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Abstract

Lightness is a fundamental parameter for Euclidean spanners; it is the ratio of the spanner weight to the weight of the minimum spanning tree of a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In a recent breakthrough, Le and Solomon (2019) established the precise dependencies on $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ of the minimum lightness of a $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner, and observed that additional Steiner points can substantially improve the lightness. Le and Solomon (2020) constructed Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners of lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log \Delta)$ in the plane, where $\Delta \geq \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ is the spread of the point set, defined as the ratio between the maximum and minimum distance between a pair of points. They also constructed spanners of lightness $\tilde{O}(\varepsilon^{-d/(d+2)})$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$. Recently, Bhore and Tóth (2020) established a lower bound of $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-d/2})$ for the lightness of Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 2$. The central open problem in this area is to close the gap between the lower and upper bounds in all dimensions $d \geq 2$.

In this work, we show that for every finite set of points in the plane and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner of lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$; this matches the lower bound for $d = 2$. We generalize the notion of shallow light trees, which may be of independent interest, and use directional spanners and a modified window partitioning scheme to achieve a tight weight analysis.

1 Introduction

Given an edge-weighted graph $G$, a spanner is a subgraph $H$ of $G$ that preserves the length of the shortest paths in $G$ up to some amount of multiplicative or additive distortion. Formally, a subgraph $H$ of a given edge-weighted graph $G$ is a $t$-spanner, for some $t \geq 1$, if for every $pq \in (V(G))^2$ we have $d_H(p, q) \leq t \cdot d_G(p, q)$, where $d_G(p, q)$ denotes the length of the shortest path in $G$. The parameter $t$ is called the stretch factor of the spanner. Graph spanners were introduced by Peleg and Schäffer [40], and since then it has turned to be a fundamental graph structure with numerous applications in the field of distributed systems and communication, distributed queuing protocol, compact routing schemes, etc.; see [19, 29, 41, 42]. For edge-weighted graphs, a natural parameter is the lightness of a spanner, that is associated with the total weight of the spanner. The lightness of a spanner $H$ of an input graph $G$, is the ratio $w(H)/w(MST)$ between the total weight of $H$ and the weight of a minimum spanning tree (MST) of $G$. Note that, since a spanner $H$ is a connected graph, the trivial lower bound for lightness is 1.
In geometric settings, a $t$-spanner for a finite set $S$ of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a subgraph of the underlying complete graph $G = (S, (\frac{t}{d}))$, that preserves the pairwise Euclidean distances between points in $S$ to within a factor of $t$, that is the stretch factor. The edge weights of $G$ are the Euclidean distances between the vertices. Chew [14, 15] initiated the study of Euclidean spanners in 1986, and showed that for a set of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, there exists a spanner with $O(n)$ edges and constant stretch factor. Since then a large body of research has been devoted to Euclidean spanners due to its many applications across domains, such as, topology control in wireless networks [45], efficient regression in metric spaces [26], approximate distance oracles [28], and others. Moreover, Rao and Smith [43] showed the relevance of Euclidean spanners in the context of other fundamental geometric NP-hard problems, e.g., Euclidean traveling salesman problem and Euclidean minimum Steiner tree problem. Many different spanner construction approaches have been developed for Euclidean spanners over the years, that each found further applications in geometric optimization, such as spanners based on well-separated pair decomposition (WSPD) [11, 27], skip-lists [3], path-greedy and gap-greedy approaches [1, 4], locality-sensitive orderings [12], and more. We refer to the book by Narasimhan and Smid [39] and the survey of Bose and Smid [10] for a summary of results and techniques on Euclidean spanners up to 2013.

Lightness and sparsity are two natural parameters for Euclidean spanners. For a set $S$ of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the lightness is the ratio of the spanner weight (i.e., the sum of all edge weights) to the weight of the Euclidean minimum spanning tree MST$(S)$. Then, the sparsity of a spanner on $S$ is the ratio of its size to the size of a spanning tree. Classical results show that when the dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are constant, every set $S$ of $n$ points in $d$-space admits an $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners with $O(n)$ edges and weight proportional to that of the Euclidean MST of $S$. We refer to a series of spanners constructions for a comprehensible understanding of sparse spanners [15, 16, 30, 31, 44, 49].

Of particular interest, we elaborate on the lightness aspect of Euclidean spanners. Das et al. [17] showed that the greedy-spanner (cf. [1]) has constant lightness in $\mathbb{R}^1$. This was generalized later to $\mathbb{R}^d$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, by Das et al. [18]. However the dependencies on $\varepsilon$ and $d$ have not been addressed. Rao and Smith [43] showed that the greedy spanner has lightness $\varepsilon^{-O(d)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for every constant $d$, and asked what is the best possible constant in the exponent. A complete proof for the existence of a $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner with lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-2d})$ is in the book on geometric spanners [39]. Gao et al. [24] considered the spanners in doubling metrics, and showed that every finite set of $n$ points in doubling dimension $d$ has a spanner of sparsity $\varepsilon^{-O(d)}$. In 2015, Gottlieb [25] showed that a metric of doubling dimension $d$ has a spanner of lightness $(d/\varepsilon)^{O(d)}$, which improved the $O(\log n)$ lightness bound of Smid [46]. Recently, Borradaile et al. [9] showed that the greedy $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner of a finite metric space of doubling dimension $d$ has lightness $\varepsilon^{-O(d)}$. In [33], Le and Solomon established the precise dependencies of $\varepsilon$ in the lightness and sparsity bounds of Euclidean $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners. They constructed, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and constant $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a set $S$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for which any $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner must have lightness $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-d})$ and sparsity $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-d+1})$, whenever $\varepsilon = \Omega(n^{-1/(d-1)})$. Moreover, they showed that the greedy $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner in $\mathbb{R}^d$ has lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-d} \log \varepsilon^{-1})$.

