

PACE Solver Description: Mount Doom – An Exact Solver for Directed Feedback Vertex Set*

Sebastian Angrick 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Katrin Casel 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Tobias Friedrich 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Theresa Hradilak 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Otto Kißig 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Leo Wendt 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Ben Bals 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Sarel Cohen 

The Academic College of Tel Aviv-Yaffo, Israel

Niko Hastrich 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Davis Issac 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Jonas Schmidt 

Hasso Plattner Institut,
Universität Potsdam, Germany

Abstract

In this document we describe the techniques we used and implemented for our submission to the Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge (PACE) 2022. The given problem is *Directed Feedback Vertex Set* (DFVS), where one is given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and wants to find a minimum $S \subseteq V$ such that $G - S$ is acyclic. We approach this problem by first exhaustively applying a set of reduction rules. In order to find a minimum DFVS on the remaining instance, we create and solve a series of Vertex Cover instances.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Mathematics of computing → Graph algorithms

Keywords and phrases directed feedback vertex set, vertex cover, reduction rules

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.IPEC.2022.28

Supplementary Material

Software (Source Code): <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6645235>

Software (Source Code): <https://github.com/BenBals/mount-doom/tree/exact>, archived at [swh:1:dir:a8ce8a824241821bdff98f5380594c74d2d6c327](#)

1 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph. The Directed Feedback Vertex Set problem asks us to find a minimum $S \subseteq V$, such that $G - S$ is acyclic.

* This is a brief description of one of the highest ranked solvers of PACE Challenge 2022. It has been made public for the benefit of the community and was selected based on the ranking. PACE encourages publication of work building on the ideas presented in this description in peer-reviewed venues.



© Sebastian Angrick, Ben Bals, Katrin Casel, Sarel Cohen, Tobias Friedrich, Niko Hastrich, Theresa Hradilak, Davis Issac, Otto Kißig, Jonas Schmidt, and Leo Wendt;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

17th International Symposium on Parameterized and Exact Computation (IPEC 2022).

Editors: Holger Dell and Jesper Nederlof; Article No. 28; pp. 28:1–28:4

 LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

Let $u, v \in V$. We define $N^+(v)$ as the outgoing neighbors of v and $N^-(v)$ as the incoming neighbors. We call an edge $uv \in E$ bidirectional if $uv \in E$ as well. Let $PIE \subseteq E$ be the set of all bidirectional edges and let $B \subseteq V$ be the set of all vertices only incident to bidirectional edges. We define the bidirectional neighbors $N(v)$ as those which are incident using bidirectional edges. We call $D \subseteq V$ a diclique, if all $u \in D$ have $D \setminus \{u\} \subseteq N(u)$.

Finally, let $v \in V$ be given. Let $V' = V \setminus \{v\}$ and $E' = (E \cap (V' \times V')) \cup (N^-(v) \times N^+(v))$. We call $G' = (V', E')$ the graph obtained from G by shortcutting v . In light of DFVS, this is equivalent to adding the assumption $v \notin S$.

2 Reduction rules

We apply a number of reductions known from the literature and introduce one rule which to the best of our knowledge is new. The known rules can be found in [5] and we adopt their nomenclature. Additionally, we adapt some reduction rules, that have already been used for the Vertex Cover problem. Before running the reduction rules, all nodes with self loops are collected into the solution and removed from the graph. Isolated nodes are removed as well.

PIE. Consider any edge uv between different strongly connected components in $G - PIE$. Any cycle using this edge must therefore use at least one bidirectional edge, which must be covered itself, so we can safely delete uv .

DOME. We call an edge $uv \in E - PIE$ dominated if all outgoing neighbors of v are also outgoing neighbors of u or if all incoming neighbors of u are also incoming neighbors of v . It is well known [2] that such a dominated edge can safely be deleted.

Improved CORE. A vertex v is a core of a diclique if the graph induced by v and its neighbors is a diclique. Traditionally, one now deletes $N(v)$ from G since if S' is optimal for $G - N(v)$ then $S' \cup N(v)$ is optimal for G [5]. We proceed differently and shortcut the node v if $N^+(v)$ or $N^-(v)$ are dicliques. While this extension is easy to prove, it is, to the best of our knowledge, novel.

SHORTONE. Let v be a node with exactly one incoming edge uv and one outgoing edge vw in $G - PIE$ such that any bidirectional neighbor of v is also a bidirectional neighbor of at least one out of u or w . Then, remove the edges uv and vw and add uw . Call the reduced graph G' . For correctness, take any solution S in G . If $v \notin S$, then S is also a solution for G' . If $v \in S$, assume S is not a solution in G' . Then $u, w \notin S$ since any cycle introduced by the reduction must use uw . Since all bidirectional neighbors of v are also bidirectional neighbors of u or w , those must all be in S . Thus we can simply replace v by u (or w) and obtain a solution of the same size. Also, any solution in G' is always a solution in G .

2.1 Vertex Cover Reductions

Note that if we have a bidirectional edge between u and v , we have to take at least one of u and v into the DFVS. Therefore we can regard $G[PIE]$ as a Vertex Cover subproblem. Any DFVS for G must necessarily be a vertex cover for that subgraph. For that reason some Vertex Cover reduction rules [3] translate well to DFVS.

