Degree-Constrained Orientation of Maximum Satisfaction: Graph Classes and Parameterized Complexity[*]

Hans L. Bodlaender[1], Hirotaka Ono[2], and Yota Otachi[3]

1   Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University,
    Utrecht, The Netherlands; and
    Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Technology
    Eindhoven, Eindhoven, The Netherlands
    `h.l.bodlaender@uu.nl`
2   Department of Economic Engineering, Kyushu University, Higashi-ku,
    Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
    `hirotaka@econ.kyushu-u.ac.jp`
3   School of Information Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and
    Technology, Asahidai 1-1, Nomi, Ishikawa 923-1292, Japan
    `otachi@jaist.ac.jp`

Abstract

The problem Max W-Light (Max W-Heavy) for an undirected graph is to assign a direction to each edge so that the number of vertices of outdegree at most W (resp. at least W) is maximized. It is known that these problems are NP-hard even for fixed W. For example, Max 0-Light is equivalent to the problem of finding a maximum independent set.

In this paper, we show that for any fixed constant W, Max W-Heavy can be solved in linear time for hereditary graph classes for which treewidth is bounded by a function of degeneracy. We show that such graph classes include chordal graphs, circular-arc graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, chordal bipartite graphs, and graphs of bounded clique-width.

To have a polynomial-time algorithm for Max W-Light, we need an additional condition of a polynomial upper bound on the number of potential maximal cliques to apply the metatheorem by Fomin, Todinca, and Villanger [SIAM J. Comput., 44(1):57–87, 2015]. The aforementioned graph classes, except bounded clique-width graphs, satisfy such a condition. For graphs of bounded clique-width, we present a dynamic programming approach not using the metatheorem to show that it is actually polynomial-time solvable for this graph class too.

We also study the parameterized complexity of the problems and show some tractability and intractability results.

1   Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph. An *orientation of G* is a function that maps each undirected edge $\{u, v\} \in E$ to one of the two possible directed edges (u, v) and (v, u). For

any orientation Λ of G, define $\Lambda(E) = \bigcup_{e \in E}\{\Lambda(e)\}$ and let $\Lambda(G)$ denote the directed graph $(V, \Lambda(E))$. For any vertex $u \in V$, the *outdegree of u under* Λ is defined as $d_\Lambda^+(u) = |\{(u, v) : (u, v) \in \Lambda(E)\}|$, i.e., the number of outgoing edges from u in $\Lambda(G)$. For any non-negative integer W, a vertex $u \in V$ is called W-*light in* $\Lambda(G)$ if $d_\Lambda^+(u) \le W$, and W-*heavy in* $\Lambda(G)$ if $d_\Lambda^+(u) \ge W$.

For any fixed integer $W \ge 0$, the following optimization problems (introduced in [3], see also [4]) are defined, where the input is an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$:

- Max W-Light: Output an orientation Λ of G
  such that $\left|\{u \in V : d_\Lambda^+(u) \le W\}\right|$ is maximized.
- Max W-Heavy: Output an orientation Λ of G
  such that $\left|\{u \in V : d_\Lambda^+(u) \ge W\}\right|$ is maximized.

Symmetrically, we can consider the following problems:

- Min W-Light: Output an orientation Λ of G
  such that $\left|\{u \in V : d_\Lambda^+(u) \le W\}\right|$ is minimized.
- Min W-Heavy: Output an orientation Λ of G
  such that $\left|\{u \in V : d_\Lambda^+(u) \ge W\}\right|$ is minimized.

Observe that Max W-Light (resp., Max W-Heavy) and Min $(W+1)$-Heavy (resp., Min $(W-1)$-Light) are *supplementary problems* in the sense that an exact algorithm for one gives an exact algorithm for the other, though their approximability properties and fixed-parameter tractability may differ. Since this paper mainly focuses on the polynomial-time solvability, we consider only Max W-Light and Max W-Heavy. [1]

It is shown in [3] that Max W-Light is NP-hard for any fixed $W \ge 0$, and Max W-Heavy is NP-hard for any fixed $W \ge 3$. They also show that for $W \le 1$ Max W-Heavy can be solved in polynomial time. Recently Khoshkhah [23] has closed the gap by showing that Max 2-Heavy can be solved in polynomial time.

For these problems, the same authors of [3] investigate the approximability [4]. They got comprehensive results on the approximability of the problems. Due to the work, the general (in)approximability of the problems is well understood. In this paper, we thus investigate the problem from another aspect, that is, graph classes. For the two problems Max W-Light and Max W-Heavy, we take similar but slightly different approaches.

The main tool for Max W-Light is the metatheorem of Fomin, Todinca, and Villanger [16] that can be seen as an extension of Courcelle's theorem [1, 12]. It provides a polynomial-time algorithm for finding a maximum induced subgraph of bounded treewidth satisfying a counting monadic second-order logic formula from a given graph with polynomially many potential maximal cliques. We show that if a hereditary graph class has a polynomial upper bound on the number of potential maximal cliques and has a function depending only on degeneracy as an upper bound of treewidth, then the metatheorem of Fomin et al. can be applied to Max W-Light.

Similarly, for Max W-Heavy, we consider hereditary graph classes with treewidth bounded by a function of degeneracy. However, we do not require polynomial upper bounds on the number of potential maximal cliques. We first show that the problem for graphs of bounded treewidth can be solved in linear time. Next we present a linear-time reduction from graphs with a function of degeneracy as an upper bound of treewidth to graphs of bounded treewidth. Combining these results, we obtain a linear-time algorithm for Max W-Heavy on graph classes with the aforementioned property.