**Steiner Spanners.** Steiner points are additional vertices in a network that are not part of the input, and a $t$-spanner must achieve stretch factor $t$ only between pairs of the input points in $S$. Le and Solomon [33] observed that it is possible to use Steiner points to bypass the lower bounds and substantially improve the bounds for lightness and sparsity of Euclidean $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners. For minimum sparsity, they gave an upper bound of $O(\varepsilon^{(1-d)/2})$ for $d$-space
and a lower bound of $\Omega((\varepsilon^{-1/2}/\log \varepsilon^{-1})$. For minimum lightness, they gave a lower bound of $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-1}/\log \varepsilon^{-1})$, for points in the plane ($d = 2$) [33]. In a subsequent work [34], they have constructed Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners of lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log \Delta)$ in the plane, where $\Delta$ is the spread of the point set, defined as the ratio between the maximum and minimum distance between a pair of points. In particular, $\log \Delta \in \Omega(\log n)$ in doubling metrics.

Recently, Bhore and Tóth [7] established a lower bound of $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-d/2})$ for the lightness of Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners in Euclidean $d$-space for all $d \geq 2$. Moreover, for points in the plane, they established an upper bound of $O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log n)$. In [35], Le and Solomon constructed spanners of lightness $\tilde{O}(\varepsilon^{-(d+1)/2})$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$, nearly matching the lower bound $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-d/2})$, for $d \geq 3$. The central open problem in this area is to close the gap between the lower and upper bounds of lightness, in all dimensions $d \geq 2$.

**Question 1.** Do there exist Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners for a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, of lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-d/2})$, for any $d \geq 2$?

Bounding the lightness of Euclidean spanners is often harder than bounding the sparsity, as also noted by Le and Solomon [34]. Several works portrayed the difficulties of constructing light spanners in Euclidean spaces, doubling metrics, as well as on other weighted graphs; see [1, 9, 13, 22, 25, 33, 46, 18, 43]. A delicate aspect of the problem is to find suitable locations for Steiner points. Recent results on Steiner spanners [7, 33, 34, 35] suggest that highly nontrivial insights are required to argue the upper bounds for Steiner spanners, and they tend to be even more intricate than their non-Steiner counterpart.

**Related Previous Work.** Steiner points were used in several occasions to improve the overall weight of a network. Previously, Elkin and Solomon [23] and Solomon [47] showed that Steiner points can improve the weight of the network in the single-source setting. In particular, they introduced the so-called shallow-light trees (SLT), that is a single-source spanning tree that concurrently approximates a shortest-path tree (between the source and all other points) and a minimum spanning tree (for the total weight). They proved that Steiner points help to obtain exponential improvement on the lightness of SLTs in a general metric space [23], and quadratic improvement on the lightness in Euclidean spaces [47].

**Our Contribution.** In this work, we show that for every finite set of points in the plane and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner of lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ (Theorem 2). This matches the lower bound for $d = 2$, and thereby closes the gap between lower and upper bounds of lightness for Euclidean $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

On the one hand, without Steiner points, the greedy spanner in Euclidean plane has lightness $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, which is the best possible up to lower-order terms [33]. On the other hand, with Steiner points, recent constructions achieved linear dependence on $\varepsilon^{-1}$, while losing the independence from $n$; see [7, 34]. Our result is the first that constructs Steiner spanners with sub-quadratic dependence on $\varepsilon^{-1}$ without any dependence on $n$ or any assumption on the point set, in fact our result achieves the optimal dependence on $\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 2.** For every finite point sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner of weight $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\text{MST}(S)\|)$.

**Outline.** We review previous results on angle-bounded paths, SLTs, and window partitions that we use in our construction (Section 2). The tight bound in Theorem 2 relies on three new ideas, which may be of independent interest: First, we generalize Solomon’s SLTs to points on a staircase path (Section 3). Second, we reduce the proof of Theorem 2 to the
construction of “directional” spanners, in each of $\Theta(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ directions, where it is enough to establish the stretch factor $1 + \varepsilon$ for point pairs $s, t \in S$ where $\text{dir}(st)$ is in an interval of size $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ (Section 4). Combining the first two ideas, we show how to construct light directional spanners for points on a staircase path (Section 5). In each direction, we start with a rectilinear MST of $S$, and augment it into a directional spanner. We modify the classical window partition of a rectilinear polygon into histograms by replacing vertical edges with angle-bounded paths; this is the final piece of the puzzle. Near-vertical paths (with slopes $\pm \varepsilon^{-1/2}$) allow sufficient flexibility to reduce the weight of a histogram subdivision, and we can construct directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners for each face of such a subdivision (Section 6).

2 Preliminaries

The direction of a line segment $ab$ in the plane, denoted $\text{dir}(ab)$, is the minimum counterclockwise angle $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ that rotates the $x$-axis to be parallel to $ab$. The set of possible directions $[0, \pi)$ is homeomorphic to the unit circle $S^1$, and an interval $(\alpha, \beta)$ of directions corresponds to the counterclockwise arc of $S^1$ from $\alpha \pmod{\pi}$ to $\beta \pmod{\pi}$.

