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#### Abstract

We study the seeded domino problem, the recurring domino problem and the $k$-SAT problem on finitely generated groups. These problems are generalization of their original versions on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ that were shown to be undecidable using the domino problem. We show that the seeded and recurring domino problems on a group are invariant under changes in the generating set, are many-one reduced from the respective problems on subgroups, and are positive equivalent to the problems on finite index subgroups. This leads to showing that the recurring domino problem is decidable for free groups. Coupled with the invariance properties, we conjecture that the only groups in which the seeded and recurring domino problems are decidable are virtually free groups. In the case of the $k$-SAT problem, we introduce a new generalization that is compatible with decision problems on finitely generated groups. We show that the subgroup membership problem many-one reduces to the 2-SAT problem, that in certain cases the $k$-SAT problem many one reduces to the domino problem, and finally that the domino problem reduces to 3 -SAT for the class of scalable groups.
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## 1 Introduction

The domino problem, as originally formulated, is the decision procedure of determining if a given finite set of unit squares with colored edges, known as Wang tiles, can tile the infinite plane while respecting an adjacency condition: two squares can be placed next to each other if there shared edge has the same color. This problem was introduced by Wang to study the decidability of the $\forall \exists \forall$ fragment of first order logic [47], who conjectured that the domino problem was decidable. It turns out that this is not the case; a now classic result by Berger states that this problem is undecidable [10]. Since then, many proofs of the undecidability of the problem have been found (see [28]).

Perhaps one of the fundamental features of the domino problem is its usefulness in proving the undecidability of many decision problems, ranging from problems in symbolic dynamics such as the infinite snake problem [1] and the injectivity and surjectivity of two-dimensional cellular automata [30, 31], to problems from other areas such as the $k$-SAT problem on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ [17], the spectral gap problem of quantum many-body systems [15] and translation monotilings [19]. In fact, the Wang tiling model can be seen as a natural model to encode computation and prove complexity lower bounds [46].

In recent years, the domino problem has found new life in the context of symbolic dynamics over finitely generated groups [2]. The aim has been to establish which algebraic conditions make the problem of deciding whether the group is tileable subject to a finite number of local constraints - i.e., the domino problem on the group - undecidable. This project has culminated in a conjecture stating that the class of groups with decidable
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domino problem is the class of virtually free groups. This same turn towards generalizing to groups has been made for different problems, such as aperiodic tilings [42] and domino snake problems [3]. This article follows the same path for three different decision problems: the seeded domino problem, the recurrent domino problem, and the $k$-SAT problem. We introduce generalizations of these problems for finitely generated groups to understand how the algebraic and computational properties of the underlying group influence the decidability and to obtain new tools to show the undecidability of problems on finitely generated groups.

## Variants of Tiling Problems

Variations on the domino problem have been present since its conception. This is the case of the seeded domino problem, whose undecidability was established even before the domino problem's [29, 11]. In the years since many more variations have been introduced: the periodic domino problem [20, 25], domino snake problems [39], the recurrent domino problem [21], the aperiodic domino problem $[12,18]$, and even a variant where the underlying structure is fixed to be a geometric tiling of the plane [23].

In this article, we generalize the seeded and recurrent problems to the context of finitely generated groups. The seeded version asks, given an alphabet, a finite set of local rules and a target letter from the alphabet, if there exists a coloring of the group subject to the local rules where the target letter appears. The recurrent version has the same input but asks if such a configuration exists where the target letter appears infinitely often. We will begin by formally introducing these problems and establishing connections to the domino problem: the problem many-one reduces to both variants (Section 2). Next, we show that the decidability of both problems is independent of the chosen generating set for the group, that the problems many-one reduce from subgroups and are in fact equivalent in the case of finite index subgroups (Section 3). Furthermore, we show that the recurrent problem is decidable on free groups, which paired with the domino conjecture and inheritance properties, allows us to state the following extension of the domino conjecture

- Conjecture 1. Let $G$ be a finite generated group. The following are equivalent,
- $G$ is virtually free,
- the domino problem on $G$ is decidable,
- the seeded domino problem on $G$ is decidable,
- the recurrent domino problem on $G$ is decidable.


## $k$-SAT and the Limit of Polynomial Time Problems

The $k$-SAT problem on groups was introduced by Freedman in [17]. The idea of the generalization was to extend the difference between 2-SAT and 3-SAT, which are in $\mathbf{P}$ and NP respectively, to an infinite context making the former problem decidable and the latter undecidable. This is inserted into the broader program outlined in [16] that searches to separate the complexity classes $\mathbf{P}$ and NP by limit processes, the idea being that limiting behaviors of polynomial time problems should be decidable.

In this article, we slightly alter the generalization proposed by Freedman to make the decision problem compatible with finitely generated groups (Section 4). Similar generalizations have been made for other classic decision problems, such as Post's correspondence problem $[38,14,13]$. We show that the subgroup membership problem of the group many-one reduces to the complement of the 2-SAT problem and that in the class of groups where the former is decidable, the $k$-SAT problem many-one reduces to the domino problem for all $k>1$. In conjunction with the work of Piantadosi [41] and the domino problem's inheritance
properties, this result implies that the $k$-SAT problem is decidable for virtually free groups. We introduce the class of scalable groups to find an equivalence between both decision problems. A finitely generated group is scalable if it contains a proper finite index subgroup that is isomorphic to the group. We show that for this class of groups, the domino problem many-one reduces to the 3 -SAT problem. The proof of this result is inspired by techniques from [17] that are adapted to better suit our definition of the decision problem.

We begin the article by introducing some preliminary notions from computability and symbolic dynamics in Section 1. Section 2 introduces the domino problem, the seeded and recurrent variants, and some basic properties. In Section 3, we establish the invariance of the decidability of the seeded and recurrent problems under changes in the generating set of the group, in addition to some inheritance properties, most notably subgroups. We also prove that the recurrent domino problem is decidable for free groups and show that the seeded and recurrent problems are subject to the same conjecture as the normal one. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the $k$-SAT problem on finitely generated groups. We formally introduce the decision problem as well as its connection to the subgroup membership problem and a reduction to the domino problem. We finally present the class of scalable groups and show that for them, the domino problem many-one reduces to the 3-SAT problem.