---

[1] We consider parameterized complexity in Section 5 where the equivalence does not hold.

We then show that our algorithms can be applied to several well-known graph classes. It is known that chordal graphs, circular-arc graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, and chordal bipartite graphs have polynomial upper bounds on the number of potential maximal cliques (see Section 4). We show that these hereditary graph classes have functions of degeneracy as upper bounds on treewidth, and thus our algorithms can be applied. Additionally, we observe that graphs of bounded clique-width admit a function of degeneracy as an upper bounded of treewidth, and thus MAX W-HEAVY can be solved in linear time. To show that MAX W-LIGHT can be solved in polynomial time for graphs of bounded clique-width, we present a dynamic programming based algorithm.

We also consider the parameterized complexity of the problems. We show that for any fixed W, MAX W-LIGHT is W[1]-complete, while MAX W-HEAVY admits a kernel of $O(Wk)$ vertices, where the parameter k is the solution size.

1.1 Related work

Graph orientations that optimize certain objective functions involving the resulting directed graph or that satisfy some special property such as acyclicity [39] or k-edge connectivity [10, 33, 37] have many applications to graph theory, combinatorial optimization, scheduling (load balancing), resource allocation, and efficient data structures. For example, an orientation that minimizes the maximum outdegree [5, 9, 40] can be used to support fast vertex adjacency queries in a sparse graph by storing each edge in exactly one of its two incident vertices' adjacency lists while ensuring that all adjacency lists are short [9]. There are many optimization criteria for graph orientation other than these. See [2] or Chapter 61 in [38] for more details and additional references.

On the other hand, degree-constrained graph orientations [17, 18, 21, 29] arise when a degree lower bound $W^l(v)$ and a degree upper bound $W^u(v)$ for each vertex v in the graph are specified in advance or as part of the input, and the outdegree of v in any valid graph orientation is required to lie in the interval $[W^l(v), \dots, W^u(v)]$. Obviously, a graph does not always have such an orientation, and in this case, one might want to compute an orientation that best fits the outdegree constraints according to some well-defined criteria [2, 3]. In case $W^l(v) = 0$ and $W^u(v) = W$ for every vertex v in the input graph, where W is a non-negative integer, and the objective is to maximize (resp., minimize) the number of vertices that satisfy (resp., violate) the outdegree constraints, then we obtain MAX W-LIGHT (resp., MIN $(W + 1)$-HEAVY). Similarly, if $W^l(v) = W$ and $W^u(v) = \infty$ for every vertex v in the input graph, then we obtain MAX W-HEAVY and MIN $(W - 1)$-LIGHT.

Another related problem is to find a maximum vertex set that induces a subgraph of bounded degeneracy. (See the next section for the definition of degeneracy.) This problem can be seen as a variant of MAX W-LIGHT, where we can use acyclic orientations only. This problem is studied in the context of parameterized [31] and exact [36] computation. Concerning graph classes, we can obtain a result similar to the one for MAX W-LIGHT as we observe in the final section of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

The *degree of u in G* is $d_G(u) = |N_G(u)|$. We define $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(u) : u \in V(G)\}$. The *degeneracy* of a graph G, denoted by $\hat{\delta}(G)$, is the maximum of the minimum degrees over all induced subgraphs of G. Let $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be an ordering on $V(G)$ such that $d_{G_i}(v_i) = \delta(G_i)$, where $G_i = G[\{v_j : j \geq i\}]$. It is known that such an ordering can be computed in linear time and that $\hat{\delta}(G) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \delta(G_i)$ [32]. For any $U \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph induced by

U is denoted by $G[U]$. If $G[U]$ is a complete graph, then U is a *clique* of G. The size of a maximum clique in G is denoted by $\omega(G)$. Let $\omega_{\mathsf{b}}(G)$ be the maximum integer k such that G has a subgraph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{k,k}$. From the definition, $\omega(G) - 1$ and $\omega_{\mathsf{b}}(G)$ are lower bounds of $\hat{\delta}(G)$. A class $\mathcal{C}$ of graphs is *hereditary* if $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under taking induced subgraphs.

For an integer $W \geq 0$, an orientation of a graph is called a *W-light orientation* if the maximum outdegree is at most W. If a W-light orientation exists, we say that the graph is *W-light orientable*. By replacing "at most" with "at least" in these definitions, we similarly define *W-heavy orientations* and *W-heavy orientable* graphs.

2.1 Minimal triangulations and potential maximal cliques

A *tree-decomposition* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a pair $(\{X_i : i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ such that each X_i, called a *bag*, is a subset of V, and T is a tree such that

- for each $v \in V$, there is $i \in I$ with $v \in X_i$;
- for each $\{u, v\} \in E$, there is $i \in I$ with $u, v \in X_i$;
- for $i, j, k \in I$, if j is on the i, k-path in T, then $X_i \cap X_k \subseteq X_j$.

The *width* of a tree-decomposition is the size of a maximum bag minus 1. A graph has *treewidth* at most t if and only if it has a tree-decomposition of width at most t. We denote the treewidth of G by $\mathbf{tw}(G)$.