Angle-Bounded Paths. For $\delta \in (0, \pi/2]$, a polygonal path $(v_0, \ldots, v_m)$ is $(\theta \pm \delta)$-angle-bounded if the direction of every segment $v_{i-1}v_i$ is in the interval $[\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta]$; see Fig. 1(a). Borradaile and Eppstein [8, Lemma 5] observed that the weight of a $(\theta \pm \delta)$-angle-bounded st-path is at most $(1 + O(\delta^2))\|st\|$. We prove this observation in a more precise form. The quadratic growth rate in $\delta$ is due to the Taylor estimate $\sec(x) = \frac{1}{\cos(x)} \leq 1 + x^2$ for $x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Lemma 3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $P = v_0v_1 \ldots v_m$ be an ab-path such that $P$ is monotonic in direction $ab$ and $|\text{dir}(v_{i-1}v_i) - \text{dir}(ab)| \leq \delta$, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Then $\|P\| \leq (1 + \delta^2)\|ab\|$. Proof. For $i = 0, \ldots, m$, let $u_i$ be the orthogonal projection of $v_i$ to the line $ab$, and let $\alpha_i = \text{dir}(v_{i-1}v_i) - \text{dir}(ab)$. Then $\|ab\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_{i-1}u_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_{i-1}v_i\| \sec \angle_i \leq \|P\| \sec \delta \leq (1 + \delta^2)\|P\|$, as claimed.

![Figure 1](a) A $(0 \pm \delta)$-angle-bounded path. (b) A shallow-light tree between a source $s$ and a horizontal line segment $L$. (c)-(d) An $x$- and a $y$-monotone histogram.

Shallow-Light Trees. Shallow-light trees (SLT) were introduced by Awerbuch et al. [5] and Khuller et al. [32]. Given a source $s$ and a point set $S$ in a metric space, an $(\alpha, \beta)$-SLT is a Steiner tree rooted at $s$ that contains a path of weight at most $\alpha \|ab\|$ between the source $s$ and any point $t \in S$, and has weight at most $\beta \|\text{MST}(S)\|$. We build on the following basic variant of SLT between a source $s$ and a set $S$ of collinear points in the plane; see Fig. 1(b).

Lemma 4 (Solomon [47, Section 2.1]). Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $s = (0, \varepsilon^{-1/2})$ be a point on the y-axis, and let $S$ be a set of points in the line segment $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times \{0\}$ in the x-axis. Then there exists a geometric graph of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ that contains, for every point $t \in L$, an st-path $P_{st}$ with $\|P_{st}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|st\|$. 
We note that the weight analysis of the st-path $P_{st}$ in an SLT does not use angle-boundedness. In particular, an SLT may contain short edges of arbitrary directions close to $t$, but the directions of long edges are close to vertical. In Section 3 below, we generalize the shallow-light trees to obtain $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanners between points on two staircase paths.

**Stretch Factor of $1 + \varepsilon$ Versus $1 + O(\varepsilon)$.** In the geometric spanners we construct, an st-path may comprise $O(1)$ subpaths, each of which is angle-bounded or contained in an SLT. For the ease of presentation, we typically establish a stretch factor of $1 + O(\varepsilon)$ in our proofs.

Histogram Decomposition. A path in the plane is $x$-monotone (resp., $y$-monotone) if its intersection with every vertical (resp., horizontal) line is connected. A histogram is a rectilinear simple polygon bounded by an axis-parallel line segment and an $x$- or $y$-monotone path; see Fig. 1(c-d). It is well known that every rectilinear simple polygon $P$ can be subdivided into histograms (faces) such that every axis-parallel line segment in $P$ intersects (stabs) at most three histograms [21, 36]; such a subdivision is also called a window partition [37, 48] of $P$, and can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time if $P$ has $n$ vertices. The stabbing property implies that the total perimeter of the histograms in such a subdivision is $O(\per(P))$.

Dumitrescu and Tóth [20] showed that for a finite point set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, one can refine the window partition, while increasing the weight by a constant factor, to construct a graph with constant geometric dilation. The geometric dilation of a geometric graph $G$ is $\sup_{a,b \in G} d_G(a,b)/\|ab\|$, where $d_G(a,b)$ denotes the Euclidean length of a shortest path in $G$, and the supremum is taken over all point pairs $\{a,b\}$ at vertices and along edges of $G$. We follow a similar approach here, but we construct a subdivision of “modified” histograms (defined in Section 6), where the vertical edges are replaced by angle-bounded paths.

### 3 Generalized Shallow Light Trees

In Section 3.1, we generalize Lemma 4, and construct shallow-light trees between a source $s$ and points on an $x$- and $y$-monotone rectilinear path $L$, which is called a staircase path. In Section 3.2, we show how to combine two shallow-light trees to obtain a spanner between point pairs on two staircase paths.

#### 3.1 Single Source and Staircase Chain

We present a new, slightly modified proof for Solomon’s result on SLTs between a single source $s$ and a horizontal line segment, and then adapt the modified proof to obtain a SLT between $s$ and an $x$- and $y$-monotone polygonal chain. In the proof below, we use the Taylor estimates $\cos x \geq 1 - x^2/2$ and $\sin x \geq x/2$ for $x \leq \pi/3$.

**Alternative proof for Lemma 4.** Assume w.l.o.g. that $\varepsilon = 2^{-k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T = \{t_i : i = 1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\}$ be $2^{k+1}$ points on the line segment $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times \{0\}$ with uniform $1/(2^{k+1} - 1) < \varepsilon$ spacing between consecutive points. Consider the standard binary partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\}$ into intervals, associated with a binary tree: At level 0, the root corresponds to the interval $[1, 2^{k+1}]$ of all $2^{k+1}$ integer. At level $j$, we have intervals $[i \cdot 2^{k-j} - 1, (i+1) \cdot 2^{k-j} - 1]$ for $i = 0, \ldots, 2^j$. Note that if a point $q$ is the left (resp., right) endpoint of an interval at a level $j$, then it is a left (resp., right) endpoint of all descendant intervals that contains it.

