Abstract

The Continuous Polytope Escape Problem (CPEP) asks whether every trajectory of a linear differential equation initialised within a convex polytope eventually escapes the polytope. We provide a polynomial-time algorithm to decide CPEP for compact polytopes. We also establish a quantitative uniform upper bound on the time required for every trajectory to escape the given polytope. In addition, we establish iteration bounds for termination of discrete linear loops via reduction to the continuous case.

1 Introduction

In ambient space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), a continuous linear dynamical system is a trajectory \( x(t) \), where \( t \) ranges over the non-negative reals, defined by a differential equation \( \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) \) in which the function \( f \) is affine or linear. If the initial point \( x(0) \) is given, the differential equation uniquely defines the entire trajectory. (Linear) dynamical systems have been extensively studied in Mathematics, Physics, and Engineering, and more recently have played an increasingly important role in Computer Science, notably in the modelling and analysis of cyber-physical systems; two recent and authoritative textbooks on the subject are [1, 12].

In the study of dynamical systems, particularly from the perspective of control theory, considerable attention has been given to the study of invariant sets, i.e., subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) from which no trajectory can escape; see, e.g., [7, 4, 2, 13]. Our focus in the present paper is on sets with the dual property that no trajectory remains trapped. Such sets play a key role in analysing liveness properties in cyber-physical systems (see, for instance, [1]): discrete
progress is ensured by guaranteeing that all trajectories (i.e., from any initial starting point) must eventually reach a point at which they “escape” (temporarily or permanently) the set in question, thereby forcing a discrete transition to take place.

More precisely, given an affine function \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \) and a convex polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \), both specified using rational coefficients encoded in binary, we consider the Continous Polytope Escape Problem (CPEP) which asks whether, for all starting points \( x_0 \) in \( P \), the corresponding trajectory of the solution to the differential equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) \\
x(0) = x_0
\end{cases}
\]

eventually escapes \( P \).

CPEP was shown to be decidable in [11], in which an algorithm having complexity between \( \text{NP} \) and \( \text{PSPACE} \) was exhibited. It is worth noting that, when the polytope \( P \) is unbounded in space, the time taken for a given trajectory to escape may be unboundedly large. For example, consider the unbounded one-dimensional polytope \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} | x \geq 1 \} \) and differential equation \( \dot{x}(t) = -x(t) \). For any starting point \( x_0 \), the trajectory \( x(t) = e^{-t} x_0 \) converges to 0 and thus all trajectories eventually escape. However, the escape time is at least \( \log(x_0) \) and hence is not bounded over all initial points in \( P \). Even if the polytope is bounded, there still need not be a uniform bound on the escape time. For example, consider the polytope \( P = (0, 1] \) and the equation \( \dot{x}(t) = x(t) \). Given an initial point \( x_0 \), the trajectory \( x(t) = e^t x_0 \) necessarily escapes \( P \): but the escape time is at least \( \log(1/x_0) \), which again is not bounded over \( P \).

Main contributions. We show that, for compact (i.e., closed and bounded) polytopes, CPEP is decidable in polynomial time. Moreover, we show how to calculate uniform escape-time upper bounds; these bounds are exponential in the bit size of the descriptions of the differential equation and of the polytope, and doubly exponential in the ambient dimension. In the case of differential equations specified by invertible or diagonalisable matrices, we have singly exponential bounds.

In comparing the above with the results from [11], we note both a substantial improvement in complexity (from \( \text{PSPACE} \) to \( \text{PTIME} \)) as well as the production of explicit uniform bounds on escape times. It is worth pointing out that the mathematical approach pursued in [11] is non-effective, and therefore does not appear capable of yielding any quantitative escape-time bounds. The new constructive techniques used in the present paper, which originate mainly from linear algebra and algebraic number theory, are applicable owing to the fact that we focus our attention on compact polytopes. In practice, of course, this is usually not a burdensome restriction; in most cyber-physical systems applications, for instance, all relevant polytopes will be compact (see, e.g., [1]).

Another interesting observation is that the seemingly closely related question of whether a given single trajectory of a linear dynamical system escapes a compact polytope appears to be vastly more challenging and is not known to be decidable; see, in particular, [3, 8, 9]. However, whether a given trajectory eventually hits a given single point is known as the Continuous Orbit Problem and can be decided in polynomial time [10].