## 2 Preliminaries

Given a finite alphabet $A$, we denote the set of words of length $n$ by $A^{n}$, the set of words of length less or equal to $n$ by $A^{\leq n}$, and the set of all finite words over $A$ by $A^{*}$, including the empty word $\varepsilon$. The length of a word $w$ is denoted by $|w|$. We denote the free group on $n$ generators by $\mathbb{F}_{n}$. Throughout the article, $G$ will be an infinite group.

### 2.1 Computability and Group Theory

We quickly recall some notions from computability theory and combinatorial group theory that will be needed in the article. See [45] for a reference on computability and reductions, and see [35] for a reference on combinatorial group theory.

- Definition 2. Let $L \subseteq A^{*}$ and $L^{\prime} \subseteq B^{*}$ be two languages. We say,
- L many-one reduces to $L^{\prime}$, denoted $L \leq_{m} L^{\prime}$, if there exists a computable function $f: A^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$ such that $w \in L$ if and only if $f(w) \in L^{\prime}$ for every $w$.
- L positive-reduces to $L^{\prime}$, denoted $L \leq_{p} L^{\prime}$ if for any $w$ one can compute finitely many finite sets $F_{1}(w), \ldots, F_{n}(w)$ such that $w \in L$ if and only if there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $F_{i}(w) \subseteq L^{\prime}$.
For both notions of reducibility, the induced notion of equivalence will be denoted by $L \equiv_{*} L^{\prime}$, meaning $L \leq_{*} L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime} \leq_{*} L$.

Notice that many-one reducibility implies positive-reducibility. The complement of a decision problem $D$, denoted co $D$, is the set of all "no" instances of $D$.

Given $G$ a finitely generated group (f.g.) and $S$ a finite generating set, elements in the group are represented as words over the alphabet $S \cup S^{-1}$ through the evaluation function $w \mapsto \bar{w}$. Two words $w$ and $v$ represent the same element when $\bar{w} \bar{v}$, and we denote it by $w={ }_{G} v$. We say a word is reduced if it contains no factor of the form $s s^{-1}$ or $s^{-1} s$ with $s \in S$. The length of an element $g \in G$ with respect to $S$, denoted $|g|_{S}$, is the length of a shortest word $w \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$ such that $g=\bar{w}$. A group is virtually free if it contains a finite index subgroup isomorphic to a free group.

### 2.2 Subshifts of Finite Type

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet and $G$ a finitely generated group. The full-shift on $A$ is the set of configurations $A^{G}=\{x: G \rightarrow A\}$. This space is acted upon by $G$ in the form of left translations: given $g \in G$ and $x \in A^{G}$,
$g \cdot x(h)=x\left(g^{-1} h\right)$.
Let $F$ be a finite subset of $G$. We call $p \in A^{F}$ a fpattern of support $F$. We say a pattern $p$ appears in a configuration $x \in A^{G}$ if there exists $g \in G$ such that $p(h)=x(g h)$ for all $h \in F$. The cylinder defined by a pattern $p \in A^{F}$ at $g \in G$ is given by
$[p]_{g}=\left\{x \in A^{G}: \forall h \in F, x(g h)=p(h)\right\}$.
Given a set of patterns $\mathcal{F}$, we define the $G$-subshift $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the set of configurations where no pattern from $\mathcal{F}$ appears. That is,

$$
X_{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{x \in A^{G}: \forall p \in \mathcal{F}, p \text { does not appear in } x\right\}=A^{G} \backslash \bigcap_{g \in G, p \in \mathcal{F}}[p]_{g} .
$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is finite, we say $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a $G$-subshift of finite type ( $G$-SFT). We will simply write SFT when the group is clear from context.

Let $S$ be a finite generating set for $G$. We say a pattern $p$ is nearest neighbor if its support is given by $\left\{1_{G}, s\right\}$ with $s \in S$. We will denote nearest neighbor patterns through tuples $(a, b, s)$ representing $p\left(1_{G}\right)=a$ and $p(s)=b$. A subshift defined by a set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns is known as a nearest neighbor subshift. These subshifts are necessarily SFTs. Given a set of nearest neighbor patterns $\mathcal{F}$, we define its corresponding tileset graph, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, by the set of vertices $A$, and edges given by $(a, b, s) \notin \mathcal{F}$, where $a$ is its initial vertex, $b$ its final vertex and $s$ its label (see Figure 1 for an example).


Figure 1 An example of a tileset graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ for the alphabet $\{0,1,2\}$ and a group with generators $s$ and $t$. Edges present in the graph are exactly those that are not in $\mathcal{F}$, for example $(2,1, t) \in \mathcal{F}$.

These graphs will help us in Section 4.2 when working with free groups. It is a well known fact [33] that nearest neighbor SFTs over $\mathbb{Z}$ are characterized as the set of bi-infinite walks on their corresponding tileset graph.

## 3 The Domino Problem and its Variants

We begin with a formal definition of the domino problem that generalizes the original formulation with Wang tiles.

- Definition 3. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ a finite generating set. The domino problem on $G$ with respect to $S$ is the decision problem that, given an alphabet $A$ and a finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}$, determines if the corresponding subshift $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is empty. We denote this problem by $\operatorname{DP}(G, S)$.

It has been shown that the decidability of the problem is invariant under changes in the generating set, that is, if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are two finite generating sets for $G$, then $\mathrm{DP}\left(G, S_{1}\right) \equiv_{m} \mathrm{DP}\left(G, S_{2}\right)$. We can therefore talk about the domino problem on $G$, denoted $\mathrm{DP}(G)$. The problem also satisfies many inheritance properties: both the domino problem of a finitely generated subgroup and the domino problem of a quotient by a finitely generated kernel many-one reduce to the domino problem of the group. Furthermore, the word problem of the group many-one reduces to the complement of the domino problem. Proofs of these facts can be found in [2].

A particularly important property enjoyed by the problem, is that it can be expressed in the monadic second order (MSO) logic of the group's Cayley graph [6]. Coupled with the fact that virtually free groups have decidable MSO logic [37, 32], this implies that the domino problem is decidable for virtually free groups. It is possible to go even further, this characterization of virtually free groups tells us that if a group is not virtually free its Cayley graphs contain arbitrarily large grids as minors [44]. This prompted Ballier and Stein [7] to state the following conjecture.

