validity: an algorithm is valid if the output is correct for a graph consisting of the initial graph with some subset of concurrent changes. Practical examples of this model are given for valid implementations of breadth first search, connected components, PageRank, and triangle counting, all useful graph kernels in real-world applications.

3.4 Core-periphery clustering and complex networks

Pierluigi Crescenzi (University of Florence, IT)

In this talk we analyse the core-periphery clustering properties of complex networks, where the core of a network is formed by the nodes with highest degree. In particular, we first observe that, even for random graph models aiming at matching the degree-distribution and/or the clustering coefficient of real networks, these models produce synthetic graphs which have a spatial distribution of the triangles with respect to the core and to the periphery which does not match the spatial distribution of the triangles in the real networks. We
therefore propose a new model, called CPCL, whose aim is to distribute the triangles in a way fitting with their real core-periphery distribution, and thus producing graphs matching the core-periphery clustering of real networks.

3.5 Scaling problems with metric constraints

David F. Gleich (Purdue University – West Lafayette, US)
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We evaluate techniques to solve LP formulations with metric constraints (triangle inequality constraints). We are able to solve these with a-posteriori approximation guarantees for problems with 10000 datapoints (or roughly 700 billion constraints) on standard desktop hardware through the careful use of projection methods.

3.6 SuiteSparse:GraphBLAS: graph algorithms in the language of linear algebra

Timothy Alden Davis (Texas A&M University – College Station, US)
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SuiteSparse:GraphBLAS is a full implementation of the GraphBLAS standard, which defines a set of sparse matrix operations on an extended algebra of semirings using an almost unlimited variety of operators and types. When applied to sparse adjacency matrices, these algebraic operations are equivalent to computations on graphs. GraphBLAS provides a powerful and expressive framework for creating graph algorithms based on the elegant mathematics of sparse matrix operations on a semiring. Key features and performance of the SuiteSparse implementation of GraphBLAS package are described.

3.7 Beyond triangles

Irene Finocchi (Sapienza University of Rome, IT)
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The talk addressed the problem of counting the number $q_k$ of $k$-cliques in large-scale graphs, for any constant $k \geq 3$. This is essential in a variety of applications, including social network analysis. Due to the computationally intensive nature of the clique counting problem, we
settle for exact/approximate parallel solutions in the MapReduce framework, discussing both theoretical and experimental contributions. In particular, our algorithms make it possible to compute $q_k$ for several real-world graphs and shed light on its growth rate as a function of $k$.

3.8 The Parallel External Memory Model: Sparse Matrix Multiply and List Ranking

Riko Jacob (IT University of Copenhagen, DK)
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The talk surveyed the parallel external memory model (PEM) and some fundamental results on permuting/sparse matrix multiply. It also gave an impression of a very peculiar lower bound for the list ranking problem, meant as an invitation to work on the open problem of generalizing the lower bound to a more standard setting.

References

3.9 Theoretically Efficient Parallel Graph Algorithms Can Be Fast and Scalable

Julian Shun (MIT – Cambridge, US)
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There has been significant interest in parallel graph processing recently due to the need to quickly analyze the large graphs available today. Many graph codes have been designed for distributed memory or external memory. However, today even the largest publicly-available real-world graph (the Hyperlink Web graph with over 3.5 billion vertices and 128 billion edges) can fit in the memory of a single commodity multicore server. Nevertheless, most experimental work in the literature report results on much smaller graphs, and the ones that use the Hyperlink graph are done in distributed or external memory. Therefore it is natural to ask whether we can efficiently solve a broad class of graph problems on this graph in memory.

With a graph of this size it is important to use theoretically-efficient parallel algorithms as even minor inefficiencies in the work or parallelism of an algorithm can lead to a significant
increase in running time. This talk shows that theoretically-efficient parallel graph algorithms can scale to the largest publicly-available graphs using a single machine with a terabyte of RAM, processing them in minutes. We give implementations of theoretically-efficient parallel algorithms for 13 important graph problems. We also present the optimizations and techniques that we used in our implementations, which were crucial in enabling us to process these large graphs quickly. We show that the running times of our implementations outperform existing state-of-the-art implementations on the largest real-world graphs. For many of the problems that we consider, this is the first time they have been solved on graphs at this scale.