---

1 By “escaping” \( P \), we simply mean venturing outside of \( P \) – we are unconcerned whether the trajectory might re-enter \( P \) at a later time or not.
Finally, we also consider in the present paper a discrete analogue of CPEP for discrete-time linear dynamical systems, namely the *Discrete Polytope Escape Problem (DPEP)*. This consists in deciding, given an affine function \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) and a convex polytope \( \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \), whether for all initial points \( x_0 \in \mathcal{P} \), the trajectory of the unique solution of the differential equation \( \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)), x(0) = x_0, t \geq 0 \), is entirely contained in \( \mathcal{P} \). For \( T \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \), we denote by \( X(T) \) the set \( \{ x(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, t \leq T \} \). A starting point \( x_0 \in \mathcal{P} \) is said to be a *fixed point* if for all \( t \geq 0 \), \( x(t) = x_0 \), and it is *trapped* if the trajectory of \( x(t) \) is contained in \( \mathcal{P} \) (i.e., \( X(\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{P} \)); thus solving the CPEP amounts to deciding whether there is a trapped point.

We will represent a \( d \)-dimensional instance of the CPEP by a triple \((A, B, c)\), where \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \) represents the linear function \( A \) and \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \), \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) represent the polytope \( \mathcal{P}_{B,E} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid Bx \leq c \} \). Given such an instance and an initial point \( x_0 \), the solution of the differential equation is \( x(t) = \exp(At)x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \). For the computation of bounds, we assume that all the coefficients of \( A \), \( B \) and \( c \) are rational and encoded in binary.

The decidability results and escape bounds computed in this paper can be adapted to the case of algebraic coefficients, but we don’t pursue this here.

Decidability of the CPEP was shown in [11]. In this paper we are interested in the following problem: given a positive instance of CPEP (i.e., one in which every trajectory escapes), compute an upper bound on the time to escape that holds uniformly over all initial points in the polytope. In other words, we wish to compute \( T \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that for all points \( x_0 \in \mathcal{P} \) there exists \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( t_0 \leq T \) and \( x(t_0) \notin \mathcal{P} \). We call such a \( T \) an *escape-time bound*.

As noted in the Introduction, such an escape-time bound need not exist in general. In the remainder of this paper, we therefore restrict our attention to *compact* polytopes.

### 2.2 Jordan Normal Forms

Let \( A \in \mathbb{Q}^{d \times d} \) be a square matrix with rational entries. The *minimal polynomial* of \( A \) is the unique monic polynomial \( m(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \) of least degree such that \( m(A) = 0 \). By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, the degree of \( m \) is at most the dimension of \( A \). The set \( \sigma(A) \) of eigenvalues of \( A \) is the set of roots of \( m \). The *index* of an eigenvalue \( \lambda \), denoted by \( \nu(\lambda) \), is defined as its multiplicity as a root of \( m \).

\(^2\) We remark that by increasing the dimension by one, the general CPEP can be reduced to the homogeneous case, in which the function \( f \) is linear.
For each eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $A$ we denote by $V_\lambda$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d$ spanned by the set of generalised eigenvectors associated with $\lambda$. We also denote by $V^c$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d$ spanned by the set of generalised eigenvectors associated with some real eigenvalue; we likewise denote by $V^e$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d$ spanned by the set of generalised eigenvectors associated with some non-real eigenvalue.

It is well known that each vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ can be written uniquely as $v = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} v_\lambda$, where $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$. It follows that $v$ can also be uniquely written as $v = v^r + v^e$, where $v^r \in V^r$ and $v^e \in V^e$. Moreover, we can write any matrix $A$ as $A = Q^{-1}JQ$ for some invertible matrix $Q$ and block diagonal Jordan matrix $J = \text{diag}(J_1, \ldots, J_N)$, with each block $J_i$, associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i$ having the following form:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Given a rational matrix $A$, its Jordan Normal Form $J = QAQ^{-1}$ can be computed in polynomial time, as shown in [6]. Note that each vector $v$ appearing as a column of the matrix $Q^{-1}$ is a generalised eigenvector. We also note that the index $\nu(\lambda)$ of some eigenvalue $\lambda$ corresponds to the dimension of the largest Jordan block associated with it. Given $J_i$, a Jordan block of size $k$ associated with some eigenvalue $\lambda$, the closed-form expression for its exponential is

$$
\exp(J_i t) = \exp(\lambda t) \begin{pmatrix}
1 & t & \cdots & \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{t^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & t \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Using this, for all $j \leq d$, the closed form of the $j$-th component of a trajectory is, $x_j^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} p_\lambda(t) \exp(\lambda t)$ where for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, $p_\lambda$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\nu(\lambda) - 1$.