- Conjecture 4 (The Domino Conjecture). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. Then $\mathrm{DP}(G)$ is decidable if and only if $G$ is virtually free.

Since then, many classes of groups have been shown to satisfy the conjecture, such as Baumslag-Solitar groups [5], polycyclic groups [26], hyperbolic groups [9], Artin groups [4], direct products of two infinite groups [27], among others.

### 3.1 Seeded Domino Problem

Perhaps the most natural variant of the domino problem is its seeded version. In fact, it was introduced simultaneously to the original problem [47] and, as previously mentioned, was shown to be undecidable on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ before the domino problem [11, 29].

- Definition 5. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ a finite generating set. The seeded domino problem on $G$ with respect to $S$ is the decision problem that, given an alphabet $A$, a finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}$ and a letter $a_{0} \in A$, determines if there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$. We denote the decision problem by $\operatorname{SDP}(G, S)$.

As its definition suggests, this problem is computationally harder than the unseeded version: for a set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}$ over the alphabet $A$, we create an instance of the seeded domino problem per letter.

- Lemma 6. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ a finite generating set. Then, $\operatorname{DP}(G, S) \leq_{p} \operatorname{SDP}(G, S)$.

Just as the domino problem, there is a behavioral jump from the one-dimensional case to the two-dimensional case. Using the fact that nearest neighbor $\mathbb{Z}$-SFTs are defined as bi-infinite walks on a finite graph, $\operatorname{SDP}(\mathbb{Z},\{t\})$ is decidable. This difference in computability prompts the study of this problem on finitely generated groups. In fact, the problem can be shown to be decidable on the entire class of virtually free groups. Just as the domino problem, the seeded version can be expressed in monadic second order logic (see [8]), making the problem decidable in this class.

### 3.2 Recurring Domino Problem

The recurring domino problem was originally introduced by Harel as a natural decision problem that is highly undecidable, in order to find other highly undecidable problems [21]. He showed that in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ the problem is not only undecidable, but it is beyond the arithmetical hierarchy: it is $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-complete [22]. We expand the problem's definition to finitely generated groups.

- Definition 7. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ a finite generating set. The recurrent domino problem on $G$ with respect to $S$ is the decision problem that, given an alphabet $A$, $a$ finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}$ and a letter $a_{0} \in A$, determines if there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that the set $\left\{g \in G: x(g)=a_{0}\right\}$ is infinite. We denote the decision problem by $\operatorname{RDP}(G, S)$.

As was the case with the seeded variant, this problem is computationally harder that the standard domino problem.

- Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ a finite generating set. Then, $\operatorname{DP}(G, S) \leq_{p} \operatorname{RDP}(G, S)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of nearest neighbor patterns for $\operatorname{DP}(G, S)$. Notice that this is already part of the input for the recurring version, we simply create an instance for $\operatorname{RDP}(G, S)$ for each of the letters of the alphabet. Because $G$ is infinite, if the subshift defined by $\mathcal{F}$ is non-empty, then at least one letter is forced to repeat itself infinitely often.

Nevertheless, the behavioral jump that occurs between $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for the original problem is still present.

- Proposition 9. $\operatorname{RDP}(\mathbb{Z},\{t\})$ is decidable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns and $a_{0} \in A$. Recall that we can define a graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is effectively constructible from $\mathcal{F}$, such that configurations on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ correspond exactly with bi-infinite walks on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore, to decide our problem we simply have to search for a simple cycle on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ that is based at $a_{0}$. If there is such a cycle, $c=a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} a_{0}$ with $a_{i} \in A$, we define the periodic configuration $x=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{\infty} \in$ $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. If, on the other hand, there exists a configuration $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ on which $a_{0}$ appears infinitely often; take two consecutive occurrences of $a_{0}$, say $y(k)=y\left(k^{\prime}\right)=a_{0}$ with $k<k^{\prime}$. Then, because configurations correspond to bi-infinite walks, there is a cycle on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ given by $c^{\prime}=a_{0} y(k+1) y(k+2) \ldots y\left(k^{\prime}-1\right) a_{0}$. As searching for simple cycles on a finite graph is computable, our problem is decidable.

## 4 Properties for Seeded and Recurring Variants

### 4.1 General Inheritance Properties

Let us try and recover some inheritance properties enjoyed by the standard domino problem for the two variants, starting by the invariance under changing generating sets. We use strategies and procedures used to prove the corresponding results for the normal problem, as done in [2]. We begin by making use of pattern codings, which are a computationally tractable way of defining forbidden patterns whose support is not $\left\{1_{G}, s\right\}$.

- Definition 10. Let $G$ be a f.g. group, $S$ a finite set of generators and $A$ a finite alphabet. $A$ pattern coding $c$ is a finite set of tuples $c=\left\{\left(w_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$, where $w_{i} \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $a_{i} \in A$.

Given a set of pattern codings $\mathcal{C}$, we define its corresponding subshift as:

$$
X_{\mathcal{C}}=A^{G} \backslash \bigcup_{g \in G} \bigcap_{\substack{c \in \mathcal{C} \\(w, a) \in c}}[a]_{g w}
$$

- Definition 11. The seeded (recurrent) emptiness problem on $G$ with respect to $S$ asks if, given $\mathcal{C}$ a set of pattern codings and $a_{0} \in A$, there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$ (resp. $a_{0}$ appears infinitely often in $x$, that is, $\left|\left\{g \in G: x(g)=a_{0}\right\}\right|$ is infinite).

Let us denote this problem by $\operatorname{SEP}(G, S)($ resp. $\operatorname{REP}(G, S))$.

- Lemma 12. Let $G$ be a f.g. group along with two finite generating sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Then,
- $\operatorname{SDP}\left(G, S_{1}\right) \equiv{ }_{p} \operatorname{SDP}\left(G, S_{2}\right)$,
- $\operatorname{RDP}\left(G, S_{1}\right) \equiv{ }_{p} \operatorname{RDP}\left(G, S_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We begin by noticing that we can re-write a pattern coding into any other generating set. This means that $\operatorname{SEP}\left(G, S_{1}\right) \equiv_{m} \operatorname{SEP}\left(G, S_{2}\right)$. We therefore just need to show that $\operatorname{SDP}(G, S) \equiv{ }_{p} \operatorname{SEP}(G, S)$.