3.10 An Ensemble Framework for Detecting Community Changes in Dynamic Networks

Christine Klymko (LLNL – Livermore, US)

Dynamic networks, especially those representing social networks, undergo constant evolution of their community structure over time. Nodes can migrate between different communities, communities can split into multiple new communities, communities can merge together, etc. In order to represent dynamic networks with evolving communities it is essential to use a dynamic model rather than a static one. Here we use a dynamic stochastic block model where the underlying block model is different at different times. In order to represent the structural changes expressed by this dynamic model the network will be split into discrete time segments and a clustering algorithm will assign block memberships for each segment. We show that using an ensemble of clustering assignments accommodates for the variance in scalable clustering algorithms and produces superior results in terms of pairwise-precision and pairwise-recall. We also demonstrate that the dynamic clustering produced by the ensemble can be visualized as a flowchart which encapsulates the community evolution succinctly.
3.11 Scalable Inference and Summarization of Multi-source Network Data

Danai Koutra (University of Michigan – Ann Arbor, US)

Networks naturally capture a host of real-world interactions, from social interactions and email communication to brain activity. However, graphs are not always directly observed, especially in scientific domains, such as neuroscience, where monitored brain activity is often captured as time series. How can we efficiently infer networks from time series data (e.g., model the functional organization of brain activity as a network) and speed up the network construction process to scale up to millions of nodes and thousands of graphs? Further, what can be learned about the structure of the graph data? How can we automatically summarize a network ‘conditionally’ to its domain, i.e., summarize its most important properties by taking into account the properties of other graphs in that domain (e.g., neuroscience, social science)? In this talk I will present our recent work on scalable algorithms for inferring, summarizing and mining large collections of graph data coming from different sources. I will also discuss applications in various domains, including connectomics and social science.

3.12 Optimization on Graphs

Rasmus Kyng (Harvard University – Cambridge, US)

Many of our favorite questions about graphs are answered by solving optimization problems. For example, we can analyze social networks using clustering and regression, and this leads to problems that are solved using optimization techniques. Similarly, planning flows of goods or data in transportation networks boils down to solving optimization problems. Second order methods are a powerful tool in optimization, but they require solving linear equations, which can be prohibitively expensive. However, when the optimization problem comes from a graph, this adds structure to the linear equations. We can leverage this structure to solve the equations quickly, making second order methods tractable.
3.13 Power-law hypothesis for PageRank

Nelly Litvak (University of Twente, NL)

PageRank is a well-known algorithm for measuring centrality in networks, originally proposed by Google for ranking pages in the World-Wide Web. One of the intriguing properties of PageRank is the so-called “power-law hypothesis”: in a scale-free network the PageRank follows a power law with the same exponent as (in-)degrees. Up to date, this hypothesis has been confirmed many times empirically. However, formalizing and proving this result mathematically turns out to be challenging. In this talk I will discuss recent progress on limiting behavior of PageRank in random graphs. I will first present results on the power-law behavior for PageRank was obtained in the directed configuration model with independent in- and out-degrees. This result follows essentially from the coupling of the graph with a branching tree. I will continue with recent work, where we take a different approach. Instead of focusing on a particular random graph model, we investigate the asymptotic PageRank distribution when the graph size goes to infinity, using the notion of local weak convergence recently developed in the theory of random graphs. To this end, we define an exploration process in the directed setting that keeps track of in- and out-degrees of vertices. Then we use this to prove the existence of the asymptotic PageRank distribution. As a result, the limiting distribution of PageRank can be computed directly as a function of the limiting object. As examples, we apply our results in the directed configuration model with dependent in- and out-degrees, continuous-time branching processes trees, and preferential attachment models.

3.14 To Push or To Pull: On Reducing Communication and Synchronization in Graph Computations

Maciej Besta

We reduce the cost of communication and synchronization in graph processing by analyzing the fastest way to process graphs: pushing the updates to a shared state or pulling the updates to a private state. We investigate the applicability of this push-pull dichotomy to various algorithms and its impact on complexity, performance, and the amount of used locks, atomics, and reads/writes. We consider 11 graph algorithms, 3 programming models, 2 graph abstractions, and various families of graphs. The conducted analysis illustrates
surprising differences between push and pull variants of different algorithms in performance, speed of convergence, and code complexity; the insights are backed up by performance data from hardware counters. We use these findings to illustrate which variant is faster for each algorithm and to develop generic strategies that enable even higher speedups. Our insights can be used to accelerate graph processing engines or libraries on both massively-parallel shared-memory machines as well as distributed-memory systems.