For every $q \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\}$, we define a line segment $\ell_q$ with one endpoint at $t_q$. Let $j \geq 0$ be the smallest level such that $q$ is an endpoint of some interval $I_q$ at level $j$. If $q$ is the left (resp., right) endpoint of $I_q$, then let $\ell_q$ be the line segment of direction $\frac{\pi}{2} - 2^{(j-k)/2}$
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The segments added to graph $G$ at level $j = 0, 1, 2$ for $m = 2^3 = 8$ points. The intervals $[t_a, t_b]$ at level $j$ are indicated below the line $L$.

Lightness analysis. We show that $\|G\| = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. We have $\|L\| = 1$, and the length of the vertical segment between $s$ and the origin is $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$. At level $j$ of the binary tree, we construct $2^j$ segments $\ell$, each of length $\|\ell\| \leq 2^{-j}/\sin(2(j-k)/2) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{(k-3)/2}$. Summation over all levels yields $\sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^j \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{(k-3)/2} = 2^{k+1} \cdot 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{-j/2} = O(2^{k/2}) = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$.

Source-stretch analysis. We show that $G$ contains an $st_q$-path of length $(1 + O(\varepsilon))\|st_q\|$ for all $q = 1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}$. First note that $\|st_q\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$, as the distance between $s$ and $L$ is $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$. For each interval $[t_a, t_b]$ in the binary tree, $t_a$ and $t_b$ have positive and negative slopes, respectively, and so they cross above the interval $[t_a, t_b]$. Consequently, for every point $t_q$, the union of the $k+1$ segments corresponding to the intervals that contain $t_q$ must contain a $y$-monotonically increasing path $P_q$ from $t_q$ to $s$. The $y$-projection of this path has length $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$. Consider one edge $e$ of $P_q$ along a segment $\ell$ at level $j$, which has direction $\pm \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm 2^{(j-k)/2}$. Then the difference between the length of $e$ and the $y$-projection of $e$ is $\|e\| (1 - \cos \alpha) \leq \|e\| (1 - \cos \alpha) \leq 3^{-j} \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \leq 3^{-j} \frac{2^{j/2}}{2^{j/2}} = 2^{-j} \alpha = 2^{-j} \cdot 2^{(j-k)/2} = 2^{-(j+k)/2}$. Since $P_q$ contains at most one edge in each level, summation over all edges of $P_q$ yields $\sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{-(j+k)/2} = 2^{-k/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{-j/2} = O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \leq \|st_q\| \cdot O(\varepsilon)$.

Finally, for an arbitrary point $t \in L$, we have $\|st\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$, and $G$ contains an $st$-path that consists of an $st_q$-path from $s$ to the point $t_q$ closest to $t$, followed by the horizontal segment $t_q t$ of weight $\|t_q t\| \leq 1/2^{k} \leq \varepsilon$. The total weight of this path is $(1 + O(\varepsilon))\|st\|$. After suitable scaling of the constant coefficients, $G$ contains a path of weight at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\|st\|$ for any $t \in L$, as required.

Lemma 5. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $s = (0, \varepsilon^{-1/2})$ be a point on the $y$-axis, and let $L$ be an $x$- and $y$-monotone increasing staircase path between the vertical lines $x = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, such that the right endpoint of $L$ is $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ on the $x$-axis. Then there exists a geometric graph $G$ comprised of $L$ and additional edges of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ such that $G$ contains, for every $t \in L$, an $st$-path $P_{st}$ with $\|P_{st}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))\|st\|$.

We can adjust the construction above as follows; refer to Fig. 3.
We show that Source-stretch analysis.

where we have seen that vertical segment from $q_1$ to $q_2$, corresponding to the intervals that contain $q_1$ from $q_2$, and then continues in direction $\alpha$ for $\epsilon$. Summation over all levels yields $O(\epsilon^{1/2}) \leq ||st_q|| \cdot O(\epsilon)$.
Finally, for an arbitrary point \( t \in L \), we have \( \|st\| \geq |y(s) - y(t)| = \varepsilon^{-1/2} + |y(t)| \), and \( G \) contains an \( st \)-path that consists of an \( st_q \)-path from \( s \) to the closest point \( t_q \) to the right of \( t \), followed by an \( x \)- and \( y \)-monotone path along \( L \) in which the total length of the horizontal edges is bounded by \( 1/2^k \leq \varepsilon \) (and the length of vertical segment might be arbitrary). We use the lower bound \( \|st\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2} + |y(t)| \). The vertical segments between \( t_q \) and \( t \) do not contribute to the error term \( \|st\| - (\varepsilon^{-1/2} + |y(t)|) \). The analysis in the proof of Lemma 4 yields \( \|st\| - (\varepsilon^{-1/2} + |y(t)|) \leq O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \leq O(\varepsilon)\|st\| \).

Note that the source-stretch analysis assumed that the vertical edges of an \( st \)-path (along the vertical edges of \( L \)) do not accumulate any error. Consequently, the same analysis carries over if we replace the vertical edges of \( L \) by \((\pi/2 \pm \sqrt{2}/2)\)-angle-bounded paths. The key observation is that in the proof of Lemma 5, all nonvertical edges have directions that differ from vertical (i.e., from \( \pi/2 \)) by \( \sqrt{2} \) or more.

