

Brief Announcement: Distributed Quantum Proofs for Replicated Data

Pierre Fraigniaud

IRIF, CNRS and Université de Paris, France

François Le Gall

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan

Harumichi Nishimura

Graduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Japan

Ami Paz

Faculty of Computer Science, Universität Wien, Austria

Abstract

This paper tackles the issue of *checking* that all copies of a large data set replicated at several nodes of a network are identical. The fact that the replicas may be located at distant nodes prevents the system from verifying their equality locally, i.e., by having each node consult only nodes in its vicinity. On the other hand, it remains possible to assign *certificates* to the nodes, so that verifying the consistency of the replicas can be achieved locally. However, we show that, as the replicated data is large, classical certification mechanisms, including distributed Merlin-Arthur protocols, cannot guarantee good completeness and soundness simultaneously, unless they use very large certificates. The main result of this paper is a distributed *quantum* Merlin-Arthur protocol enabling the nodes to collectively check the consistency of the replicas, based on small certificates, and in a single round of message exchange between neighbors, with short messages. In particular, the certificate-size is logarithmic in the size of the data set, which gives an exponential advantage over classical certification mechanisms.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Quantum communication complexity; Theory of computation → Distributed computing models

Keywords and phrases Quantum Computing, Distributed Network Computing, Algorithmic Aspects of Networks

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.DISC.2020.43

Related Version A full version of the paper is available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.10018>.

Funding *Pierre Fraigniaud*: Additional support from the ANR project *DESCARTES*.

François Le Gall: JSPS KAKENHI grants Nos. JP16H01705, JP19H04066, JP20H04139 and JP20H00579 and MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program Grant Number JPMXS0120319794.

Harumichi Nishimura: JSPS KAKENHI grants Nos. JP16H01705, JP19H04066 and MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program Grant Number JPMXS0120319794.

Ami Paz: Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 33775-N, Fast Algorithms for a Reactive Network Layer.

1 Introduction

In distributed systems, the presence of faults potentially corrupting the individual states of the nodes creates a need to regularly check whether the system is in a global state that is legal with respect to its specification. A basic example is a system storing data, and using replicas in order to support crash failures. In this case, the application managing the data is in charge of regularly checking that the several replicas of the same data, stored at different nodes scattered in the network, are all identical. Another example is an application



© Pierre Fraigniaud, François Le Gall, Harumichi Nishimura, and Ami Paz;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

34th International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC 2020).

Editor: Hagit Attiya; Article No. 43; pp. 43:1–43:3



Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

maintaining a tree spanning the nodes of a network, e.g., for multicast communication. In this case, every node stores a pointer to its parent in the tree, and the application must regularly check that the collection of pointers forms a spanning tree. This paper addresses the issue of checking the correctness of a distributed system configuration at low cost.

Several mechanisms have been designed for certifying the correctness of the global state of a system in a distributed manner. One popular mechanism is called *locally checkable proofs* [3], and it extends the seminal concept of *proof-labeling schemes* [4]. In these frameworks, the distributed application does not only construct or maintain some distributed data structure (e.g., a spanning tree), but also constructs a distributed *proof* that the data structure is correct. This proof has the form of a *certificate* assigned to each node (the certificates assigned to different nodes do not need to be the same). For collectively checking the legality of the current global system state, the nodes exchange their certificates with their neighbors in the network. Then, based on its own individual state, its certificate, and the certificates of its neighbors, every node accepts or rejects, according to the following specification. If the global state is legal, and if the certificates are assigned properly by the application, then all nodes accept. Conversely, if the global state is illegal, then at least one node rejects, *no matter which certificates are assigned to the nodes*. Such a rejecting node can raise an alarm, or launch a recovery procedure. The main aim of locally checkable proofs is to be *compact*, that is, to use certificates as small as possible, for two reasons: first, to limit the space complexity at each node, and, second, to limit the message complexity of the verification procedure involving communications between neighbors.

Unfortunately, not all boolean predicates on labeled graphs can be distributedly certified using certificates as small as for spanning tree. This is typically the case of the aforementioned scenario of a distributed data storage using replicas, for which one must certify equality. Let us for instance consider the case of two nodes Alice and Bob at the two extremities of a path, that is, the two players are separated by intermediate nodes. Alice and Bob respectively store two n -bit strings x and y , and the objective is to certify that $x = y$. That is, one wants to certify equality (EQ) between *distant* players. A direct reduction from the non-deterministic communication complexity of EQ shows that certifying EQ cannot be achieved with certificates smaller than $\Omega(n)$ bits.