3.15 A Toolbox to Extract Structure From Graphs

*Lalla Mouatadid (University of Toronto, CA)*

License: Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

We survey a few techniques to extract structural properties on certain graph classes. We focus in particular on two techniques: Graph searching and modular decomposition, and illustrate these ideas on certain graph classes. We then introduce a relaxation of modular decomposition (e-modules) to try to lift these techniques to less structured graphs.

3.16 Dynamic Algorithms and Complexity, A Short Survey

*Danupon Nanongkai (KTH Royal Institute of Technology – Stockholm, SE)*

License: Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

The purpose of this talk is to give people in other fields a taste of the dynamic graph algorithms research in the theory (FOCS/STOC) community. The talk is a compression of a survey given last week at HALG 2018. The original abstract is below.

In this talk I will attempt to answer the following questions I have been asked quite often: What are the current challenges in dynamic graph algorithms? What are good starting points for PhD students and experienced researchers from other fields, who want to try working in this field? The talk will focus on challenges for basic graph problems (e.g. connectivity, shortest paths, maximum matching), and will survey some existing upper and lower bound results and techniques.

3.17 Generating random massive graphs that mimic real data

*Cynthia A. Phillips (Sandia National Labs – Albuquerque, US)*

License: Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

We introduce wrapped BTER, a way to take a single input to the Block Two-Level Erdős-Renyi (BTER) scalable graph generator and produce a family of related graphs which vary by tightness of connection within BTER affinity blocks. This gives an alternative to the Lancichinetti-Fortunato-Radicchi (LFR) community detection benchmark capable of
generating billion-edge graphs in less than a minute. We are currently extending this to generate graphs that have the same density, but are scaled up or down in size. We will present the latest results on scaling generation or describe the currently blocking challenges. We feel this technique will provide an important new way to generate realistic data sets for experimental analysis on huge graphs when there is not a lot of real data, or it is millions of nodes instead of billions.

### 3.18 When Exact Fails to be Parallel: Designing Parallel Algorithms through Approximation

Alex Pothen (Purdue University – West Lafayette, US)

We describe a paradigm for designing parallel algorithms that employs approximation techniques. Instead of solving a problem exactly, for which efficient parallel algorithms might not exist, we seek a solution with provable approximation guarantees via approximation algorithms. Furthermore, we design approximation algorithms with high degrees of concurrency. We show \(b\)-edge covers and \(b\)-matchings in graphs as examples of this paradigm.

For \(b\)-edge covers, we describe four techniques for designing approximation algorithms that are concurrent. The first is the Greedy algorithm, the second is the use of a primal-dual formalism, and the third and fourth reduce edge cover computations to computing matchings. We show that some of these algorithms obtain high performance on both shared memory and distributed memory computers. We also describe an application of matchings and edge covers to a problem in data privacy.

#### References

1. Arif Khan, Alex Pothen and S M Ferdous, Designing Parallel Algorithms via Approximation: \(b\)-Edge Cover, Proceedings of IPDPS, 12 pp., 2018.

### 3.19 Topology-induced Enhancement of Mappings

Maria Predari (Universität Köln, DE)

We propose a new method to enhance a mapping \(\mu(\cdot)\) of a parallel application’s computational tasks to the processing elements (PEs) of a parallel computer. The idea behind our method TiMErt is to enhance such a mapping by drawing on the observation that many topologies
take the form of a partial cube. This class of graphs includes all rectangular and cubic meshes, any such torus with even extensions in each dimension, all hypercubes, and all trees.

Following previous work, we represent the parallel application and the parallel computer by graphs $G_a$ and $G_p$, respectively. $G_p$ being a partial cube allows us to label its vertices, the PEs, by bitvectors such that the cost of exchanging one unit of information between any two vertices of $G_p$ amounts to the Hamming distance between their labels. By transferring these bitvectors to the vertex set of $G_a$ via $\mu^{-1}(\cdot)$ and extending them to be unique on $G_a$, we can enhance $\mu(\cdot)$ by swapping labels on $G_a$ in a new way. Pairs of swapped labels are local w.r.t. the PEs, but not w.r.t. $G_a$. Moreover, permutations of the bitvectors’ entries give rise to a plethora of hierarchies on the PEs. Through these hierarchies we turn TiMEr into a hierarchical method for improving $\mu(\cdot)$ that is complementary to state-of-the-art methods for computing $\mu(\cdot)$ in the first place.

In our experiments we use TiMEr to enhance mappings of complex networks onto rectangular meshes and tori with 256 and 512 nodes, as well as hypercubes with 256 nodes. It turns out that common quality measure of mappings derived from state-of-the-art tools, such as scotch and KAHIP, can be improved up to 34%.