**Corollary 6.** Let \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \), let \( s = (0, \varepsilon^{-1/2}) \) be a point on the \( y \)-axis, and let \( L \) be a path between the vertical lines \( x = \pm 1/2 \), obtained from an \( x \)- and \( y \)-monotone increasing staircase path with the right endpoint at \((1/2, 0)\) on the \( x \)-axis, by replacing the vertical edges with \( y \)-monotonically increasing \((\pi/2 \pm \sqrt{2}/2)\)-angle-bounded paths. Then there exists a geometric graph \( G \) that contains \( L \) and additional edges of weight \( O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \) such that \( G \) contains, for every \( t \in L \), an \( st \)-path \( P_{st} \) with \( \|P_{st}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))\|st\| \).

### 3.2 Combination of Shallow-Light Trees

The combination of two SLTs yields a light \((1 + \varepsilon)\)-spanner between points on two staircases.

**Lemma 7.** Let \( R \) be an axis-parallel rectangle of width \( 1 \) and height \( 2\varepsilon^{-1/2} \); and let \( L_1 \) (resp., \( L_2 \)) be a staircase path from the lower-left (upper-left) corner of \( R \) to a point on the vertical line passing through the right side of \( R \), lying below (above) \( R \); see Fig. 4. Then there exists a geometric graph comprised of \( L_1 \cup L_2 \) and additional edges of weight \( O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \) that contains an \( ab \)-path \( P_{ab} \) with \( \|P_{ab}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))\|ab\| \) for any \( a \in L_1 \) and any \( b \in L_2 \).

![Figure 4](image-url) (a) A combination of two SLTs between the two horizontal sides of \( R \). (b) A combination of two SLTs between two staircases above and below \( R \), respectively.
Proof. Let $s$ be the center of the rectangle $R$. Let $G$ be the geometric graph formed by the SLTs from the source $s$ to $L_1$ and $L_2$, resp., using Lemma 5. By construction, $\|G\| = \|L_1\| + \|L_2\| + O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. It remains to show that $G$ has the desired spanning ratio.

Let $a \in L_1$ and $b \in L_2$. Let $h_a$ be the distance of $a$ from bottom side of $R$, and $h_b$ the distance of $b$ from the top side of $R$. By Lemma 4, the two SLTs jointly contain an $ab$-path $P_{ab}$ of length $\|P_{ab}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon)) (\|as\| + \|bs\|)$.

On the one hand, $s$ is the center of $R$, and so $\|as\| + \|bs\| \leq \text{diam}(R) + h_a + h_b \leq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} )2^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} + h_a + h_b$. On the other hand, $\|ab\| \geq \text{height}(R) + h_a + h_b = 2^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} + h_a + h_b$. Overall, $\|P_{ab}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \|ab\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))\|ab\|$. ▶

4 Reduction to Directional Spanners in Histograms

In this section, we present our general strategy for the proof of Theorem 2, and reduce the construction of a light $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for a point set $S$ in the plane to a special case of directional spanners for a point set on the boundary of faces in a (modified) window partition.

Directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-Spanners. Our strategy to construct a $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for a point set $S$ is to partition the interval of directions $[0, \pi]$ into $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ intervals, each of length $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$. For each interval $D \subset [0, \pi)$, we construct a geometric graph that serves point pairs $a, b \in S$ with $\text{dir}(ab) \in D$. Then the union of these graphs over all $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ intervals will serve all point pairs $ab \in S$. The following definition formalizes this idea.

Definition 8. Let $S$ be a finite point set in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let $D \subset [0, \pi)$ be a set of directions. A geometric graph $G$ is a directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for $S$ and $D$ if $G$ contains an $ab$-path of weight at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\|ab\|$ for every $a, b \in S$ with $\text{dir}(ab) \in D$.

In Section 6, we modify the standard window partition algorithm and partition a bounding box of $S$ into fuzzy staircases and tame histograms (defined below). We also construct directional spanners for point pairs $a, b \in S$, where $ab$ is a chord of a face in this partition. A line segment $ab$ is a chord of a simple polygon $P$ if $a, b \in \partial P$, and $ab \subset P$. The perimeter of a simple polygon $P$, denoted $\text{per}(P)$, is the total weight of the edges of $P$; and the horizontal perimeter, denoted $\text{hper}(P)$, is the total weight of the horizontal edges of $P$.

Lemma 9. We can subdivide a simple rectilinear polygon $P$ into a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of fuzzy staircases and tame histograms of total perimeter $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{per}(F) \leq O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{per}(P))$ and total horizontal perimeter $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{hper}(F) \leq O(\text{per}(P))$.

Lemma 10. Let $F$ be a fuzzy staircase or a tame histogram, $S \subset \partial F$ a finite point set, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $D = [\frac{-\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{-\varepsilon}{2}]$ an interval of nearly vertical directions. Then there exists a geometric graph of weight $O(\text{per}(F) + \varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{hper}(F))$ such that for all $a, b \in S$, if $ab$ is a chord of $F$ and $\text{dir}(ab) \in D$, then $G$ contains an $ab$-path of weight at most $(1 + O(\varepsilon))\|ab\|$.

For the proof of Lemmas 9 and 10, refer to the full paper [6]. In the remainder of this section, we show that these lemmas imply Lemma 11, which in turn implies Theorem 2.

Lemma 11. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a finite point set, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $D \subset [0, \pi)$ an interval of length $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Then there exists a directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for $S$ and $D$ of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2} \|\text{MST}\|)$.