A graph is *chordal* (or *triangulated*) if it has no induced cycle of length 4 or more. A *triangulation* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a chordal graph $G' = (V, E')$ such that $E \subseteq E'$. A triangulation G' of G is *minimal* if no proper subgraph of G' is a triangulation of G. It is known that the treewidth of G is the minimum integer t such that there is a (minimal) triangulation H of G with the maximum clique size $t + 1$. A vertex set $P \subseteq V(G)$ is a *potential maximal clique* of G if P is a maximal clique in some minimal triangulation of G. The set of all potential maximal cliques of G is denoted by Π_G. A vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an *a, b-separator* for $a, b \in V(G)$ if a and b are in different components in $G - S$. An a, b-separator is *minimal* if no proper subset of it is an a, b-separator. A vertex set is a *minimal separator* if it is a minimal a, b-separator for some pair a, b. The set of all minimal separators of G is denoted by Δ_G. By the following proposition, graphs have a polynomial number of minimal separators if and only if they have a polynomial number of potential maximal cliques.

▶ **Proposition 2.1** (Bouchitté and Todinca [8])**.** *For every n-vertex graph G, it holds that* $|\Delta_G|/n \leq |\Pi_G| \leq n|\Delta_G|^2 + n|\Delta_G| + 1$.

3 Metatheorems

In this section we present metatheorems for Max W-Light and Max W-Heavy. We apply them to some well-studied graph classes in the next section.

We now introduce the *monadic second-order logic* (MSO) of graphs. The syntax of MSO of graphs includes (i) the logical connectives $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \Leftrightarrow, \Rightarrow$, (ii) variables for vertices, edges, vertex sets, and edge sets, (iii) the quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$ applicable to these variables, and (iv) the following binary relations:

- $u \in U$ for a vertex variable u and a vertex set variable U;
- $d \in D$ for an edge variable d and an edge set variable D;
- $\mathsf{inc}(d, u)$ for an edge variable d and a vertex variable u, where the interpretation is that d is incident with u;
- equality of variables.

In the *counting monadic second-order logic* (CMSO) of graphs, we have an additional sentence of checking the cardinality of a set modulo some constant.

▶ **Lemma 3.1.** *For any fixed W,* MAX W-HEAVY *and* MAX W-LIGHT *for graphs of bounded treewidth can be expressed in an optimization version of MSO and thus solved in linear time.*

Proof (sketch). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of treewidth at most k. It is known that for such a graph, an edge orientation can be expressed in MSO by a proper coloring $\gamma \colon V \to \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ and an edge set $F \subseteq E$ [6].

Let $\mathsf{prop\text{-}col}(V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1})$ be an MSO formula that means $V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}$ give a proper $k + 1$ coloring of G. For an edge $e \in E$ and a vertex $v \in V$, there is an MSO formula $\mathsf{out}_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, F}(e, v)$ that means e is an out-going edge from v. Under the orientation represented by $(V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1})$ and F, W-heaviness and W-lightness of a vertex can be expressed in MSO. Let $W\text{-}\mathsf{heavy}_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, F}(v)$ and $W\text{-}\mathsf{light}_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, F}(v)$ be such formulas. The problems are equivalent to finding a maximum vertex set X in the following formulas:

$$\exists V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, \exists F \left(\mathsf{prop\text{-}col}(V_1, \ldots, V_k) \wedge \forall v \in X \left(W\text{-}\mathsf{heavy}_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, F}(v) \right) \right),$$

$$\exists V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, \exists F \left(\mathsf{prop\text{-}col}(V_1, \ldots, V_k) \wedge \forall v \in X \left(W\text{-}\mathsf{light}_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}, F}(v) \right) \right).$$

It is known that for a fixed MSO formula on a graph of bounded treewidth, one can find in linear time a maximum vertex subset satisfying the formula (see [1, 12]). ◀

▶ **Corollary 3.2.** *For fixed W and k, the property of being W-light orientable can be expressed in MSO for graphs of treewidth at most k.*

3.1 Max W-Light

We can see that the problem of finding a maximum W-light orientable induced subgraph is polynomially equivalent to MAX W-LIGHT.

▶ **Lemma 3.3.** *A graph G has a W-light orientable induced subgraph of at least k vertices if and only if the edges of G can be oriented so that at least k vertices have outdegree at most W. Furthermore, if a maximum W-light orientable induced subgraph of G can be found in $O(f(m, n))$ time, then* MAX W-LIGHT *can be solved in $O(f(m, n) + m^{1.5})$ time, where m and n are the numbers of edges and vertices in G, respectively.*

Recently, Fomin, Todinca, and Villanger [16] have presented the following metatheorem.

▶ **Proposition 3.4** (Fomin, Todinca, and Villanger [16])**.** *For any fixed t and a CMSO-expressible property $\mathcal{P}$, the following problem can be solved in polynomial time for any class of graphs with a polynomial number of potential maximal cliques: Given a graph G, find a maximum induced subgraph H of treewidth at most t that has the property $\mathcal{P}$.*

This metatheorem is quite powerful and allows us to solve many problems for graphs with polynomially many potential maximal cliques. However, we cannot apply it to our problem MAX W-LIGHT in general because W-light orientable graphs may have large treewidth. For example, grid graphs are 2-light orientable but have unbounded treewidth.

In the following, we show that with an additional restriction to graph classes, we can apply the metatheorem of Fomin, Todinca, and Villanger to MAX W-LIGHT.