It is straight forward to re-write nearest neighbor patterns as pattern codings: each pattern $(a, b, s)$ becomes $c=\{(\varepsilon, a),(s, b)\}$. Thus, we have the reduction $\operatorname{SDP}(G, S) \leq_{m} \operatorname{SEP}(G, S)$. Let us now focus on proving that $\operatorname{SEP}(G, S)$ positive-reduces to $\operatorname{SDP}(G, S)$. Given a set of pattern codings $\mathcal{C}$ and a letter $a_{0}$, we can compute both

$$
N=\max _{c \in \mathcal{C}} \max _{(w, a) \in c}|w|,
$$

and a new alphabet, $\hat{A}$, consisting of colorings of words of length at most $N$ with no pattern from $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$
\hat{A}=\left\{\phi: S^{\leq N} \rightarrow A: \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, \exists(w, a) \in c, \phi(w) \neq a\right\}
$$

In addition, we are able to compute a set of forbidden patterns over $\hat{A}$ denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ such that:

$$
q \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow q \in \hat{A}^{\{1, s\}}: \exists w \in S^{\leq N-1}, q_{1}(s w) \neq q_{s}(w)
$$

Finally, we compute the set of all functions $\phi \in \hat{A}$ such that $\phi(\varepsilon)=a_{0}$, and denote this set by $\mathcal{A}$. We create $|\mathcal{A}|$ sets of inputs for $\operatorname{SDP}(G, S)$ given by the forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and a target letter $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$.

If there exists a configuration $x \in X_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$, we define $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}} \subseteq \hat{A}^{G}$ by $y(g)(w)=x(g \bar{w})$. This way, $y$ contains no pattern from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, as $x$ does not contain a pattern coding from $\mathcal{C}$, and $y\left(1_{G}\right)(\varepsilon)=x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$. Conversely, if there is function $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ and a configuration $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ such that $y\left(1_{G}\right)=\phi$, we construct $x \in X_{\mathcal{C}}$ by $x(g)=y(g)(\varepsilon)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, if we take $g \in G$ with $|g|_{S} \leq N$ and a word $w \in S^{\leq N}$ such that $\bar{w}=g$, we have that $y\left(1_{G}\right)(w)=y(g)(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, $x$ is well-defined and contains no pattern codings from $\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, $x\left(1_{G}\right)=y\left(1_{G}\right)(\varepsilon)=a_{0}$.

All the previous arguments are analogous for the case of $\operatorname{RDP}(G, S)$ and $\operatorname{REP}(G, S)$.
This Lemma allows us to talk about the seeded domino problem on $G, \operatorname{SDP}(G)$, and the recurring domino problem on $G, \operatorname{RDP}(G)$.

- Lemma 13. Let $G$ be a f.g. group along with a finitely generated subgroup H. Then,
- $\operatorname{SDP}(H) \leq_{m} \operatorname{SDP}(G)$,
- $\operatorname{RDP}(H) \leq_{m} \operatorname{RDP}(G)$.

Proof. Let $S_{H}$ and $S_{G}$ be finite sets of generators for $H$ and $G$ respectively. We will work with the seeded version, as the recurring one is analogous. Notice that an instance, $\left(\mathcal{F}, a_{0}\right)$, of $\operatorname{SDP}\left(H, S_{H}\right)$ is also an instance of $\operatorname{SDP}\left(G, S_{G} \cup S_{H}\right)$.

Now, if there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq A^{G}$ with $x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$, then the configuration $y=\left.x\right|_{H} \in A^{H}$ contains no patterns from $\mathcal{F}$ and verifies $y\left(1_{H}\right)=a_{0}$. On the other hand, if there exists $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq A^{H}$; let $L$ be a set of left representatives for $G / H$. We define $x \in A^{G}$ as $x(l h)=y(h)$ for all $l \in L$ and all $h \in H$. Because the forbidden patterns are supported on $S_{H}$, we have that $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq A^{G}$.

- Lemma 14. Let $G$ be a f.g. group along with a subgroup $H$ such that $[G: H]<\infty$. Then,
- $\operatorname{SDP}(G) \equiv{ }_{p} \operatorname{SDP}(H)$,
- $\operatorname{RDP}(G) \equiv_{p} \operatorname{RDP}(H)$.

Proof. Because finite index subgroups of finitely generated groups are finitely generated, $\operatorname{SDP}(H) \leq_{m} \operatorname{SDP}(G)$ by Lemma 13. We now prove that $\operatorname{SDP}(H) \leq_{p} \operatorname{SDP}(G)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $H \unlhd G$ : every finite index subgroup $H$ contains a normal finite index subgroup $N$, then if we prove $\operatorname{SDP}(G)$ reduces to $\operatorname{SDP}(N)$, we can conclude it reduces to $\operatorname{SDP}(H)$ by Lemma 13 .

Let $X \subseteq A^{G}$ be a subshift, and $R$ a set of right co-set representatives for $G / H$, containing the identity $1_{G}$. We define what is known as the $R$-higher power shift of $X$ as:

$$
X^{[R]}=\left\{y \in\left(A^{R}\right)^{H}: \exists x \in X: \forall(h, r) \in H \times R, y(h)(r)=x(h r)\right\}
$$

It is clear that $X^{[R]}$ is an $H$-subshift, and we will show that if $X$ is a $G$-SFT, then $X^{[R]}$ is a $H$-SFT. Let $S_{H}$ be a finite set of generators for $H$. We define the sets $D=S_{H} \cup\left(R R R^{-1} \cap H\right)$ and $T=R D R^{-1}$. Because $1_{G} \in R$ and $H$ is a normal subgroup, $H=\langle T\rangle$.

We will positive-reduce $\operatorname{SDP}\left(G, S_{H} \cup R\right)$ to $\operatorname{SDP}(H, T)$. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}, a_{0}\right)$ be an instance of $\operatorname{SDP}\left(G, S_{H} \cup R\right)$. Let us construct a set $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of forbidden patterns over the alphabet $A^{R}$, such that $X_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=X_{\mathcal{F}}^{[R]}$. We begin by defining the set of $R$-patterns containing $a_{0}$ :
$\mathcal{A}=\left\{p \in A^{R}: p\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}\right\}$.
Now, take $(a, b, s) \in \mathcal{F}$. We will add patterns to $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ depending on where $s$ belongs.