Randomization may help circumventing the difficulty of certifying some boolean predicates on labeled graphs using small certificates. Hence, a weaker form of protocols has been considered, namely *distributed Merlin-Arthur* protocols (dMA), a.k.a. *randomized proof-labeling schemes* [2]. In this latter context, Merlin provides the nodes with a proof, just like in locally checkable proofs, and Arthur performs a *randomized* local verification at each node. Unfortunately, some predicates remain hard in this framework too. In particular, we show in the full version of our paper [1] that there is no classical dMA protocol for (distant) EQ using compact certificates. Recently, several extensions of dMA protocols were proposed, e.g., by allowing more interaction between the prover and the verifier. In this work, we add the quantum aspect, while considering only a single interaction, and only in the prescribed order: Merlin sends a proof to Arthur, and then there is no more interaction between them.

2 Our Results

We carry on the recent trend of research consisting of investigating the power of quantum resources in the context of distributed network computing (cf., e.g., see the references in the full version of our paper [1]) by designing a distributed Quantum Merlin-Arthur (dQMA) protocol for distant EQ, using compact certificates and small messages. While we use the

dQMA terminology in order to be consistent with prior work, we emphasize that the structure of the discussed protocols is rather simple: each node is given a quantum state as a certificate, the nodes exchange these states, perform a local computation, and finally accept or reject.

Our main result is the following. A collection of n -bit strings x_1, \dots, x_t are stored at t terminal nodes u_1, \dots, u_t in a network $G = (V, E)$. We denote EQ_n^t the problem of checking the equality $x_1 = \dots = x_t$ between the t strings. Let us define the *radius* of a given instance of EQ_n^t as $r = \min_i \max_j \text{dist}_G(u_i, u_j)$, where dist_G denotes the distance in the (unweighted) graph G . Our main result is the design of a dQMA protocol for EQ_n^t , using small certificate. This can be summarized by the following, informal statement.

► **Main Result.** *There is a distributed Quantum Merlin-Arthur (dQMA) protocol for certifying equality between t binary strings (EQ_n^t) of length n , located at a radius- r set of t terminals, in a single round of communication between neighboring nodes using certificates of size $O(tr^2 \log n)$ qubits, and messages of size $O(tr^2 \log(n + r))$ qubits.*

It is worth mentioning that, although the dependence in r and t is polynomial, the dependence in the actual size n of the instance remains logarithmic, which is our main concern. Indeed, for applications such as the aforementioned distributed data storage motivating the distant EQ_n^t problem, it is expected that both the number t of replicas, and the maximum distance between the nodes storing these replicas are of several orders of magnitude smaller than the size n of the stored replicated data.

It is also important to note that our protocol satisfies the basic requirement of *reusability*, as one aims for protocols enabling regular and frequent verifications that the data are not corrupted. Specifically, the quantum operations performed on the certificates during the local verification phase operated between neighboring nodes preserve the quantum nature of these certificates. That is, if EQ_n^t is satisfied, i.e., if all the replicas x_i 's are equal, then, up to an elementary local relocation of the quantum certificates, these certificates are available for a next test. If EQ_n^t is not satisfied, i.e., if there exists a pair of replicas $x_i \neq x_j$, then the certificates do not need to be preserved as this scenario corresponds to the case where the correctness of the data structure is violated, requiring the activation of recovery procedures for fixing the bug, and reassigning certificates to the nodes.

Finally, observe that our logarithmic upper bound for dQMA protocols is in contrast to the linear lower bound that can be shown for classical dMA protocols even for $t = 2$ on a path of 4 nodes and even for the case where communication between the neighboring nodes is extended to multiple rounds (see precise statement and proof in the full version of our paper [1]). Our results thus show that quantum certification mechanism can provide an exponential advantage over classical certification mechanisms.

References

- 1 Pierre Fraigniaud, François Le Gall, Harumichi Nishimura, and Ami Paz. Distributed quantum proofs for replicated data. arXiv:2002.10018, 2020. arXiv:2002.10018.
- 2 Pierre Fraigniaud, Boaz Patt-Shamir, and Mor Perry. Randomized proof-labeling schemes. *Distributed Computing*, 32(3):217–234, 2019. doi:10.1007/s00446-018-0340-8.
- 3 Mika Göös and Jukka Suomela. Locally checkable proofs in distributed computing. *Theory of Computing*, 12:19:1–19:33, 2016. doi:10.4086/toc.2016.v012a019.
- 4 Amos Korman, Shay Kutten, and David Peleg. Proof labeling schemes. *Distributed Computing*, 22(4):215–233, 2010. doi:10.1007/s00446-010-0095-3.