### 3.20 A Round-Efficient and Communication-Efficient Algorithm for Distributed Betweenness Centrality

Vijaya Ramachandran (University of Texas – Austin, US)
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Betweenness centrality is a graph computation that has many uses in the analysis of networks. Network graphs today consist of billions of nodes and trillions of edges and do not fit in the memory of a single machine, so distributed algorithms must be used to compute betweenness centrality. However, distributed algorithms are more difficult to implement efficiently than their shared-memory counterparts because the cost of synchronization is much higher.

In this talk, we present a round-efficient and communication-efficient algorithm for betweenness centrality and describe its implementation in D-Galois, a state-of-the-art distributed graph analytics framework. Our efficient distributed betweenness centrality algorithm for unweighted directed graphs runs in $2n + O(D)$ rounds in the CONGEST model, where $n$ is the number of nodes and $D$ is the diameter of the graph. We adapt and implement this algorithm in D-Galois by further optimizing our CONGEST distributed algorithm to substantially reduce communication and synchronization costs in the D-Galois execution model. Preliminary experiments with large graphs on big clusters show that this new distributed-memory algorithm is substantially faster than several prior implementations including a state-of-the-art combinatorial BLAS implementation.
3.21 Fast Approximate Gaussian Elimination for Laplacians

Sushant Sachdeva (University of Toronto, CA)

Solving systems of linear equations in graph Laplacians is a fundamental primitive in scientific computing and optimization. Starting with the seminal work of Spielman-Teng that gave the first nearly-linear time algorithm for solving Laplacian systems, there has been a long line of work giving faster Laplacian solvers. These solvers have had a large impact on the design of fast graph algorithms.

I'll present a very simple, nearly-linear time Laplacian solver that is based purely on random sampling, and does not use any graph theoretic constructions such as low-stretch trees, sparsifiers, or expanders. Our solver builds a sparse Cholesky factorization for Laplacians—the symmetric version of Gaussian elimination. More precisely, it approximates a Laplacian $L$ as $U'U$, where $U$ is a sparse upper triangular matrix. Since triangular matrices are easy to invert, this immediately implies a fast Laplacian solver via iterative refinement.

3.22 Massively parallel communication-free graph generators

Peter Sanders (KIT – Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, DE) and Manuel Penschuck (Goethe-Universität – Frankfurt, DE)

Analyzing massive complex networks yields promising insights about our everyday lives. Building scalable algorithms to do that is a challenging task that requires a careful analysis and extensive evaluation. However, engineering such algorithms is often hindered by the scarcity of publicly available datasets. Network generators serve as a tool to alleviate this problem by providing synthetic instances with controllable parameters.

We present efficient distributed algorithms for generating massive graphs for several popular models of random graphs. By making use of pseudorandomization and divide-and-conquer schemes, our generators follow a communication-free paradigm in the sense that the amount of communication necessary between the processors does not depend on the output size. The resulting generators are often embarrassingly parallel and have a near optimal scaling behavior.
Currently supported models include Erdős-Rényi (directed/undirected), Barabási-Albert scale-free, random geometric (2D/3D), Delaunay triangulations of random point sets (2D/3D), and random hyperbolic.

### 3.23 Practical Kernelization

*Christian Schulz (Universität Wien, AT)*

Many NP-hard graph problems have been shown to be fixed-parameter tractable (FPT): large inputs can be solved efficiently and provably optimally, as long as some problem parameter is small. Here the parameter measures the ‘difficulty’ of the input in some mathematically well-defined way, for example using the treewidth of the underlying graph. Over the last two decades, significant advances have been made in the design and analysis of FPT algorithms for a wide variety of graph problems. This has resulted in a rich algorithmic toolbox that are by now well-established and are described in several textbooks and surveys. They lead to algorithms that are theoretically efficient: they allow problems of size $n$ with a parameter value of $k$ to be solved in time $f(k)n^c$ for some (exponential) function $f$ and constant $c$, thereby restricting the exponential dependence of the running time to the parameter $k$ only.

However, these theoretical algorithmic ideas have received very little attention from the practical perspective. Few of the new techniques are implemented and tested on real datasets, and their practical potential is far from understood. The rich toolbox of parameterized algorithm theory offers a rich set of algorithmic ideas that are challenging to implement and engineer in practical settings. In this talk, we survey our recent progress in applying reductions/kernelization routines to graph problems in order to obtain algorithms that run fast in practice. Specifically, we look at recent results for the independent set and the minimum cut problem.