▶ **Lemma 3.5.** *Every W-light orientable graph has degeneracy at most $2W$.*

▶ **Theorem 3.6.** *For any fixed W,* MAX W-LIGHT *can be solved in polynomial time for a hereditary graph class $\mathcal{C}$ with a polynomial number of potential maximal cliques if the treewidth of each graph in $\mathcal{C}$ is bounded from above by a function of its degeneracy.*

Proof. Let f be a function such that $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq f(\hat{\delta}(G))$ for each $G \in \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.5, a W-light orientable graph in $\mathcal{C}$ has treewidth at most $f(2W)$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is hereditary, a maximum W-light orientable induced subgraph of a graph in $\mathcal{C}$ can be found in polynomial time by Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.2. Now, by Lemma 3.3, the theorem follows.   ◀

3.2   Max W-Heavy

Unlike MAX W-LIGHT, the problem MAX W-HEAVY is not equivalent to the problem of finding a maximum orientable induced subgraph. We here present a way of directly finding an orientation with as many W-heavy vertices as possible for graphs with treewidth bounded by a function of degeneracy.

▶ **Proposition 3.7** ([4]). *Every graph of minimum degree at least $2W + 1$ is W-heavy orientable and a W-heavy orientation of it can be found in linear time.*

▶ **Theorem 3.8.** *For any fixed W,* MAX W-HEAVY *can be solved in linear time for a hereditary graph class $\mathcal{C}$ if the treewidth of each graph in $\mathcal{C}$ is bounded from above by a function of its degeneracy.*

Proof. Let f be a function such that $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq f(\hat{\delta}(G))$ for each $G \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{C}$ be a graph with n vertices. Let $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ be an ordering of $V(G)$ such that for each i, the vertex v_i has the minimum degree in G_i, where $G_i = G[\{v_j : i \leq j \leq n\}]$. Let h be the first index such that $d_{G_h}(v_h) \geq 2W + 1$. If there is no such index, we set $h = n + 1$.

Let $H = G[\{v_j : 1 \leq j < h\}]$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is hereditary, we have $H \in \mathcal{C}$, and thus $\mathbf{tw}(H) \leq f(\hat{\delta}(H)) \leq f(2W)$. We obtain H' from H as follows: add a clique C of size $2W+1$; for each vertex v in H, add edges from v to arbitrarily chosen $d_G(v) - d_H(v)$ vertices in C. It holds that $\mathbf{tw}(H') \leq \mathbf{tw}(H) + |C| \leq f(2W) + 2W + 1$.

By Lemma 3.1, an orientation Λ' of H' with the maximum number of W-heavy vertices can be found in linear time. Note that all vertices in C are W-heavy under Λ' even in $H'[C]$. Otherwise, by Proposition 3.7, we can change the directions of edges in $H'[C]$ so that all vertices in C become W-heavy. Since this modification does not decrease the outdegree of any vertex in $V(H)$, the new orientation has strictly more W-heavy vertices than Λ'. This contradicts the optimality of Λ'.

Let Λ'' be a W-heavy orientation of $G_h = G[\{v_h, \ldots, v_n\}]$. By Proposition 3.7, such an orientation can be found in linear time. We next construct an orientation Λ of G from Λ' and Λ'' as follows: for each edge in $E(H)$ or $E(G_h)$, we use the direction in Λ' or Λ'', respectively; for each edge between $V(H)$ and $V(G_h)$, we use the direction from $V(H)$ to $V(G_h)$. All vertices in $V(G_h)$ are W-heavy in G under Λ. Under Λ, each vertex in $V(H)$ has at least as many out-neighbors as under Λ'. Thus a vertex in $V(H)$ is W-heavy in G under Λ if it is W-heavy in H' under Λ'.

We now show the optimality of Λ. Suppose to the contrary that there is an orientation Λ_{OPT} of G with strictly more W-heavy vertices than Λ. Let F and F_{OPT} be the W-heavy vertices in $V(H)$ under Λ and Λ_{OPT}, respectively. Since the vertices in $V(G_h)$ are W-heavy under Λ, we have $|F| < |F_{\mathsf{OPT}}|$. Now let Λ'_{OPT} be an orientation of H' such that the edges in H are oriented as in Λ_{OPT}, the edges between $V(H)$ and C are oriented from $V(H)$ to C, and the edges in $H[C]$ are oriented so that all the vertices in C become W-heavy. Then, at least $|C| + |F_{\mathsf{OPT}}| > |C| + |F|$ vertices are W-heavy in H' under Λ'_{OPT}. This contradicts the optimality of Λ' since at most $|C| + |F|$ vertices are W-heavy in H' under Λ'.   ◀

4   Graph classes

In this section, we show that Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 can be applied to several important graph classes. More precisely, we show the following theorems.

▶ **Theorem 4.1.** *For any fixed W, MAX W-LIGHT can be solved in polynomial time for the classes of chordal graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, circular-arc graphs, chordal bipartite graphs, and graphs of bounded clique-width.*

▶ **Theorem 4.2.** *For any fixed W, MAX W-HEAVY can be solved in linear time for the classes of chordal graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, circular-arc graphs, chordal bipartite graphs, and graphs of bounded clique-width.*

To prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we show for each graph class that it satisfies conditions of Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 in the following subsections. To solve MAX W-LIGHT for graphs of bounded clique-width, we present a direct solution as we cannot apply the metatheorem. Note that all graph classes studied in this section are hereditary.