Proof. We may assume, by applying a suitable rotation, that $D = [\frac{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}, \frac{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}]$, that is, an interval of nearly vertical directions. We construct a directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for $S$ and $D$ of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot \|\text{MST}(S)\|)$. SoCG 2021
Assume w.l.o.g. that the unit square $U = [0, 1]^2$ is the minimum axis-parallel bounding square of $S$. In particular, $S$ has two points on two opposite sides of $U$, and so $1 \leq \text{diam}(S) \leq ||\text{MST}(S)||$. Our initial graph $G_0$ is composed of the boundary of $U$ and a rectilinear MST of $S$, where $||G_0|| = O(||\text{MST}(S)||)$. Since each edge of $G_0$ is on the boundary of at most two faces, the total perimeter of all faces of $G_0$ is also $O(||\text{MST}(S)||)$.

Lemma 9 yields subdivisions of the faces of $G_0$ into a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of fuzzy staircases and tame histograms of total perimeter $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{per}(F) = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}||\text{MST}(S)||)$ and horizontal perimeter $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{hper}(F) = O(||\text{MST}(S)||)$.

Let $K(S)$ be the complete graph induced by $S$. For each face $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let $S_F$ be the set of all intersection points between the boundary $\partial F$ and the edges of $K(S)$. For each face $F$, Lemma 10 yields a geometric graph $G_F$ of weight $O(\text{per}(F) + \varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{hper}(F))$ with respect to the finite point set $S_F \subset \partial F$.

We can now put the pieces back together. Let $G$ be the union of $G_0$ and the graphs $G_F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The weight of $G$ is bounded by $||G|| = ||G_0|| + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} ||G_F|| = O(||\text{MST}(S)|| + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (\text{per}(F) + \varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{hper}(F))) = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}||\text{MST}(S)||)$.

Let $a, b \in S$. The edges of $G_0$ subdivide the line segment $ab$ into a path $v_0v_1\ldots v_m$ of collinear segments, each of which is a chord of some face in $\mathcal{F}$. For $i = 1, \ldots, m$, graph $G$ contains a $v_{i-1}v_i$-path of weight at most $(1 + \varepsilon)||v_{i-1}v_i||$. The concatenation of these paths is an $ab$-path of length at most $\sum_{i=1}^m (1 + \varepsilon)||v_{i-1}v_i|| = (1 + \varepsilon)||ab||$, as required.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let $S$ be a finite set of points in the plane. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For $k = \lceil \pi \varepsilon^{-1/2} \rceil$, we partition the space of directions as $[0, \pi] = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$, into $k$ intervals of equal length. By Lemma 11, there exists a directional $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}||\text{MST}(S)||)$ for $S$ and $D_i$ for all $i$. Let $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$. For every point pair $s,t \in S$, we have dir(st) $\in D_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $G_i \subset G$ contains an $st$-path of weight at most $(1 + \varepsilon)||st||$. Consequently, $G$ is a Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \varepsilon)$-spanner for $S$. The weight of $G$ is $||G|| = \sum_{i=1}^k ||G_i|| \leq \lceil \pi \varepsilon^{-1/2} \rceil \cdot O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}||\text{MST}(S)||) \leq O(\varepsilon^{-1}||\text{MST}(S)||)$, as required.

## 5 Construction of Directional Spanners for Staircases

In this section, we handle the special case where the points are on a $x$- and $y$-monotone rectilinear path $L$, which is called a staircase path. Our recursive construction uses a type of polygons that we define now. A shadow polygon is bounded by a staircase path $L$ and a single line segment of slope $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$; see Fig. 5(a) for examples.

**Lemma 12.** Let $L$ be an $x$- and $y$-monotonically increasing staircase path, and let $S \subset L$ be a finite point set. Then there exists a geometric graph $G$ comprised of $L$ and additional edges of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(L))$ such that $G$ contains a path $P_{ab}$ of weight $||P_{ab}|| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))||ab||$ for any $a, b \in L$ where slope($ab$) $\geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ and the line segment $ab$ lies below $L$.

**Proof.** If $a, b \in L$ and $ab$ lies below $L$, then either both $a$ and $b$ are in the same edge of $L$ (hence $L$ contains a straight-line path $ab$), or one point in $\{a, b\}$ is on a vertical edge of $L$ and the other is on a horizontal edge of $L$. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $a$ is on a vertical edge and $b$ is on a horizontal edge of $L$.

Let $A$ be the set of all points $p$ such that there exists $a \in L$ on some vertical edge of $L$ such that slope$(ap) \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ and $ap$ is below $L$; see Fig. 5(a). The set $A$ is not necessarily connected, the connected components of $A$ are shadow polygons for disjoint subpaths of $L$. Let $U$ be the set of these shadow polygons. Note that for every pair $a, b \in L$, if slope$(ab) \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ and $ab$ lies below the path $L$, then $ab$ lies in some polygon in $U$. For each polygon $U \in U$, we construct a geometric graph $G(U)$ of weight $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U))$ such
that \( G(U) \cup L \) is a directional spanner for the point pairs in \( S \cap U \). Then \( L \) together with \( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} G(U) \) is a directional spanner for all possible \( ab \) pairs. Since the shadow polygons in \( \mathcal{U} \) are adjacent to disjoint portions of \( L \), we have \( \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{width}(U) \leq \text{width}(L) \), and so \( \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \|G(U)\| = O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(L)) \), as required.

**Recursive Construction.** For all \( U \in \mathcal{U} \), we construct \( G(U) \) recursively as follows. Assume that \( |S \cap U| \geq 2 \). Let \( B(U) \) be the set of all points \( p \in U \) for which there exists a point \( b \) on some horizontal edge of \( U \) such that \( bp \subset U \) and \( \text{slope}(ab) \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-1/2} \); see Fig. 5(b). The set \( B(U) \) may be disconnected, each component is a simple polygon bounded by a contiguous portion of \( L \) and a line segment of slope \( \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-1/2} \). Denote by \( \mathcal{V} \) the set of connected components of \( B(U) \).