### 2.3 The Discrete Polytope Escape Problem

We shall also consider the Discrete Polytope Escape Problem (DPEP). The DPEP consists in deciding, given an affine function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and a convex polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, whether there exists an initial point $x_0 \in P$ for which the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by the initial point and the recurrence $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ is entirely contained in $P$. The definitions of fixed and trapped points are immediately transposed to the discrete setting by considering the sequence instead of the trajectory.

As with the CPEP, a $d$-dimensional instance of the DPEP is represented by a triple $(A, B, c)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ represents the function $f_A : x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto Ax \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represent the polytope $P_{B,c} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid Bx \leq c \}$. Using the Jordan Normal form, one can see that the general form of the $j$-th component of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $x^{(j)}_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} p_\lambda(n) \lambda^n$, where for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, $p_\lambda$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\nu(\lambda) - 1$. We assume that all the coefficients of $A$, $B$ and $c$ are rational.
The examples showing one cannot build a bound when the polytope is open or unbounded for the CPEP can easily be carried over to the DPEP. Thus, when considering the DPEP, we also only consider compact polytopes.

3 Deciding the Polytope Escape Problem for Compact Polytopes

While the result of [11] allows us to decide the existence of a trapped point for continuous linear dynamical systems, the method is quite involved. When restricting ourselves to compact polytopes, however, we can use the following proposition, which shows that the existence of a trapped point is equivalent to the existence of a fixed point.

▶ Theorem 1. Given a CPEP instance \((A, B, c)\), the polytope \(P_{B, c}\) contains a trapped point iff it contains a fixed point.

Proof. For the “if” direction, observe that a fixed point \(x_0 \in P_{B, c}\) is necessarily trapped.

Conversely, assume that there exists a trapped point \(x_0 \in P_{B, c}\). Let \(H\) be the closure of the convex hull of \(X(\infty) = \{x(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}\). Then \(H\) is convex, compact, and is contained in \(P_{B, c}\). For each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) we define a function \(s_n : H \rightarrow H\) by \(s_n(x) = e^{A2^{-n}}x\). Note that this function is well-defined: clearly \(X(\infty)\) is invariant under \(s_n\); moreover, since \(s_n\) is linear, the convex hull of \(X(\infty)\) is also invariant under \(s_n\); finally, since \(s_n\) is continuous, the closure of the convex hull of \(X(\infty)\) (i.e., \(H\)) is invariant under \(s_n\).

For all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), as the function \(s_n\) is continuous, by Brouwer’s fixed-point theorem \(s_n\) admits at least one fixed point on \(H\). Let \(F_n\) be the non-empty set of fixed points of \(s_n\) in \(H\). Since \(s_n = s_{n+1} \circ s_n\), we have that \(F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Moreover, by continuity of the function \(f_A\), \(F_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n\) is non-empty. By continuity of \(f_A\), any point \(y \in F_{\infty}\) satisfies \(f_A(y) = 0\). Therefore, the CPEP instance admits at least one fixed point within \(P_{B, c}\), which concludes the proof. ◀

Since the set \(F = \{x \mid Ax = 0\}\) of fixed points is easy to calculate, we simply need to check whether its intersection with the polytope is empty in order to decide CPEP. Since the latter can be formulated as a linear program, we can decide CPEP for compact polytopes in polynomial time.

The proof of Theorem 1 carries over with very small changes (considering the function \(f_A\) directly, instead of the family \((s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\)) to prove an analogous result for DPEP:

▶ Theorem 2. Given a DPEP instance \((A, B, c)\), \(P_{B, c}\) has a trapped point iff it contains a fixed point.

4 Bounding the Escape Time for a Positive CPEP Instance

The goal of this section is to establish a uniform bound on the escape time of a positive CPEP instance. The main result is as follows:

▶ Theorem 3. Given a \(d\)-dimensional positive instance of the CPEP, described by a tuple of bit size \(b\), the time to escape the polytope is bounded by

\[
T = 4 \exp \left(6400d^{4d+10}\right) = e^{bd^{O(d)}}.
\]

We prove this bound in four steps. First, in Subsection 4.1, we show that one can ignore the component of the initial vector lying in the complex eigenspace \(V^c\) after a certain amount of time. Intuitively speaking, this stems from the fact that a convex polytope that contains
a spiral must contain the centre of that spiral. Thus whenever we have a complex eigenvalue we can ignore the effects of the rotation by focusing on the axis of the helix formed by the trajectory.