4.1   Chordal graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, and circular-arc graphs

It is well known that a chordal graph of n vertices has at most n maximal cliques (see [22]). Since a chordal graph is the unique minimal triangulation of itself, the number of potential maximal cliques is at most n for every n-vertex chordal graph. From the definition of chordal graphs, the following equality follows.

▶ **Proposition 4.3** (Folklore). *For every chordal graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) = \hat{\delta}(G) = \omega(G) - 1$.*

The *co-comparability graph* of a partial order $(V, \prec)$ is a graph with the vertex set V in which two vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if they are incomparable, that is, $u \not\prec v$ and $v \not\prec u$. A partial order $(V, \prec)$ is an *interval order* if each element $v \in V$ can be represented by an interval $[l_v, r_v]$ such that $u \prec v$ if and only if $r_u < l_v$. A graph is a *d-trapezoid graph* if it is the co-comparability graph of a partial order defined as the intersection of d interval orders [7]. It is known that every d-trapezoid graph of n vertices has at most $(2n - 3)^{d-1}$ minimal separators [28]. Habib and Möhring showed in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [20] that for every d-trapezoid graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 4d \cdot \omega_{\mathsf{b}}(G) - 1$. This gives the following fact as a direct corollary.

▶ **Proposition 4.4** ([20]). *For every d-trapezoid graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 4d \cdot \hat{\delta}(G) - 1$.*

A graph is a *circular-arc graph* if it is the intersection graph of arcs on a circle. Every n-vertex circular-arc graph has at most $2n^2 - 3n$ minimal separators [26]. A graph is an *interval graph* if it is the intersection graph of intervals on a line. From the definition, every interval graph is a circular-arc graph. Also, every interval graph is a chordal graph [30].

▶ **Lemma 4.5.** *For every circular-arc graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 2\hat{\delta}(G)$.*

4.2   Chordal bipartite graphs

A bipartite graph is a *chordal bipartite graph* if it has no induced cycle of length 6 or more. Every chordal bipartite graph has $O(m + n)$ minimal separators [27]. We can show that for every chordal bipartite graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 2\hat{\delta}(G) - 1$. The proof is a bit more involved than the ones in the previous subsection. We use the techniques developed by Kloks and Kratsch [25] for computing the treewidth of a chordal bipartite graph exactly.

▶ **Theorem 4.6.** *For every chordal bipartite graph G, $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 2 \cdot \omega_{\mathsf{b}}(G) - 1$.*

4.3   Graphs of bounded clique-width

A *k-expression* is a rooted binary tree such that
- each leaf has label $\circ_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,
- each node with one child has a label $\rho_{i,j}$ or $\eta_{i,j}$ $(i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, i \neq j)$, and
- each node with two children has a label $\cup$.

Each node in a k-expression represents a vertex-labeled graph as follows:
- a $\circ_i$-node represents a graph with one vertex of label i;
- a $\cup$-node represents the disjoint union of the labeled graphs represented by its children;
- a $\rho_{i,j}$-node represents the labeled graph obtained from the one represented by its child by relabeling the label-i vertices with label j;
- an $\eta_{i,j}$-node represents the labeled graph obtained from the one represented by its child by adding edges between the label-i vertices and the label-j vertices.

A k-expression represents the graph represented by its root. The *clique-width* of a graph G, denoted by $\mathbf{cw}(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that there is a k-expression representing a graph isomorphic to G.

It is known that graphs of bounded treewidth have bounded clique-width [11]. The converse is not true in general. For example, the complete graph K_n $(n \geq 2)$ has clique-width 2 and treewidth $n - 1$. On the other hand, the following bound is known for graphs with no large complete bipartite subgraphs.

▶ **Proposition 4.7** (Gurski and Wanke [19]). *For every graph G of clique-width at most k,* $\mathbf{tw}(G) \leq 3k \cdot \omega_{\mathsf{b}}(G) - 1$.

The proposition above with Theorem 3.8 imply that MAX W-HEAVY can be solved in linear time for graphs of bounded clique-width. However, we cannot apply Theorem 3.6 since graphs of bounded clique-width may have a super-polynomial number of potential maximal cliques. In the rest of this section, we directly show that MAX W-LIGHT is polynomial-time solvable for graphs of bounded clique-width. A k-expression of a graph is *irredundant* if for each edge $\{u, v\}$, there is exactly one node $\eta_{i,j}$ that adds the edge between u and v. We will show that:

▶ **Theorem 4.8.** *Given a graph with an irredundant k-expression,* MAX W-LIGHT *can be solved in time* $O(n^{2k(W+2)+4} \log n)$.

For a graph of clique-width k, one can compute a $(2^{3k} - 1)$-expression of it in polynomial time [34] (see also [35]), while exact computation of the clique-width and a corresponding k-expression is NP-hard [15]. A k-expression of a graph can be transformed into an irredundant one with $O(n)$ nodes in linear time [13]. Now the following is a corollary to Theorem 4.8.

▶ **Corollary 4.9.** *For graphs of clique-width at most k,* MAX W-LIGHT *can be solved in time* $O(n^{2(2^{3k}-1)(W+2)+4} \log n)$.

We now prove Theorem 4.8. Let G be an n-vertex graph and T be an irredundant k-expression of G with $O(n)$ nodes. We denote by r the root of T. For each node t in T, let G_t be the graph represented by t with $V_t := V(G_t)$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let V_t^i be the set of label-i vertices in G_t.