- If $s \in S_{H}$, we add for each $r \in R$ all patterns $q$ of support $\left\{1_{H}, r s r^{-1}\right\}$ such that $q\left(1_{H}\right)(r)=a$ and $q\left(r s r^{-1}\right)(r)=b$.
- If $s \in R$, notice that for any $r \in R$, we have $r s=h r^{\prime}$ where $r^{\prime} \in R$ and $h \in R R R^{-1} \cap H$, as $R$ is a set of right coset representatives. Therefore, for each $r \in R, h$ and $r^{\prime}$ as before, we all patterns $q$ of support $\left\{1_{H}, h\right\}$ such that $q\left(1_{H}\right)(r)=a$ and $q(h)\left(r^{\prime}\right)=b$.
A straightforward computation shows $X_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=X_{\mathcal{F}}^{[R]}$. Finally, we create $|\mathcal{A}|$ inputs for $\operatorname{SDP}(H, T)$ given by $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and a letter from $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $x\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$. Define $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}}^{[R]}$ as $y(h)(r)=x(h r)$ for all $h \in H, r \in R$, which implies $y\left(1_{H}\right)=\left.x\right|_{R} \in \mathcal{A}$. Conversely, if there exists $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}}^{[R]}$ such that $y\left(1_{H}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$, define $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $x(h r)=y(h)(r)$ for all $h \in H$ and $r \in R$. Thus, $x\left(1_{G}\right)=y\left(1_{H}\right)\left(1_{G}\right)=a_{0}$.

Because $|R|<+\infty$, the case for RDP is analogous.

### 4.2 Recurring Domino Problem on Free Groups

In this section we prove the following result:

- Theorem 15. $\operatorname{RDP}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ is decidable.


## N. Bitar

Fix $S$ a free generating set for $\mathbb{F}_{n}$. Let $A$ be an alphabet, $\mathcal{F}$ a set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns and $a_{0} \in A$ the tile we want an infinity of. The goal of our algorithm will be to find a particular structure within the tileset graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ called a simple balloon. We will then show that there is such a structure if and only if there is a configuration in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ where $a_{0}$ occurs infinitely often.

Definition 16. $A$ balloon $B$ is an undirected path in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, starting and ending at $a_{0}$, which is specified by a sequence of letters and generators $B=a_{0} s_{1} a_{1} \ldots s_{n-1} a_{n-1} s_{n} a_{0}$, with $a_{i} \in A$, $s_{i} \in S \cup S^{-1}$, such that $s_{i}=s$ if $\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}, s\right)$ is an edge in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, and $s_{i}=s^{-1}$ if $\left(a_{i}, a_{i-1}, s\right)$ is an edge in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, its label $s_{1} \ldots s_{n}$ is reduced, and if there exists $k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1$ such that

$$
s_{1} \ldots s_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
s_{n-k+1} & \ldots & s_{n}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

then $a_{i}=a_{n-i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. We say the balloon is simple if for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ the pair $a_{i} s_{i+1}$ never repeats.

The last condition in the definition of a balloon asks that if the label is not cyclically reduced, $w=u v u^{-1}$ for instance, then the first $|u|$ must be the same as the last $|u|$ tiles in reverse order (see Figure 2).

Given a simple balloon, we want to create a configuration by repeating the letter/generator sequence it defines. Nevertheless, this only covers a portion of the group. To guarantee we will be able to complete a configuration we must ask for each letter to have the ability to be extended to cover the whole group, and thus any portion.


Figure 2 On the left, a balloon given by $C=\square \mathrm{b} \square \mathrm{a} \square \mathrm{b} \square \mathrm{a} \square \mathrm{b}^{-1} \square$ based at $a_{0}=\square$, and generators $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in S$. On the right, a portion of a configuration from $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ obtained by repeating the motif defined by the vertices of the balloon.

- Definition 17. We say the set of forbidden patterns is complete if there exists $\mathcal{C}(A) \subseteq A$ and a map $f: \mathcal{C}(A) \times\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A)$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{C}(A)$, both $(a, f(a, s), s)$ and $\left(f\left(a, s^{-1}\right), a, s\right)$ are edges in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ for $s \in S .{ }^{1}$

Piantadosi showed in [41] that $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-empty if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is complete. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is computable from $A$ and $\mathcal{F}$, and every letter in a configuration $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}(A)$.

Lemma 18. There exists a configuration $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ containing $a_{0}$ infinitely many times if and only if there exists a simple balloon $B$ in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ based at $a_{0}$, whose vertices are all in $\mathcal{C}(A)$.

[^0]Proof. Suppose we have a simple balloon $B=a_{0} s_{1} a_{1} \ldots s_{n-1} a_{n-1} s_{n} a_{0}$ in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ based at $a_{0}$ with $a_{i} \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and label $w=u v u^{-1}$ where $u=s_{1} \ldots s_{k}$ with $k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1$. The condition over $k$ implies that $v \neq \varepsilon$. We define a configuration $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ as follows: for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $x\left(\bar{w}^{t}\right)=a_{0}$ and $x\left(\overline{w^{t} s_{1} \ldots s_{i}}\right)=a_{i}$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ (see Figure 2). Because $B$ is a balloon, $x$ is well defined, as the balloon's definition guarantees

$$
x\left(\overline{w^{t} u v} s_{k}^{-1} \ldots s_{i}^{-1}\right)=a_{n-i}=a_{i}=x\left(\overline{w^{t+1} s_{1} \ldots s_{i}}\right) .
$$