We could then try to find a bound on escape time by looking at positivity of expressions of the form $b^T \exp(At)y_0$, where $b$ is the normal to a hyperplane supporting a face of the polytope. Unfortunately, these expressions contain terms corresponding to many different eigenvalues, which significantly complicates the analysis. We get around this problem in Subsection 4.2 by bounding the distance of the polytope to the origin and to the set of fixed points of the differential equation using hypercubes in the Jordan basis. This allows us to disentangle the effects of the different eigenvalues. We prove that the trajectories of the system escape the enclosing hypercube, and use the escape time of the hypercube as an upper bound on the escape time of the polytope.

Our next step is then, in Subsection 4.3, to compute a uniform escape bound for our hypercube. Finally, Subsection 4.4 combines the results from the previous sections to get the desired bound on the escape time of the original polytope.

### 4.1 Removing the Complex Eigenvalues

Let $(A, B, c)$, be a positive CPEP instance. Assume for now that $A$ is given in Jordan normal form. This assumption is not without cost as we will see in the next subsection. In this subsection, we consider a single block $J_i$ of $A$ corresponding to a non-real eigenvalue $\lambda_i$. Considering only the dimensions associated to the Jordan block $J_i$ (i.e., the space $V_{\lambda_i}$) and writing $k = \nu(\lambda_i)$, we have that given an initial point $x_0 = [x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}]$, the components of the trajectory $x(t)$ are

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  x^{(1)}(t) \\
  x^{(2)}(t) \\
  \vdots \\
  x^{(k)}(t)
\end{bmatrix} = \exp(\lambda_i t)
\begin{bmatrix}
  x^{(1)} + x^{(2)} t + x^{(3)} t^2/2 + \ldots + x^{(k)} t^{k-1}/(k-1)! \\
  x^{(2)} + x^{(3)} t + \ldots + x^{(k)} t^{k-2}/(k-2)! \\
  \vdots \\
  x^{(k)}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

In order to compute the escape times in the presence of non-real eigenvalues we use the fact that if a convex set contains a spiralling or helical trajectory, it must contain the axis of that trajectory. A trajectory starting on this axis is not affected by the eigenvalue that generates the rotation, moreover, if the trajectory starting in the axis escapes, then the original trajectory also escapes (albeit, potentially a bit later). This allows us to reduce to the case where we only have real eigenvalues. The following lemma formalizes this intuition.

> **Lemma 4** (Zero in convex hull). Let

$$x(t) = (p_{1,0}(t)e^{\lambda_1 t}, \ldots, p_{1,\nu(\lambda_1) - 1}(t)e^{\lambda_1 t}, \ldots, p_{r,0}(t)e^{\lambda_r t}, \ldots, p_{r,\nu(\lambda_r) - 1}(t)e^{\lambda_r t})^T$$

be a trajectory where, for all $j$, $\lambda_j = \eta_j + i\theta_j$, $\theta_j$ is non-zero, and $p_{j,k}$ is the Taylor polynomial corresponding to the factor $e^{\lambda_j t}$ of degree $k$. Then there exists a time $T$ such that $\text{Conv}(X(T))$ contains the origin (where $\text{Conv}$ represents the convex hull). In particular, this $T$ satisfies

$$T \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \nu(\lambda_j) \frac{\pi}{\theta_j}.$$

**Proof Sketch.** The basic idea is to take an initial point parametrized by $t$, travel along the trajectory to the point of opposite phase for a particular component, and create a new point where this component is equal to 0 by adding together a suitable convex combination of
the opposite-phase point and the initial one. Since both these points were parametrized by \( t \), we can take the trajectory starting in the newly created point (which lies in the convex hull of the original trajectory) and repeat for the other dimensions until every component corresponding to the \( V^c \) subspace is equal to 0.

4.2 Replacing the Polytopes with Hypercubes

Let \((A, B, c)\) be a \( d\)-dimensional positive CPEP instance, \( J \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \) a matrix in Jordan normal form, and \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \) be such that \( A = Q^{-1} J Q \).