For a node t in T, a $k \times (W + 2)$ integer matrix $A = (A_{i,j})_{i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}, j \in \{0,\ldots,W+1\}}$ is an *outdegree signature* of G_t if there is an orientation Λ of G_t such that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{0, \ldots, W\}$, $A_{i,j}$ is the number of label-i vertices with outdegree j in G_t under Λ, and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $A_{i,W+1}$ is the number of label-i vertices with outdegree at least $W + 1$

in G_t under Λ. The *weight* $w(A)$ of an outdegree signature A is $\sum_{i \in \{1,\dots,k\},\ j \in \{0,\dots,W\}} A_{i,j}$. Note that there are at most $n^{k(W+2)}$ outdegree signatures for each node in T.

▶ **Observation 4.10.** *The optimal value of* Max W-Light *for* G *is* $\max_A w(A)$, *where the maximum is taken over all outdegree signatures A of $G_r = G$.*

By Observation 4.10, if we have all possible outdegree signatures for all nodes in T, then we can obtain the optimal value of Max W-Light. We compute the outdegree signatures by a bottom-up dynamic programming over the k-expression T. In a standard way, we can modify the dynamic programming to compute an optimal solution as well.

Computing outdegree signatures for the leaf, $\cup$-, and $\rho_{p,q}$-nodes is fairly straightforward. For $\eta_{p,q}$-nodes, we need the following result.

▶ **Proposition 4.11** (Asahiro, Jansson, Miyano, and Ono [2]). *Given an undirected n-vertex m-edge graph $G = (V, E)$ with lower and upper bounds $(\mathtt{l}(v), \mathtt{u}(v)) \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ for each $v \in V$, it can be decided in $O(m^{1.5} \log n)$ time whether there is an orientation Λ such that $\mathtt{l}(v) \leq d_\Lambda^+(v) \leq \mathtt{u}(v)$ for each $v \in V$.*

▶ **Lemma 4.12.** *For an $\eta_{p,q}$-node, its outdegree signatures can be computed in time $O(n^{2k(W+2)+3} \log n)$ from the outdegree signatures of its child.*

Proof. Let t be an $\eta_{p,q}$-node with the child t'. By the definition of k-expression, $V_t^i = V_{t'}^i$ for all i. Recall that T is irredundant. Hence there is no edge between V_t^p and V_t^q in $G_{t'}$, while G_t has all possible edges between V_t^p and V_t^q.

Let Λ' be an orientation of $G_{t'}$ and A' the corresponding outdegree signature. We say that A' can be *extended* to an outdegree signature A of G_t if there is an orientation Λ of G_t that corresponds to A such that $\Lambda(e) = \Lambda'(e)$ for every $e \in E(G_{t'})$.

▶ **Claim 4.13.** *If A' can be extended to A, then there is an orientation Λ of G_t that corresponds to A such that $d_{\Lambda'}^+(u) < d_{\Lambda'}^+(v)$ implies $d_\Lambda^+(u) \leq d_\Lambda^+(v)$ for $u, v \in V_t^i$ and $i \in \{p, q\}$.*

Let A be a candidate of an outdegree signature of G_t. That is, A is a $k \times (W + 2)$ integer matrix $A = (A_{i,j})_{i \in \{1,\dots,k\},\ j \in \{0,\dots,W+1\}}$. For $i \in \{p, q\}$, let $(d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,|V_t^i|})$ be the nondecreasing sequence such that for each $j \in \{0, \dots, W + 1\}$, the value j appears exactly $A_{i,j}$ times. From A', we define $(d'_{i,1}, \dots, d'_{i,|V_t^i|})$ in the same way. For $i \in \{p, q\}$ and $h \in \{1, \dots, |V_t^i|\}$, we define the lower bound $\mathtt{l}_{i,h}$ and the upper bound $\mathtt{u}_{i,h}$ as follows:

$$\mathtt{l}_{i,h} = d_{i,h} - d'_{i,h},$$

$$\mathtt{u}_{i,h} = \begin{cases} d_{i,h} - d'_{i,h} & \text{if } d_{i,h} \leq W, \\ n - 1 & \text{if } d_{i,h} = W + 1. \end{cases}$$

Now let $B = (W_p, W_q; E_B)$ be the complete bipartite graph, where $W_i = \{w_{i,h} : i \in \{p, q\},\ h \in \{1, \dots, |V_i^t|\}\}$ for $i \in \{p, q\}$.

▶ **Claim 4.14.** *A' can be extended to A if and only if there is an orientation Λ_B of B such that for each vertex $w_{i,h}$, it holds that $\mathtt{l}_{i,h} \leq d_{\Lambda_B}^+(w_{i,h}) \leq \mathtt{u}_{i,h}$.*

For each candidate A, we construct B from A and A'. We also compute the lower and upper bounds of outdegree as described above. Then we check orientability under these bounds. By Proposition 4.11, it can be done in time $O(|E_B|^{1.5} \log |W_p \cup W_q|)$. We can bound this by $O(n^3 \log n)$, and thus the lemma holds.   ◀

We have proved that for each node in T, we can compute its outdegree signatures in $O(n^{2k(W+2)+3} \log n)$ time. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.8.