Finally, because every letter belongs to $\mathcal{C}(A)$, the rest of the configuration can be completed without forbidden patterns. Therefore, $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Conversely, suppose there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ where $a_{0}$ occurs infinitely often. Without loss of generality we can assume $x\left(1_{\mathbb{F}_{n}}\right)=a_{0}$. Recall that $x\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(A)$. Let us denote the set of elements $w \in \mathbb{F}_{n}$ where $x(w)=a_{0}$ by $\mathcal{O}$. Because $\mathcal{O}$ is infinite, there exists $s_{0} \in S \cup S^{-1}$ such that infinitely many words in $\mathcal{O}$ begin with $s_{0}$. Furthermore, there exists $s_{1} \in S \cup S^{-1}$ with $s_{1} \neq s_{0}^{-1}$ such that infinitely many words in $\mathcal{O}$ begin with $s_{0} s_{1}$. By iterating this argument, we obtain a one-way infinite sequence $y \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $y(i) \neq y(i+1)^{-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\omega(i)=y(0) \ldots y(i-1) \in \mathbb{F}_{n}$. By definition, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there are infinitely many words in $\mathcal{O}$ that begin with $\omega(i)$. We will say $w \in \mathcal{O}$ is rooted at $i \in \mathbb{N}$ if $w=\omega(i) v$ for some $v \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$, and such that the concatenation is reduced. Because there are infinitely many words rooted along some point of $y$, and $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is finite, there exist $j_{1}<i<j_{2}$ and $w \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $x\left(\omega\left(j_{1}\right)\right)=x\left(\omega\left(j_{2}\right)\right)$ and $w$ is rooted at $i$. Using this fact, we will create a balloon depending on two cases.

1. If $y\left(j_{1}-1\right) \neq y\left(j_{2}-1\right)$, and calling $a_{j}=x(\omega(j))$, define the balloon that represents going from $x\left(1_{G}\right)$ to $x\left(\omega\left(j_{2}\right)\right)$ by the path $\omega\left(j_{2}\right)$ and then return via the path $\omega\left(j_{1}\right)$ (see Figure 3). Formally,

$$
B=a_{0} y(0) a_{1} \ldots y\left(j_{1}-1\right) a_{j_{1}} \ldots y\left(j_{2}-1\right) a_{j_{2}} y\left(j_{1}-1\right)^{-1} a_{j_{1}-1} \ldots y(0)^{-1} a_{0}
$$

which is labeled by $\omega\left(j_{2}\right) \omega\left(j_{1}\right)^{-1}$, a reduced word.


Figure 3 On the left, the path defined by $y$ in the configuration. This is an example of the first case, where $y\left(j_{1}-1\right) \neq y\left(j_{2}-1\right)$ and the repeated letter is $a=x\left(\omega\left(j_{1}\right)\right)=x\left(\omega\left(j_{2}\right)\right)$. On the right, the corresponding balloon within the tileset graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$.
2. If $y\left(j_{1}-1\right)=y\left(j_{2}-1\right)$, then $y\left(j_{1}\right) \neq y\left(j_{2}-1\right)^{-1}$. Let $v \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{k}$ such that $w=\omega(i) v$. Once again, calling $a_{j}=x(\omega(j))$ and $b_{j}=x\left(\overline{w v_{1} \ldots v_{j}}\right)$, we define the balloon

$$
B=a_{0} v_{k}^{-1} b_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1} \ldots v_{1}^{-1} a_{i} y(i) \ldots y\left(j_{2}-1\right) a_{j_{2}} y\left(j_{1}\right) \ldots y(i-1) a_{i} v_{1} b_{1} \ldots v_{k} a_{0},
$$

which is labelled by $v y(i) \ldots y\left(j_{2}-1\right) y\left(j_{1}\right) \ldots y(i-1) v^{-1}$, a reduced word (see Figure 4).


Figure 4 On the left, the path defined by $y$ in the configuration as well as the path leading from the root $x(\omega(i))$ to $w$. This is an example of the second case, where $y\left(j_{1}-1\right)=y\left(j_{2}-1\right)$ and the repeated letter is $a=x\left(\omega\left(j_{1}\right)\right)=x\left(\omega\left(j_{2}\right)\right)$. On the right, the corresponding balloon within the tileset $\operatorname{graph} \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Finally, if $B$ contains a repeated letter/generator pair, we can simply cut the portion between them while preserving all other balloon conditions. This guarantees that $B$ will be a simple balloon in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ based at $a_{0}$, with all its vertices in $\mathcal{C}(A)$.

Proof of Theorem 15. Given a finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns $\mathcal{F}$ and a letter $a_{0}$, by Lemma 18, it suffices to search for simple balloons in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ whose vertices are contained in $\mathcal{C}(A)$. A simple balloon passes through each vertex-label pair at most once; and there are a finite number of such paths starting and ending in $a_{0}$, so we can check whether they satisfy the simple balloon conditions. Therefore, we can effectively decide whether the conditions of Lemma 18 are met, making the recurrence problem decidable.

### 4.3 Consequences and Conjectures

As previously stated, we are interested in understanding the class of groups that have decidable seeded domino problem, and the class of groups that have decidable recurring domino problem.

- Theorem 19. Let $G$ be a virtually free group. Then, both $\operatorname{SDP}(G)$ and $\operatorname{RDP}(G)$ are decidable.

Proof. By Theorem 15 we know the recurring domino problem is decidable on free groups. Adding Lemma 14, we have that it is decidable for virtually free groups. For the seeded version, as we mentioned earlier, we have that the problem is expressible in MSO logic and is therefore decidable for virtually free groups.

Are these the only groups where each individual problem is decidable? The combination of Conjecture 4 and Lemmas 6 and 8 suggest so.

- Corollary 20. If the Domino Conjecture is true the following are equivalent:
- $G$ is virtually free,
- $\operatorname{DP}(G)$ is decidable,
- $\operatorname{SDP}(G)$ is decidable,
- $\operatorname{RDP}(G)$ is decidable.

Nevertheless, virtually free groups being the only groups where the seeded domino problem or the recurring domino problem is decidable does not directly imply Conjecture 4 . We can nonetheless state a conjecture for the seeded domino problem due to the fact it can be expressed in MSO logic.

- Conjecture 21. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. Then, $\operatorname{SDP}(G)$ is decidable if and only if $G$ is virtually free.


## 5 The $k$-SAT Problem on Groups

As mentioned in the introduction, we define a generalized version of the $k$-SAT problem for finitely generated groups. This version is slightly different from the one introduced by Freedman [17] in order to correctly capture the structure of finitely generated groups.

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $H \leq G$ a finitely generated subgroup. As variables for our formulas we use elements of $G$. For $g \in G$, we denote its negation by $\neg g$ and we use the ambiguous notation $g^{\prime}$ to refer to either $g$ or $\neg g$ depending on the formula. We denote the set of formulas over $G$ containing $k$ literals as $N_{k}$, that is, $\phi \in N_{k}$ if

$$
\phi=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(g_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee\left(g_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)
$$

Next, we define the set of formulas $H N_{k}$ as all the formulas of the form:

$$
\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(h g_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee\left(h g_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)
$$

We use $\phi(h)$ to denote the formula $\phi$ with each literal left-multiplied by $h$.