Let us assume that all eigenvalues of \( A \) are real. Our approach is to work in the Jordan basis. To this end we note that the trajectory \( x(t) = \exp(At)x_0 \) escapes the polytope \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \) for all \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) if and only if the trajectory \( y(t) = \exp(Jt)y_0 \) escapes the polytope \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \) for all \( y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \). (Note that all entries of \( Q^{-1} \) are real algebraic.) Below we analyse the latter version of CPEP, i.e., with a matrix \( J \) in Jordan form with real algebraic entries.

The key intuition is that for every initial vector \( y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) the trajectory \( y(t) = \exp(Jt)y_0 \) will either converge to a fixed point of the system or otherwise will diverge to infinity in some component. In either case the trajectory must exit the polytope since the polytope is bounded and does not meet the set \( F := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d | Jy = 0 \} \) of fixed points. We are thus led to define constants \( C, \varepsilon > 0 \) such that every trajectory \( y(t) = \exp(Jt)y_0 \) that either exits the hypercube \([-C, C]^d\) or comes within distance \( \varepsilon \) of the set \( F \) of fixed points will necessarily have left the polytope \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \). More precisely, we seek \( C > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that:

1. \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \subseteq [-C, C]^d \),
2. For all \( y \in F \) the hypercube \( \{ y + x | x \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^n \} \) does not meet \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \).

Note that such a positive \( \varepsilon \) must exist since, \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \cap F = \emptyset \), \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \) is compact, and \( F \) is closed. Having computed \( C \) and \( \varepsilon \), we obtain the escape bound for the polytope \( P_{B,Q^{-1},c} \) by computing the time to either exit the hypercube in Item 1 or enter one of the hypercubes mentioned in Item 2.

In order to compute the escape bound, we only need the upper bound on the ratio \( C/\varepsilon \) given in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let \((A, B, c)\), be a \( d \)-dimensional positive CPEP instance involving rationals, each of at most \( b \in \mathbb{N} \) bits. One can select \( C \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) satisfying Conditions 1 and 2, above, and such that

\[
\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \leq \exp\left(640b^3d^3 + 8\right).
\]

Sketch of proof. The proof relies on Liouville’s inequality, which states that the size of an algebraic number can be upper- and lower-bounded in terms of the degree and height (coefficient size) of its minimal integer polynomial, and an arithmetic complexity lemma which bounds the logarithmic height of the output of an arithmetic circuit in terms of the heights of the inputs. We apply these bounds to the vertices of the polytope in the Jordan basis (which are computed using the entries of \( B, c \) and \( Q^{-1} \)).

Let us illustrate how the change of basis can lead to an exponential size polytope. Consider the matrix

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1.01
\end{bmatrix},
\]
its associated Jordan decomposition
\[
A = Q^{-1}JQ = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 10000 \\
0 & 1 & 100 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.01
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -10000 \\
0 & 1 & -100 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and the polytope \( \mathcal{P} = \{ (0, 1, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | 0 \leq x_3 \leq 1 \} \). This polytope is contained in the hypercube of size \( C = 1 \) and every point is at least at distance \( \varepsilon = 1 \) from any fixed point. However, in the Jordan basis, this polytope becomes equal to the set \( \{ (-10000x_3, 1 - 100x_3, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | (0, 1, x_3) \in \mathcal{P} \} \), which forces a choice of \( C \) and \( \varepsilon \) such that \( \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \geq 10000 \).

In general, using the same reasoning on the matrix of dimension \( d \)
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + 1/2^b
\end{bmatrix}
\]
leads to a blowup in the value for \( C/\varepsilon \) of \( 2^{b(d-1)} \), thus exponential in the dimension.

The bound obtained in Lemma 5 is however doubly exponential in the dimension. Analysing the proof of the lemma, in order to obtain an example for which the bound is tight, one would need to build a family of polynomials with splitting fields of degree exponential in the degree of the polynomial. Such polynomials unfortunately seem hard to find.