### 3.24 Clustering with a faulty oracle

*Charalampos E. Tsourakakis (Harvard University – Cambridge, US)*

Social networks and interactions in social media involve both positive and negative relationships. Signed graphs capture both types of relationships: positive edges correspond to pairs of “friends”, and negative edges to pairs of “foes”. The *edge sign prediction problem* aims to predict whether an interaction between a pair of nodes will be positive or negative. We provide theoretical results for this problem that motivates natural improvements to recent heuristics for the problem on practical networks.
On the theoretical side, we model the edge sign prediction problem as follows: we are allowed to query any pair of nodes whether they belong to the same cluster or not, but the answer to the query is corrupted with some probability \(0 < q < \frac{1}{2}\). Let \(\delta = 1 - 2q\) be the bias. We provide an algorithm that recovers all signs correctly with high probability in the presence of noise with \(O\left(\frac{n \log n}{\delta^2} + \frac{\log^2 n}{\delta^6}\right)\) queries. This is the best known result for this problem for all but tiny \(\delta\), and improves the recent work of Mazumdar and Saha. Our result naturally generalizes to the case of \(k\) clusters as well. We also provide an algorithm that performs \(O\left(\frac{n \log n}{\delta^4}\right)\) queries, and uses breadth first search as its main algorithmic primitive. While both the running time and the number of queries are sub-optimal, our result relies on novel theoretical techniques, and naturally suggests the use of edge-disjoint paths as a feature for predicting signs in online social networks. Specifically, we use edge disjoint \(s-t\) paths of short length as a feature for predicting the sign of edge \((s, t)\) in real-world signed networks. Empirical findings suggest that the use of such paths improves the classification accuracy, especially for pairs of nodes with no common neighbors.

4 Working groups

4.1 Time segmentation working group

David A. Bader (Georgia Institute of Technology – Atlanta, US), Irene Finocchi (Sapienza University of Rome, IT), George Karypis (University of Minnesota – Minneapolis, US), Michel A. Kinsy (Boston University, US), Christine Klymko (LLNL – Livermore, US), Danai Koutra (University of Michigan – Ann Arbor, US), Cynthia A. Phillips (Sandia National Labs – Albuquerque, US), and Ilya Safro (Clemson University, US)

This working group explored methods for detecting changing community structure within graph data presented as an edge-stream where edges have time stamps. Within this framework, we divided the problem into two different but related subproblems and discussed each of them, as described below:

1. Burn-in: given the start of a data stream of time-stamped edges, how can one determine at what point they have observed enough data to make running a community detection algorithm reasonable (or any other algorithm concerning higher-order graph properties). Are there other, easier/more concrete metrics that could be used as a proxy?

2. Change detection: assuming one has a given community structure at the start of a data stream, when should one re-partition the network? Due to the fact that many community detection algorithms are computationally expensive, the goal would be to minimized the number of times this repartitioning is done without missing key changes in network structure.

   a. Could a reconstruction-error based approach which projects the network onto a low-rank model and determines the probability of incoming edges fitting the model work?

   b. Could we develop a function \(f(E)\) which triggers a repartitioning when it reaches a certain threshold?
4.2 Dynamic Graphs Working Group

Richard Peng (Georgia Institute of Technology – Atlanta, US), Nesreen K. Ahmed (Intel Labs – Santa Clara, US), Rob Bisseling (Utrecht University, NL), George Karypis (University of Minnesota – Minneapolis, US), Danupon Nanongkai (KTH Royal Institute of Technology – Stockholm, SE), Manuel Penschuck (Goethe-Universität – Frankfurt, DE), Alex Pothen (Purdue University – West Lafayette, US), Vijaya Ramachandran (University of Texas – Austin, US), and Julian Shun (MIT – Cambridge, US)

The discussion largely focused on two ways of modeling dynamically changing graphs stored in distributed settings.

The first is a streaming model where both the computation and the stream of updates happen on the same clock. That is, the arrival of updates (from the stream) continues irrespective of how long it took to process the previous one, in contrast with more standard streaming models where each computation (no matter how expensive) is completed before the arrival of the next update. This model can reflect the notion of computing an answer that is correct w.r.t. some snapshot of the graph. Furthermore, the accuracy of the algorithm can be measured by the difference in time between the query and the snapshot where the answer came from. Also, the difficulty of these problems can be quantified by the rate of arrival of the updates.