For every \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), let \( C(V) \) be the set of all points \( p \in V \) for which there exists a point \( a \) on some vertical edge of \( V \) such that \( ap \subset V \) and \( \text{slope}(ap) \geq \epsilon^{-1/2} \); see Fig. 5(b). Again, the set \( C(V) \) may be disconnected, each component is a shadow polygon. Denote by \( \mathcal{W} \) the set of all connected components of \( C(V) \) for all \( V \in \mathcal{V} \).

Since \( \text{height}(W)/\text{width}(W) = \epsilon^{-1/2} \) for all \( W \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \text{height}(V)/\text{width}(V) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-1/2} \) for all \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), we have

\[
\sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \text{width}(W) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \cdot \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \text{height}(W) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \cdot \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \text{height}(V) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \text{width}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{width}(U). \tag{1}
\]

For every polygon \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), let \( s_V \) be the bottom vertex of \( V \). We construct a sequence of shallow-light trees from source \( s_V \) as follows. For every nonnegative integer \( i \geq 0 \), let \( h_i \) be a horizontal line at distance \( \text{height}(V)/2^i \) above \( s_V \). If there is any point in \( S \) between \( h_i \) and \( h_{i+1} \), then we construct an SLT from \( s_V \) to the portion of \( L \) between \( h_i \) and \( h_{i+1} \). By Lemma 12, the total weight of these trees is \( O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(V)) \). Over all \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), the weight of these SLTs is \( \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(V)) = O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U)) \). For all \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), we also add the boundary \( \partial V \) to our spanner, at a cost of \( \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \text{per}(V) = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(V)) = O(\epsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U)) \). This completes the description of one iteration. Recurse on all \( W \in \mathcal{W} \) that contain any point in \( S \).
Lightness analysis. Each iteration of the algorithm, for a shadow polygon \( U \), constructs SLTs of total weight \( O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U)) \), and produces subproblems whose combined width is at most \( \frac{1}{2}\text{width}(U) \) by Equation (1). Consequently, summation over all levels of the recursion yields \( \|G(U)\| = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U) \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} 2^{-i}) = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\text{width}(U)) \), as required.

Stretch analysis. Now consider a point pair \( a, b \in S \) such that \( \text{slope}(ab) \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2} \), \( a \) is in a vertical edge of \( L \), and \( b \) is in a horizontal edge of \( L \). Assume that \( U \) is the smallest shadow polygon in the recursive algorithm above that contains both \( a \) and \( b \). Then \( b \in V \) for some \( V \in \mathcal{V} \), and \( a \) is at or below vertex \( s_V \) of \( V \). Now we can find an \( ab \)-path \( P_{ab} \) as follows: First construct a \( y \)-monotonically increasing path from \( a \) to \( V_S \) along vertical edges of \( L \) and along edges of some polygons in \( V \); all these edges have slope larger than \( \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-1/2} \). Then from \( s_V \), follow an SLT to \( b \). All edges of \( P_{ab} \) from \( a \) to \( s_V \) have slope at least \( \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-1/2} \), and so their directions differ from vertical by at most \( \arctan(2\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \leq 3\varepsilon^{1/2} \), using the Taylor expansion of \( \tan(x) \) near 0. By Lemma 3 the stretch factor of the paths from \( a \) to \( s_V \) and the path \( as_Vb \) are each at most \( 1 + O(\varepsilon) \). By Lemma 12, the SLT contains a path from \( s_V \) to \( b \) with stretch factor \( 1 + O(\varepsilon) \). Overall, \( \|P_{ab}\| \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon))|ab| \).

In the full paper [6], it is shown that Lemma 12 continues to hold if we replace the vertical edges of the staircase \( L \) with angle-bounded paths. Furthermore, the horizontal edges can also be replaced by \( x \)-monotone paths of approximately the same length.

6 Construction of Directional Spanners in Histograms

We would like to partition a simple rectilinear polygon \( P \) into a collection \( \mathcal{F} \) of simple polygons (faces), and then design a directional \((1 + \varepsilon)\)-spanner for each face \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) such that the total weight of these spanners is under control. Lemma 12 tells us that we can handle staircase polygons efficiently. The standard window partition [37, 48] would partition \( P \) into histograms as indicated in Fig. 6(a). We would like to further reduce the problem to staircase polygons. However, the worst-case weight of a standard decomposition of a histogram \( H \) into staircases is \( \Theta(\text{per}(H) \log n) \), where \( n \) is the number of vertices of \( H \). We cannot afford a \( \log n \) factor (or any function of the cardinality \( |S| \)). To overcome this technical difficulty, we replace the vertical edges by nearly vertical \( \delta \)-angle-bounded paths (cf. Lemma 3). By setting \( \delta = \Theta(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \), these paths provide enough flexibility to keep the weight of the subdivision under control; and our result on SLTs for these “modified” staircases carry over with only a constant increase in their total weight.

![Figure 6](image_url)

- **Figure 6** (a) A standard window partition of a rectilinear polygon \( P \) into histograms, starting from a horizontal edge \( e_0 \). (b) A decomposition of a \( y \)-monotone histogram into staircase polygons. (c) The modified window partition of a rectilinear polygon \( P \) into \( x \)-monotone \( \Lambda \)-histograms and \( y \)-monotone fuzzy histograms.
We introduce some terminology; refer to Fig. 7. Let $\lambda \geq 8$ be a constant.