- Definition 22. We say a formula $\phi \in H N_{k}$ is satisfiable, if there exists an assignment of truth values $\alpha: G \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that:

$$
\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\alpha\left(h g_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee \alpha\left(h g_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)=1 .
$$

Let $S$ be a finite generating set for $G$. To arrive at a valid decision problem, we will specify a function by a set of words over $S \cup S^{-1}$ that will evaluate to the literals of the function, and a list of words, also over $S \cup S^{-1}$, that will specify a generating set for a subgroup. Formally, an input formula is a formula of the form

$$
\phi=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(v_{i 1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee v_{i k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $v_{i j} \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, such that its evaluated version

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\bar{v}_{i 1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee \bar{v}_{i k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

belongs to $N_{k}$.

- Definition 23. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, $S$ a finite generating set and $k>1$. The $k$-SAT problem over $G$ is the decision problem that given an input formula $\phi$ and $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ determines if the formula $\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\phi}(h)$ is satisfiable, where $H=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$.

Notice that the decidability of this problem does not depend on the chosen generating set, as we can re-write any input into any other generating set. We therefore denote this problem by $k$-SAT $(G)$.

The first observation to make is that this problem depends on the computational structure of the group.

- Lemma 24. The subgroup membership problem of $G$ many-one reduces to co2-SAT $(G)$.

The subgroup membership problem of a f.g. group $G$ is the decision problem that takes as input words $u,\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ over $S \cup S^{-1}$ and determines if $\bar{u} \in\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Let $u,\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$ be an instance of the subgroup membership problem. We define the formula

$$
\psi=(\neg \varepsilon \vee s) \wedge(u \vee s) \wedge(\neg \varepsilon \vee \neg s) \wedge(u \vee \neg s),
$$

for some fixed $s \in S$, which along with the words $w_{i}$ is an input to $2-\operatorname{SAT}(G)$. Notice that $\psi$ is equivalent to the formula $\neg \varepsilon \wedge u$. Let us denote $H=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\Psi=\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\psi}(h)$.

Suppose $\bar{u} \in H$. Then, we have that $\bar{\psi}\left(1_{G}\right) \wedge \bar{\psi}(\bar{u})=\left(\neg 1_{G} \wedge \bar{u}\right) \wedge\left(\neg \bar{u} \wedge \bar{u}^{2}\right)$ is never satisfiable, and thus $\Psi$ is not satisfiable. On the other hand, if $\bar{u} \notin H$, we can define the assignment $\alpha: G \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ by $\alpha(h)=0$ and $\alpha(h u)=1$ for all $h \in H$, and $\alpha(g)=0$ for all other $g \in G \backslash H$. This way $\neg \alpha(h) \wedge \alpha(h u)=1$ for all $h$, and therefore $\Psi$ is satisfied.

Examples of groups with undecidable subgroup membership problems are $\mathbb{F}_{n} \times \mathbb{F}_{n}$ [36], some hyperbolic groups [43], as well as groups with undecidable word problem.

- Lemma 25. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group with decidable subgroup membership problem. Then, for every $k \geq 2$ we have that $k-\operatorname{SAT}(G) \leq_{m} \operatorname{DP}(G)$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a finite generating set for $G, \phi$ an input formula and $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i}$ words over $S \cup S^{-1}$ that form an instance of $k-\operatorname{SAT}(G)$ such that

$$
\phi=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(v_{i 1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee v_{i k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $v_{i j} \in\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$. Let us once again denote $H=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$. Our alphabet, $A$, consists of 0-1 matrices of size $m \times k$ that satisfy $\phi$, that is, all matrices $M \in\{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ such that

$$
\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(M_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee\left(M_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

To obtain this alphabet we must solve the standard $k$-SAT problem, which is computable.
For convenience, let us denote the finite subset of words involved in the formula by $L=\left\{v_{i j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq k\right\}$. In addition, we define the set $H_{L}$ as the set of all $h_{a b c d} \in H \cap L L^{-1}$, where

$$
h_{a b c d}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{a b} v_{c d}^{-1} \text { if } v_{a b} v_{c d}^{-1} \in H, \\
1_{H} \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Notice that $\left|H_{L}\right| \leq|L|^{2}=m^{2} k^{2}$, and that this set is computable as $G$ has decidable subgroup membership problem. Let us proceed by specifying a set of nearest neighbor forbidden rules, $\mathcal{F}$, with respect to the generating set $S \cup H_{L}$. Given a configuration $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$
the idea is that, for $h \in H$, the matrix $x(h)$ will stock the values assigned to the elements of $h L$. For each $h_{a b c d} \in H_{L}$, we forbid patterns $q$ of support $\left\{1_{H}, h_{a b c d}\right\}$, such that if $q\left(1_{H}\right)=M$ and $q\left(h_{a b c d}\right)=\hat{M}$,

$$
M_{a b} \neq \hat{M}_{c d}
$$

Suppose $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains a configuration $x$. The assignment of truth values $\alpha: G \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is defined by

$$
\alpha(g)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } g \notin H \cdot L, \\
x(h)_{a b} \text { if } g=h \bar{v}_{a b}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

It follows that $\alpha$ is well defined; if $g=h_{1} \bar{v}_{a b}=h_{2} \bar{v}_{c d}$, then $h_{2}=h_{1} h_{a b c d}$, and by the forbidden patterns we know $\left(x_{h_{1}}\right)_{a b}=\left(x_{h_{2}}\right)_{c d}$. In addition, because $x \in A^{G}$, for all $h \in H$,

$$
\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\alpha\left(h \bar{v}_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee \alpha\left(h \bar{v}_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(x(h)_{i 1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee x(h)_{i k}^{\prime}\right)=1 .
$$