### 4.3 Computing an Upper Bound on the Escape Time for each Eigenspace

Consider a real eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of the Jordan matrix \( J \) associated with a Jordan block of size \( k \). Let \( x_0 = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}) \) be a point in the polytope. By construction of \( C \), we know that \( \forall i \leq k, x^{(i)} \leq C \). The trajectory \( x(t) \), in that generalized eigenspace is
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x^{(1)} \\
x^{(2)} \\
\vdots \\
x^{(k)}
\end{bmatrix}(t) = \exp(\lambda t)
\begin{bmatrix}
x^{(1)} + x^{(2)} t + \frac{x^{(3)} t^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x^{(k)} (t - 1)!}{(k - 1)!} \\
x^{(2)} + x^{(3)} t + \cdots + \frac{x^{(k-1)} (t - 2)!}{(k - 2)!} \\
\vdots \\
x^{(k)}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The trajectory, limited to this Jordan block, will either escape the hypercube \([-C, C]^d\) that encloses \( \mathcal{P}_{BQ} \), or will become so small that it will be at distance less than \( \varepsilon \) from the fixed point \( \mathbf{0} \). We therefore consider three cases: \( \lambda = 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) for which the trajectory will grow, and \( \lambda < 0 \) which decreases the coefficients. Once we have an escape bound for each eigenvalue, we will deduce a uniform bound for the entire trajectory.

Note that escaping the hypercube or converging to a fixed point do not give symmetric results: If we find a single component that grows larger than \( C \), this is enough to escape the polytope, but all dimensions need to become smaller than \( \varepsilon \) in order to escape via entering the \( \varepsilon \)-region around the fixed point.

**Case \( \lambda < 0 \).** For all \( j \leq k \),
\[
x^{(j)}(t) = \exp(\lambda t) \sum_{i=j}^{k} x^{(i)} \frac{t^{i-j}}{(i-j)!}.
\]
Using the bounds on the coefficients, we thus have when \( t > 1 \)
\[
|x^{(j)}(t)| = |\exp(\lambda t) \sum_{i=j}^{k} x^{(i)} \frac{t^{i-j}}{(i-j)!}| \leq \exp(\lambda t) k C t^k \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}
\]
In order to have $|x^{(j)}(t)| < \varepsilon$, it is enough to have $\exp(\lambda t)kCt^k < \varepsilon$, which is equivalent to $\frac{kCt^k}{\varepsilon} < \exp(-\lambda t)$, and $t > \frac{1}{\lambda\varepsilon} \log \left( \frac{kC}{\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{k}{\lambda} \log t$.

Here we need a small technical lemma.

Lemma 6 (Lemma A.1 and A.2 from [14]). Suppose $a \geq 1$ and $b > 0$, then $t \geq a \log t + b$ if $t \geq 4a \log(2a) + 2b$.

Applying this lemma with $a = \max\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$ (we assume $\frac{1}{\lambda} > 1$ in the following in order not to overload the formulas) and $b = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left( \frac{kC}{\varepsilon} \right)$, we get a bound $T_\lambda$ such that for all $j \leq k$, $x^{(j)}(T) < \varepsilon$, namely

$$T_\lambda \leq \frac{4k}{\lambda} \log \left( \frac{2k}{-\lambda} \right) + \frac{2}{-\lambda} \log \left( \frac{kC}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Case $\lambda = 0$. In this case, the trajectory restricted to this eigenspace is

$$x^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{i=j}^{k} x^{(i)} \frac{t^{i-j}}{(i-j)!} \text{ for } j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}.$$  

Assume that there exists $j \geq 2$ such that $|x^{(j)}| > \varepsilon$. This holds because by the definition of $\varepsilon$ a point of the polytope is at distance at least $\varepsilon$ from a fixed point. In particular, the line $\{x_j = 0 \mid j \neq 1\}$ is a line of fixed points of the differential equation. Now we require a time $T_\lambda$ such that at least one of these components is larger in magnitude than $|C|$. We construct an upper bound on this time iteratively, using the fact that at least one coefficient $x^{(j)}$ is greater than $\varepsilon$, and all of them are less than $C$, giving the following bound on $T_\lambda$:

$$T_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{k^2C}{\varepsilon} \right)^{2^{k-1}}.$$  

Case $\lambda > 0$. This case proceeds similarly to the $\lambda = 0$ case, although the presence of an exponential factor gives us a much better bound $T_\lambda$:

$$T_\lambda \leq \frac{2k-1}{\lambda} \log \left( \frac{kC}{\varepsilon} \right).$$  