VC-DOME. Recall, that we denote by $B \subseteq V$ the set of vertices only incident to bidirectional edges. Consider $v \in B$, with $u \in N(v)$ with $N(v) \setminus \{u\} \subseteq N(u)$. Then, we add u to the solution and consider $G - u$.

Degree 2 Fold. Consider $v \in B$ with $N(v) = \{u, w\}$ and $u \in B$. Observe that there is an optimal DFVS D^* with either $D^* \cap \{u, v, w\} = \{v\}$ or $D^* \cap \{u, v, w\} = \{v, w\}$. To reduce the graph, we add a new vertex t to G and connect t in such a way, that $N^+(t) = N^+(w) \cup N(u)$ and $N^-(t) = N^-(w) \cup N(u)$ and we remove u, v and w from the graph. Then we solve the instance on the reduced graph to obtain the solution S . If $t \in S$, the solution to the original instance is $(S \setminus \{t\}) \cup \{u, w\}$. Otherwise, the solution to the original instance is $S \cup \{v\}$.

Funnel Fold. Consider a vertex $v \in B$ with $w \in N(v)$ such that $N(v) \setminus w$ is a clique. Observe that there is an optimal DFVS D^* with either $D^* \cap \{v, w\} = \{v\}$ or $D^* \cap \{v, w\} = \{w\}$. To reduce the instance, we first add $C = N(u) \cap N(w)$ to the solution and remove these vertices from the graph. Now we add edges, such that each $x \in N(v) \setminus C$ is a bidirectional neighbor of every $y \in N(w) \setminus C$. Finally, we remove u, w from the graph. Then we solve the instance on the reduced graph to obtain the solution S . If $N(v) \setminus \{w\} \subseteq S$, the solution to the original instance is $S \cup \{w\}$. Otherwise, the solution to the original instance is $S \cup \{v\}$.

3 Reduction to Vertex Cover

After applying a set of reduction rules exhaustively we solve the remaining instance by repeatedly solving Vertex Cover instances. Initially, we choose the reduction rules Improved CORE and PIE. If this does not solve the instance within five seconds, we proceed by using all reduction rules listed above.

First note that if the remaining graph contains only bidirectional edges, we can easily reduce DFVS to Vertex Cover by turning bidirectional edges into undirected edges. Initially, we find an optimal vertex cover S in $G[PIE]$. If S is a DFVS, S must be optimal. Otherwise, we find a set of vertex-disjoint cycles C in $G - S - PIE$ using a DFS. All cycles in C are not covered by S , so we add a gadget to each cycle to ensure, that in the modified graph, there is an optimal vertex cover, which includes a $v \in S$. Finally, we iterate on the modified graph until the vertex cover is also a DFVS. Note, that this can happen multiple times since our choice of C does not guarantee that all cycles in G are covered.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph and let $S \subseteq V$. Our goal is to find the minimum vertex cover in G that also contains a vertex in S . To achieve this, we add a clique of size $|S|$ to G and connect it one-to-one with S . We call the modified graph G' . Consider any optimal vertex cover C in G' . Then, C contains at least $|S| - 1$ vertices in the new clique. Also, C must cover all edges between V and the clique, so it must contain at least one vertex in S or all vertices in the clique. If C contains all vertices in the clique, we exchange one of these vertices for a vertex in S and obtain an optimal vertex cover C' in G' with $C' \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $C' \cap V$ is an optimal vertex cover of G that also contains a vertex of S .

Note that the exactness of our solver only relies on the optimality of the final vertex cover. Therefore, when the exact solver takes more than five seconds, we switch to a heuristic solver and verify our solution with an exact solver once we have covered all cycles in G . We do this by solving the final Vertex Cover instance completely using the exact solver. If the heuristic vertex cover was not optimal, we iterate further. Surprisingly, this did not occur on any public instance.

To solve a Vertex Cover instance, we initially reduce it using the kernelization procedure, implemented by the winning solver of the 2019 PACE challenge [4]. When solving this instance heuristically, we use a local-search solver [1] on this kernel. To solve the kernel exactly, we use a branch-and-reduce solver [6], which we augment by implementing better upper bounds using the aforementioned local-search solver.

References

- 1 Shaowei Cai, Kaile Su, Chuan Luo, and Abdul Sattar. NuMVC: An Efficient Local Search Algorithm for Minimum Vertex Cover. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 46:687–716, 2013.
- 2 Reinhard Diestel. Graph Theory 3rd ed. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 173, 2005.
- 3 Fedor V. Fomin, Fabrizio Grandoni, and Dieter Kratsch. A Measure & Conquer Approach for the Analysis of Exact Algorithms. *Journal of the ACM*, 56(5):25:1–25:32, 2009.
- 4 Demian Hespe, Sebastian Lamm, Christian Schulz, and Darren Strash. WeGotYouCovered: The Winning Solver from the PACE 2019 Challenge, Vertex Cover Track. In *Proceedings of the SIAM Workshop on Combinatorial Scientific Computing (CSC)*, pages 1–11, 2020.
- 5 Mile Lemaic. *Markov-Chain-Based Heuristics for the Feedback Vertex Set Problem for Digraphs*. PhD thesis, Universität zu Köln, 2008.
- 6 Rick Plachetta and Alexander van der Grinten. SAT-and-Reduce for Vertex Cover: Accelerating Branch-and-Reduce by SAT Solving. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Algorithm Engineering and Experiments (ALENEX)*, pages 169–180, 2021.