5   Parameterized complexity

In this section, we study the parameterized complexity of the problems. See the recent textbook [14] for standard concepts in the field of parameterized complexity. The parameter is the number of vertices of outdegree at most (at least) W in MAX W-LIGHT (resp. MAX W-HEAVY). We call it the *solution size*.

By using a general theorem in [24], we can easily show the following result.

▶ **Corollary 5.1.** *For any fixed integer $W \geq 0$, MAX W-LIGHT is W[1]-complete when parameterized by the solution size.*

Let (G, k) be an instance of the parameterized version of MAX W-HEAVY, where the parameter k is the solution size. We show the following theorem.

▶ **Theorem 5.2.** MAX W-HEAVY *parameterized by the solution size k admits a kernel with at most $(2W + 4)k + W - 2$ vertices.*

In the following, we assume that $W \geq 3$ since otherwise the problem can be solved in polynomial time [3, 23]. Let $A \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of vertices of degree at least W, and let $B = V(G) \setminus A$. We first bound the number of vertices in A.

▶ **Lemma 5.3.** *If $|A| \geq k \cdot (W + 1)$, then (G, k) is a yes-instance.*

By the lemma above, we can assume that $|A| < k \cdot (W + 1)$. We now modify the graph:
1. remove all vertices of B from G;
2. add an independent set B' of size $\lceil |A| \cdot W/(W - 1) \rceil + W - 2$;
3. for each $v \in A$, repeat the following process:
   a. find $\min\{|N_G(v) \cap B|, W\}$ vertices in B' with degree at most $W - 2$;
   b. add the edges between v and the vertices chosen.
We call the resultant graph G'. Because $W \geq 3$, it holds that $(W + 1)W/(W - 1) \leq W + 3$, and thus $|B'| \leq k(W+3)+W-2$. This implies that $|V(G')| = |A|+|B'| \leq k(2W+4)+W-2$.

To see that the step 3a is always possible, observe that before an execution of the step 3a, at most $W(|A| - 1)$ edges between A and B' are added. On the other hand, if there are at most $W - 1$ vertices of degree at most $W - 2$ in B', then there are at least $(W - 1)(|B'| - (W - 1)) \geq (W - 1)(|A| \cdot W/(W - 1) + W - 2 - (W - 1)) = W(|A| - 1) + 1$ edges between A and B'.

▶ **Lemma 5.4.** (G, k) *is a yes-instance if and only if so is (G', k).*

6   Concluding remarks

We have presented metatheorems to show linear-time and polynomial-time solvability of MAX W-HEAVY and MAX W-LIGHT, respectively. The metatheorems are applied to several important classes of graphs. We believe our metatheorems can be applied to many other graph classes. As the final remark, we present a similar result for the problem of finding a maximum induced subgraph with bounded degeneracy.

▶ **Theorem 6.1.** *For any fixed W, the problem of finding a maximum set of vertices that induces a subgraph of degeneracy at most W can be solved in polynomial time for the classes of chordal graphs, d-trapezoid graphs, circular-arc graphs, and chordal bipartite graphs, and in linear time for graphs of bounded clique-width.*

References

1   Stefan Arnborg, Jens Lagergren, and Detlef Seese. Easy problems for tree-decomposable graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 12:308–340, 1991. `doi:10.1016/0196-6774(91)90006-K`.

2   Yuichi Asahiro, Jesper Jansson, Eiji Miyano, and Hirotaka Ono. Upper and lower degree bounded graph orientation with minimum penalty. In *CATS*, volume 128 of *CRPIT*, pages 139–146, 2012. URL: `http://crpit.com/abstracts/CRPITV128Asahiro.html`.

3   Yuichi Asahiro, Jesper Jansson, Eiji Miyano, and Hirotaka Ono. Graph orientations optimizing the number of light or heavy vertices. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 19:441–465, 2015. `doi:10.7155/jgaa.00371`.

4   Yuichi Asahiro, Jesper Jansson, Eiji Miyano, and Hirotaka Ono. Degree-constrained graph orientation: Maximum satisfaction and minimum violation. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, 58:60–93, 2016. `doi:10.1007/s00224-014-9565-5`.

5   Yuichi Asahiro, Jesper Jansson, Eiji Miyano, Hirotaka Ono, and Kouhei Zenmyo. Approximation algorithms for the graph orientation minimizing the maximum weighted outdegree. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 22:78–96, 2011. `doi:10.1007/s10878-009-9276-z`.

6   Hans L. Bodlaender, Pinar Heggernes, and Jan Arne Telle. Recognizability equals definability for graphs of bounded treewidth and bounded chordality. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 49:559–568, 2015. `doi:10.1016/j.endm.2015.06.076`.

7   Hans L. Bodlaender, Ton Kloks, Dieter Kratsch, and Haiko Müller. Treewidth and minimum fill-in on d-trapezoid graphs. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 2:1–23, 1998. `doi:10.7155/jgaa.00008`.

8   Vincent Bouchitté and Ioan Todinca. Listing all potential maximal cliques of a graph. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 276:17–32, 2002. `doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00007-X`.

9   Marek Chrobak and David Eppstein. Planar orientations with low out-degree and compaction of adjacency matrices. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 86:243–266, 1991. `doi:10.1016/0304-3975(91)90020-3`.

10   Fan R. K. Chung, Michael R. Garey, and Robert E. Tarjan. Strongly connected orientations of mixed multigraphs. *Networks*, 15:477–484, 1985. `doi:10.1002/net.3230150409`.