4.4 Constructing a Uniform Bound

We can now combine the results of the previous sections to get a uniform escape bound, considering all eigenvalues (real or not) simultaneously. Let the complex eigenvalues of $A$ be $\{\eta_1 + i\theta_1, \eta_1 - i\theta_1, \ldots, \eta_r + i\theta_r, \eta_r - i\theta_r\}$ and the real eigenvalues be $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s\}$. Consider an arbitrary trajectory $x(t)$ satisfying the differential equation $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$. By Lemma 4 we know that for $T_\varepsilon := \sum_{j=1}^{r} \nu(\eta_j + i\theta_j) \frac{T_\varepsilon}{2\pi}$ there exists a point in the convex hull of $\{x(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T_\varepsilon\}$ that lies in the real eigenspace of $A$. This allows us to derive a bound on the escape time of the polytope $\mathcal{P}$ from a bound on the escape time of $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{V}^r$. Indeed, let $T_r$ be such that every “real” trajectory escapes the polytope in time $T_r$. Then any “complex” trajectory of duration $T_\varepsilon + T_r$ contains in its convex hull a “real” trajectory of duration $T_r$ which thus must have escaped the polytope. As the polytope is convex, this means that the complex trajectory itself escaped.

As for the subspace $\mathcal{V}^r$, we can derive from the escape bounds $T_\lambda$ on each eigenspace computed in Subsection 4.3 a time bound beyond which every real point has escaped the polytope.
How Fast Can You Escape a Compact Polytope?

Lemma 7 (Real Time Bound). Given an initial point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with zero components in $V^c$, the trajectory $x(t)$ escapes within time $T_r = 2 \max \lambda T \lambda$.

Proof. Within a time $T_r/2 = \max \lambda T \lambda$, thanks to the analysis of subsection 4.3, there are three possibilities:
- the trajectory escapes the hypercube of size $C$, this occurs if there was a coefficient associated to a non-negative eigenvalue that was larger than $\varepsilon$;
- all coefficients are now smaller than $\varepsilon$, entering the hypercube of size $\varepsilon$ and escaping the polytope since all the purely imaginary coefficients are zero;
- some component corresponding to a positive or zero eigenvalue originally less than $\varepsilon$ has become greater than $\varepsilon$. In this case, waiting another $T_r/2$ amount of time puts the trajectory in the first case, ensuring it escapes.

Thus in all cases the trajectory has escaped by time $T_r$.

From the above, we can deduce that every trajectory escapes within time $T_r + T_c$. We finally obtain Theorem 3 by analysing the complexity of this time bound in terms of the number of bits of the instance and its dimension.

The magnitude of the resulting escape bound is singly exponential in the bit size of the matrix entries and doubly exponential in the dimension of the matrix. However, if the matrix is diagonalizable or invertible, we can ignore the case where the eigenvalue is zero. Then the bound becomes $O(4^{bd^2})$ which is singly exponential in the bit size and dimension.

In Subsection 4.2 we showed how the change of basis explained the exponential factor in the number of dimensions. It is clear that the escape time can also be exponential in the bit size of the matrix.

For a very simple example, consider a 1-dimensional case where the polytope is the interval $[1, 2]$ and the differential equation is $\dot{x}(t) = 2^{-b}x(t)$ (which obviously can be written using constants of bit size at most $b$). Then the initial point $x_0 = 1$ yields a trajectory $x(t) = \exp(2^{-b}t)x_0$ whose escape time is $2^b \log 2$, which is exponential in $b$.

The Discrete Case

Tiwari [15] and Braverman [5] have shown decidability for the DPEP over the rationals and reals. In general, even if every trajectory is known to be escaping, it is not possible to place a uniform bound on the number of steps. However if the polytope is compact, we can use techniques similar to those used for the CPEP in order to provide a bound.

Theorem 8. Given a $d$-dimensional positive DPEP instance $(A, B, c)$ where the rational numbers use at most $b \in \mathbb{N}$ bits and an initial point $x_0$, then for $N = e^{bd^{O(d)}}$, we have $x_N \notin P_{B,c}$.

Sketch of proof. We reduce the problem to the continuous case. Assuming every eigenvalue is positive, the matrix logarithm $G$ of $A$ is well defined. The trajectory of a continuous linear dynamical system generated by $G$ is of the form $x(t) = \exp(Gt)x(0)$. In particular, for an initial point $x_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$x(n) = \exp(Gn)x_0 = \exp(G)^n x_0 = A^n x_0 = x_n$$

Therefore, we can relate the escape time of the CPEP instance $(G, B, c)$ to the escape time of the DPEP instance $(A, B, c)$.

The eigenvalues that are not positive are dealt with using a variant of the convex hull Lemma 4.
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