- A $\lambda$-path is a $y$-monotone path in which every edge is vertical, or has slope $\pm \lambda \epsilon^{-1/2}$.
- A $\lambda$-histogram is a simple polygon obtained from a histogram by replacing vertical edges with some $\lambda$-paths. A $\lambda$-histogram is $x$-monotone (resp., $y$-monotone) if it is obtained from an $x$-monotone (resp., $y$-monotone) histogram.
- A fuzzy staircase is a simple polygon bounded by a path $pq$, where $pq$ is horizontal and slope($qr$) = $\pm \lambda \epsilon^{-1/2}$, and a $pr$-path obtained from an $x$- and $y$-monotone staircase by replacing vertical edges with some $\lambda$-paths.
- A fuzzy histogram is a simple polygon bounded by a $y$-monotone rectilinear path $L$ and a path $\gamma$ of one or two edges of slopes $\pm \lambda \epsilon^{-1/2}$; if the latter path has two edges, then its interior vertex is a reflex vertex of the polygon.
- A tame histogram (Fig. 8(a)) is a simple polygon bounded by a horizontal line segment $pq$ and an $pq$-path $L$ that consists of ascending or descending $\lambda$-paths and $x$-monotone increasing horizontal edges with the following properties: (i) there is no chord between interior points of any two ascending (resp., descending) $\lambda$-paths; (ii) for every horizontal chord $ab$, with $a, b \in L$, the subpath $L_{ab}$ of $L$ between $a$ and $b$ satisfies $\|L_{ab}\| \leq 2\|ab\|$.
- A tame path is a subpath of the $pq$-path $L$ of a tame histogram.

In what follows, we describe our spanner constructions in five modules. However, due to space constraints we provide only an overview of these modules and refer to the full version of the paper [6] for the formal description and the proofs.

**Fuzzy Window Decomposition.** Let $R$ be a rectilinear simple polygon. By modifying the standard window-partition, we show how to partition $R$ into a collection $\mathcal{H}$ of $x$-monotone $\lambda$-histograms and $y$-monotone fuzzy histograms such that $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \text{per}(H) = O(\text{per}(P))$; see Fig. 6(b). Furthermore, we show that in the $x$-monotone $\lambda$-histograms, there is no chord between interior points of two ascending (resp., descending) $\lambda$-paths.

**y-Monotone Histograms.** Let $H$ be a ($y$-monotone) fuzzy histogram. We recursively subdivide $H$ into a family $\mathcal{F}$ of fuzzy staircases using subdivision edges of total weight $O(\epsilon^{-1/2} \text{per}(H))$ such that $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} h\text{per}(F) = O(\text{per}(H))$; see Fig. 8(b) for an illustration.
$x$-Monotone $\Lambda$-Histograms. Let $H$ be an $x$-monotone $\Lambda$-histogram that does not have any chords between interior points of any two ascending (resp., two descending) $\Lambda$-paths. We use a sweepline algorithm to subdivide $H$ into tame histograms; see Fig. 8(c) for an illustration. Specifically, we subdivide $H$ into a collection $T$ of tame histograms such that $\sum_{T \in T} \text{per}(T) = O(\text{per}(H))$. This module provides a proof for Lemma 9.

Directional Spanners for Tame Histograms. Given a tame histogram $H$ and a finite set of points $S \subset \partial H$, we construct a directional spanner for $S$ with respect to point pairs $a, b \in S$ with $|\text{slope}(ab)| \geq \epsilon^{-1/2}$. First we adapt Lemma 12 to construct a directional spanner for points $a, b \in S$ on a tame path $L \subset \partial H$; and then generalize Lemma 7 to handle point pairs where $a$ is in the horizontal base of $H$ and $b \in L$.

Directional Spanners for Fuzzy Staircases. Given a fuzzy staircase polygon $F$ and a finite point set $S \subset \partial F$, we construct a directional spanner of weight $\|G\| = O(\epsilon^{-1/2} \text{hper}(F))$ for $S$ with respect to point pairs $a, b \in S$ with $|\text{slope}(ab)| \geq \epsilon^{-1/2}$. The last two modules jointly imply Lemma 10, and complete all components needed for Theorem 2.

7 Conclusion and Outlook

We have proved a tight upper bound of $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ on the lightness of Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanners in the plane. That is, for every finite set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, there is a Euclidean Steiner $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanner of weight $O(\epsilon^{-1} \|\text{MST}(S)\|)$. Our proof is constructive, but we do not control the number of Steiner points. This immediately raises the question about the optimum number of Steiner points: What is the minimum sparsity of a Euclidean Steiner $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner of weight $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \|\text{MST}(S)\|)$ that can be attained for all finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$?

Planarity is an important aspect of any geometric networks. Therefore, it is desirable to construct Euclidean $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanners that are planar, i.e., no two edges of the spanner cross. Any Steiner spanner can be turned into a plane spanner (planarized), with the same weight and the same spanning ratio between the input points, by introducing Steiner points at all edge crossings. However, planarization may substantially increase the number of Steiner points. Bose and Smid [10, Sec. 4] note that Arikati et al. [2] constructed a Euclidean plane $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanner with $O(\epsilon^{-3} n)$ Steiner points for any $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^2$; see also [38]. Borradaile and Eppstein [8] improved the bound to $O(\epsilon^{-3} n \log \epsilon^{-1})$ in certain special cases where all Delaunay faces are fat. It remains an open problem to find the optimum dependence of $\epsilon$ for plane Steiner $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanners; and for plane Steiner $(1 + \epsilon)$-spanners of lightness $O(\epsilon^{-1})$. 

- Figure 8 (a) A tame histogram. (b) Recursive subdivision of a fuzzy histogram into fuzzy staircase polygons. (c) Recursive subdivision of an $x$-monotone $\Lambda$-histograms into tame histograms.
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