Periods in Subtraction Games

Bret Benesh

Department of Mathematics, The College of St. Benedict/St. John's University, 37 College Avenue South, St. Joseph, MN 56374–2001 U.S.A. bbenesh@csbsju.edu

Jamylle Carter

Department of Mathematics, Diablo Valley College, 321 Golf Club Road, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523–1529 U.S.A. JCarter@dvc.edu

Deidra A. Coleman

Department of Mathematics, Wofford College, 429 North Church Street, Spartanburg, SC 29303–3663 U.S.A. ColemanDA@wofford.edu

Douglas G. Crabill

Department of Statistics, Purdue University, 150 North University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907–2067 U.S.A. dgc@purdue.edu

Jack H. Good

Department of Computer Science (undergraduate student), Purdue University, 305 North University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907–2107 U.S.A. good10@purdue.edu

Michael A. Smith

Department of Mathematics and Department of Statistics (undergraduate student), Purdue University, 150 North University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907–2067 U.S.A. smit2589@purdue.edu

Jennifer Travis

Department of Mathematics, Lone Star College–North Harris, 2700 W. W. Thorne Drive, Houston, TX 77073–3499 U.S.A. Jennifer.L.Travis@lonestar.edu

Mark Daniel Ward¹

Department of Statistics, Purdue University, 150 North University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907–2067 U.S.A. mdw@purdue.edu

— Abstract

We discuss the structure of periods in subtraction games. In particular, we discuss ways that a computational approach yields insights to the periods that emerge in the asymptotic structure of these combinatorial games.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Mathematics of computing \rightarrow Combinatorial algorithms

Keywords and phrases combinatorial games, subtraction games, periods, asymptotic structure

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.AofA.2018.8

¹ M. D. Ward's research is supported by the NSF Science & Technology Center for Science of Information Grant CFC-0939370.



© Bret Benesh, Jamylle Carter, Deidra A. Coleman, Douglas G. Crabill, Jack H. Good, Michael A. Smith, Jennifer Travis, and Mark Daniel Ward; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

29th International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms (AofA 2018).

Editors: James Allen Fill and Mark Daniel Ward; Article No. 8; pp. 8:1–8:3 Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

```
LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany
```

8:2 Periods in Subtraction Games

Category Keynote Speakers

Funding The research team is supported by NSF Grants #1246818 and #1620073.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge the support and hospitality of the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) for convening the Research Experiences for Undergraduate Faculty (REUF) workshops during July 25–29, 2016, and July 17–21, 2017.

1 Overview

Subtraction games are one of the most fundamental combinatorial games. In the document Unsolved Problems in Combinatorial Games [4], maintained by Richard J. Nowakowski, the structure of combinatorial games is the first open problem that is discussed. Such games are so fundamental because the underlying premise is the same as Nim: there are several piles of beans, and on a player's turn, he/she can remove beans from exactly one pile. As in many areas of mathematics, this simple concept gives rise to much deeper mathematical structure. In the case of subtraction games, an even richer structure emerges because the moves of a player are limited. For instance, in the three-dimensional version of subtraction games with subtraction set $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, the number of beans that can be removed from a heap during a player's turn is limited to one of these three possibilities. In other words, a player can only remove either s_1 , s_2 , or s_3 beans.

The problem of understanding the associated Nim values of a subtraction game is sufficiently challenging and useful that a table of values for small s_1, s_2, s_3 is given in the 4-volume set of books called *Winning Ways for your Mathematical Plays* [2].

The problem of understanding the asymptotic periodicities of subtraction games with a subtraction set of size three has been open for more than 40 years; see [1] for early analysis.

Mark Paulhus and Alex Fink have derived values of the periods in two cases, for subtraction sets of size 3, namely, in the case where $s_1 = 1$ and s_2, s_3 are arbitrary, and in the case where $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < 32$ (see [4]). Achim Flammenkamp [3] has made conjectures about the types of periodicities that arise, based on calculations with all s_j 's bounded above by 256.

We organized a team of colleagues to work on this problem at the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM), under the auspices of the Research Experiences for Undergraduate Faculty (REUF) workshops, starting in July 2016. (Ward had already been working on a computational attack for this problem in his spare moments, for more than a decade.) Our REUF team relies on a data-driven approach. We have computed the Nim values and the resulting (asymptotic) periodicity of the games for s_j 's bounded above by 16384. The computational aspects of this problem are nontrivial. Each time the size of the parameters grows by a factor of 2, the computational time required for the resulting computations grows by a factor of (roughly) 17. Therefore, our most recent computation took a full 37 years of CPU time. It was accomplished by running a massive parallel computational cores). After all, we made $\binom{16384}{3} = 732,873,539,584$ distinct computations altogether. We have generated terabytes of data about this combinatorial problem.

We will present our computational approach to determining the combinatorial structure of the asymptotic periods that arise in these subtraction games. Importantly, we emphasize that our algorithms allow us to know the asymptotic periods, without resorting at all to the traditional approach (which relies on minimal excluded numbers). Instead, we have obtained structural insights about this problem. These results should continue to be useful for revealing completely new viewpoints about the structure of combinatorial games.

— Reference	ces ——
-------------	--------

- 1 Richard Austin. Impartial and partizan games. PhD thesis, University of Calgary, 1976.
- 2 Elwyn R. Berlekamp, John H. Conway, and Richard K. Guy. *Winning Ways for Your Mathematical Plays*, volume 1. A K Peters, 2001.
- 3 Achim Flammenkamp. Lange Perioden in Subtraktions-Spielen. PhD thesis, Universität Bielefeld, 1997.
- 4 Richard J. Nowakowski. Unsolved problems in combinatorial games. In Richard J. Nowakowski, editor, *Games of No Chance* 4, pages 279–308. Cambridge, 2015.