11   Derek G. Corneil and Udi Rotics. On the relationship between clique-width and treewidth. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 34:825–847, 2005. `doi:10.1137/S0097539701385351`.

12   Bruno Courcelle. The monadic second-order logic of graphs III: tree-decompositions, minor and complexity issues. *Theor. Inform. Appl.*, 26:257–286, 1992.

13   Bruno Courcelle and Stephan Olariu. Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 101:77–114, 2000. `doi:10.1016/S0166-218X(99)00184-5`.

14   Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Lukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michal Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer, 2015. `doi:10.1007/978-3-319-21275-3`.

15   Michael R. Fellows, Frances A. Rosamond, Udi Rotics, and Stefan Szeider. Clique-width is NP-complete. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23:909–939, 2009. `doi:10.1137/070687256`.

16   Fedor V. Fomin, Ioan Todinca, and Yngve Villanger. Large induced subgraphs via triangulations and CMSO. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 44:54–87, 2015. `doi:10.1137/140964801`.

17   A. Frank and A. Gyárfás. How to orient the edges of a graph? In *Combinatorics*, volume 18 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, pages 353–364, 1978.

18   Harold N. Gabow. Upper degree-constrained partial orientations. In *SODA 2006*, pages 554–563, 2006.

19   Frank Gurski and Egon Wanke. The tree-width of clique-width bounded graphs without $K_{n,n}$. In *WG 2000*, volume 1928 of *LNCS*, pages 196–205, 2000. `doi:10.1007/3-540-40064-8_19`.

20   Michel Habib and Rolf H. Möhring. Treewidth of cocomparability graphs and a new order-theoretic parameter. *Order*, 11:47–60, 1994. `doi:10.1007/BF01462229`.

21   S. Louis Hakimi. On the degrees of the vertices of a directed graph. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 279:290–308, 1965. `doi:10.1016/0016-0032(65)90340-6`.

22   Pinar Heggernes. Treewidth, partial *k*-trees, and chordal graphs. Partial curriculum in INF334 – Advanced algorithmical techniques, University of Bergen, Norway, 2005. URL: `http://www.ii.uib.no/~pinar/chordal.pdf`.

23   Kaveh Khoshkhah. On finding orientations with the fewest number of vertices with small out-degree. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 194:163–166, 2015. `doi:10.1016/j.dam.2015.05.007`.

24   Subhash Khot and Venkatesh Raman. Parameterized complexity of finding subgraphs with hereditary properties. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 289:997–1008, 2002. `doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00414-5`.

25   Ton Kloks and Dieter Kratsch. Treewidth of chordal bipartite graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 19:266–281, 1995. `doi:10.1006/jagm.1995.1037`.

26   Ton Kloks, Dieter Kratsch, and C. K. Wong. Minimum fill-in on circle and circular-arc graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 28:272–289, 1998. `doi:10.1006/jagm.1998.0936`.

27   Ton Kloks, Ching-Hao Liu, and Sheung-Hung Poon. Feedback vertex set on chordal bipartite graphs. *CoRR*, abs/1104.3915, 2011. URL: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.3915`.

28   Dieter Kratsch. *The Structure of Graphs and the Design of Efficient Algorithms*. Habilitation thesis, Friedrich-Schiller-University of Jena, Germany, 1996.

29   H. G. Landau. On dominance relations and the structure of animal societies: III The condition for a score structure. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 15:143–148, 1953. `doi:10.1007/BF02476378`.

30   C. G. Lekkerkerker and J. Ch. Boland. Representation of a finite graph by a set of intervals on the real line. *Fund. Math.*, 51:45–64, 1962. URL: `http://eudml.org/doc/213681`.

31   Luke Mathieson. The parameterized complexity of editing graphs for bounded degeneracy. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 411:3181–3187, 2010. `doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2010.05.015`.

32   David W. Matula and Leland L. Beck. Smallest-last ordering and clustering and graph coloring algorithms. *J. ACM*, 30:417–427, 1983. `doi:10.1145/2402.322385`.

33   C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams. On orientations, connectivity and odd vertex pairings in finite graphs. *Canad. J. Math*, 12:555–567, 1960. `doi:10.4153/CJM-1960-049-6`.

34   Sang-il Oum. Approximating rank-width and clique-width quickly. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 5, 2008. Article No. 10. `doi:10.1145/1435375.1435385`.

35   Sang-il Oum and Paul D. Seymour. Approximating clique-width and branch-width. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 96:514–528, 2006. `doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2005.10.006`.

36   Marcin Pilipczuk and Michal Pilipczuk. Finding a maximum induced degenerate subgraph faster than 2^n. In *IPEC 2012*, volume 7535 of *LNCS*, pages 3–12, 2012. `doi:10.1007/978-3-642-33293-7_3`.

37   H. E. Robbins. A theorem on graphs, with an application to a problem of traffic control. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 46:281–283, 1939. `doi:10.2307/2303897`.

38   Alexander Schrijver. *Combinatorial optimization: polyhedra and efficiency*, volume 24. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.

39   Richard P. Stanley. Acyclic orientations of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 5:171–178, 1973. `doi:10.1016/0012-365X(73)90108-8`.

40   Venkat Venkateswaran. Minimizing maximum indegree. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 143:374–378, 2004. `doi:10.1016/j.dam.2003.07.007`.