For the graph connectivity problem, we showed that under a constant arrival rate, a delay of $O(n)$ can be achieved. Then considerable discussion went into the possible tradeoffs between arrival rates and delays in query answers.

We then discussed a parallel setting that focuses on the total communication, specifically ways of extending the bulk synchronous parallel (BSP) model to analyze the communications of dynamic graph algorithms. We discussed problems such as deleting from linked lists, hypergraph partitioning, and list ranking under this communication-centric view. Most of the technical discussions focused on the list ranking problem, which seeks to compute for each element in a linked list its distance to the head of the list. Here rearranging the storage so that consecutive portions of the list are on the same machine leads to gains in communication proportional to the storage associated with each processor. These discussions led us to believe that such partitions / rearrangements of data on machines can lead to provable gains in dynamic updates to the data.

4.3 k-GRIP: Combinatorial Approaches

Blair D. Sullivan (North Carolina State University – Raleigh, US)

This group primarily focused on understanding the hardness of $k$-GRIP, the $k$-edge Graph Robustness Improvement Problem, where $R$ is an arbitrary measure of “robustness”. This is a slight generalization of the $k$-GRIP problem introduced by Henning Meyerhenke, which sets
$R$ to be the total effective Resistance of the graph. Specifically, the problem asks for the set of $k$ edges whose addition to a graph will minimize the resistance (maximize the robustness).

We considered three notions of robustness: diameter $R_{\text{diam}}$, “natural connectivity” $R_{\text{nc}}$ (the sum of the subgraph centralities), and effective resistance $R_{\text{eff}}$. Starting with the diameter, we designed a reduction from $k$-SetCover to show that this problem is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard. We confirmed that this was previously known (Demaine et al, 2014), and the problem has a $(4 + \varepsilon)$-approximation. The measure $R_{\text{nc}}$ was previously shown to be $\mathcal{NP}$-hard in the node/edge removal variant of the problem (Chan et al 2014), but the complexity of edge addition was left open. The group has a tentative $\mathcal{NP}$-hardness reduction for this problem (using $k$-SetCover again), but the issue of approximation remains open.

Finally, we returned to the original question of $R_{\text{eff}}$, which remains resistant to gadgeteering. Attempts were made in finding faster/better approaches than the naive greedy algorithm – with no immediate conclusive results. Moreover, we established one hurdle in reducing from problems like $k$-SetCover and $k$-CLIQUE: in effective resistance, several paths of length $x$ can actually be better than a direct connection, thwarting our initial attempts to force the location of edge additions. In later sessions, we considered the problem of Outlier Detection, which is also an $L_2$ objective, and was established to be $\mathcal{NP}$-hard by reduction from minimum bisection, but this remains work in progress. The same is true for establishing measures of robustness that are monotone and sub- or supermodular. These properties would admit an immediate greedy approximation.

We also implemented a heuristic method, and tested its performance on many matrices in the SuiteSparse Matrix Collection.

## 5 Panel discussions

### 5.1 Applications of the theory of random graphs in algorithmic analysis of large networks

_Nelly Litvak (University of Twente, NL)_

License [Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)

Random graphs have been introduced by Paul Erdős and Alfréd Rényi at the end of 1950s. Initially, they were invented and used to solve difficult combinatorial problems in graph theory. Notice that this was a very different purpose than modeling real-life networks, such as World Wide Web or Twitter, which did not even exist at that time!

The relevance to the network data motivated enormous developments in the theory of random graphs. More and more mathematicians get involved in this research, their background varying from theoretical probability and statistical mechanics to combinatorics and operations research. This has resulted in spectacular recent developments in the theory of random graphs and their applications to networks.

For example, by now we understand very well how the inhomogeneity in the degrees of nodes and presence of hubs affects graph distances (small-world phenomena) and network algorithms such as PageRank. Many new insightful results have been obtained on spreading phenomenon including competitive spreading of information or products. Many approaches, which did not exist before 2000, have by now become standard, such as applications of martingales and continuous time branching processes in the analysis of preferential attachment model – the famous model popularized by Albert and Barabasi, where new nodes arrive
to a network and have preference to connect to nodes with high degrees. Recently several beautiful theoretical concepts have been introduced to formalize a limit of sparse graphs when graph size goes to infinity.

In my own research I analyze algorithms for large networks in the framework of the theory of random graphs. As an outcome of this discussion, I hope to learn about classes of problems where breakthrough in algorithmic analysis of real-life networks can be achieved by building on modern developments in the theory of random graphs.
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