This means that the assignation $\alpha$ satisfies $\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\phi}(h)$.
Finally, suppose we have an assignation of truth values $\beta: G \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ that satisfies $\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\phi}(h)$. Given a set of right coset representatives $R$ containing $1_{G}$, we define $z \in$ $\{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ by $z(h r)_{a b}=\beta\left(h g_{a b}\right)$, for all $h \in H$ and $r \in R$. Because $\beta$ satisfies $\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\phi}(h)$, for all $h \in H$

$$
\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(z(h)_{i 1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee z(h)_{i k}^{\prime}\right)=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(\beta\left(h \bar{v}_{i 1}\right)^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee \beta\left(h \bar{v}_{i k}\right)^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

Therefore, $z \in A^{G}$. For $h_{a b c d} \in H_{L}, h_{1} \in H$ and $h_{2}=h_{1} h_{a b c d}$ we have that

$$
z\left(h_{1}\right)_{a b}=\beta\left(h_{1} \bar{v}_{a b}\right)=\beta\left(h_{1} h_{a b c d} \bar{v}_{c d}\right)=\beta\left(h_{2} \bar{v}_{c d}\right)=z\left(h_{2}\right)_{c d}
$$

Therefore $z$ satisfies the local rules and is thus in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. This concludes our reduction.
Virtually free groups not only have decidable domino problem, as previously mentioned, but also have decidable subgroup membership problem (see [34]).

- Corollary 26. For $G$ a virtually free group, $k-\operatorname{SAT}(G)$ is decidable for all $k>1$.

To determine when the converse reduction is true, we introduce a new class of groups that has the required properties.

- Definition 27. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. We say $G$ is scalable if there exists a proper finite index subgroup $H \lesseqgtr G$ that is isomorphic to $G$.

Examples of such groups are finitely generated abelian groups, the Heisenberg group, solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups $B S(1, n)$, Lamplighter groups $F \imath \mathbb{Z}$ with $F$ a finite abelian group, the affine group $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \rtimes G L(d, \mathbb{Z})$ for $d \geq 2$ [40], among others. Examples of non-scalable groups are finitely generated free groups.

- Theorem 28. For $G$ a scalable group, $\operatorname{DP}(G) \leq_{m} 3$ - $\operatorname{SAT}(G)$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a finite alphabet of size $n$, and $\mathcal{F}$ a finite set of nearest neighbor forbidden patterns for $G$ with generating set $S$. As $G$ is scalable, there exists $H$ a proper subgroup of finite index as well as an isomorphism $F: G \rightarrow H$. Let $f: S \rightarrow S^{*}$ the function that is extended to the isomorphism $F$, that is, $\{f(s)\}_{s \in S}$ is a generating set for $H$. Fix $R \subseteq\left(S \cup S^{-1}\right)^{*}$ a set of words representing a finite set of right coset representatives for $H$ that includes $1_{G}$. Notice that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the subgroup $H_{m}=F^{m}(G)$ is isomorphic to $G$ with $\left[G: H_{m}\right]=[G: H]^{m} \geq m$. In addition, a simple computation shows that $R_{m}=F^{m-1}(R) \ldots F(R) R$ defines a set of right coset representatives for $H_{m}$.

The idea of the reduction is to represent each letter of the alphabet by a unique code on the left coset representatives and then create a formula that assigns a letter to each element of $H_{m}$. The index of the subgroup, $m$, will be chosen so there is enough room to code the alphabet and write our formula in the required form.

First off, take as a preliminary estimate $m \geq\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil$, and denote $f_{m}=f^{m}$. Let $\left\{\phi_{a}\right\}_{a \in A}$ be the set of formulas that code the elements of the alphabet $A$ using the words in $R_{m}$ as variables. This way $\phi_{a}(h) \equiv 1$ means we place the letter $a$ at $g=\left(F^{m}\right)^{-1}(h)$, and the variables are contained in $h R_{m}$. Our formula is given by,

$$
\varphi=\left(\bigvee_{a \in A} \phi_{a}\left(1_{G}\right)\right) \wedge\left(\bigwedge_{(a, b, s) \in \mathcal{F}} \neg \phi_{a}\left(1_{G}\right) \vee \neg \phi_{b}\left(f_{m}(s)\right)\right)
$$

which represents the fact that we place one letter at the given point ( $1_{G}$ in this case) and that there are no forbidden patterns in its neighborhood. If modified to be in CNF form, $\varphi$ is a conjunction of $|\mathcal{F}|+\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil^{n}$ clauses of $\leq n$ literals (the clauses coding the forbidden patterns contain $2\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil$ literals). By adding $\left(|\mathcal{F}|+\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil^{n}\right) n$ dummy variables we can transform $\varphi$ into an equivalent formula $\varphi^{\prime}$ whose clauses contain exactly 3 literals.

Therefore, take $m \geq\left(|\mathcal{F}|+\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil^{n}\right) n+\left\lceil\log _{2}(n)\right\rceil$, which gives us enough space in the set of left coset representatives to code the elements of the alphabet and the dummy variables. Furthermore, $\varphi^{\prime}$ is computable from $A$ and $\mathcal{F}$, and $\Phi^{\prime}=\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(h) \in H_{m} N_{3}$.

Let us prove the reduction. If there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq A^{G}$, we create an assignment such that for all $g \in G$, the variables in $F^{m}(g) R_{m}$ are given values so as to satisfy the code for $\phi_{x(g)}\left(F^{m}(g)\right) \equiv 1$. Because $x$ contains no patterns from $\mathcal{F}, \bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\varphi}(h)$ will be satisfied. We finish by filling out the rest of the variables so that $\Phi^{\prime} \equiv 1$.

Now, if $\Phi^{\prime}$ is satisfied so is $\bigwedge_{h \in H} \bar{\varphi}(h)$. Let $y \in A^{G}$ be the configuration defined by $y(g)=a$ if $\phi_{a}\left(F^{m}(g)\right) \equiv 1$. Because the codes used make sure that the values in $F^{m}(g) R_{m}$ code a unique letter, for each $g \in G$ a unique $\phi_{a}\left(F^{m}(g)\right)$ is satisfied. Thus $y$ is well defined. Finally, $y \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$ because if there was $g \in G$ such that $y(g)=a$ and $y(g s)=b$ with $(a, b, s) \in \mathcal{F}$ we would have that $\phi_{a}\left(F^{m}(g)\right) \wedge \phi_{b}\left(F^{m}(g) f_{m}(s)\right)$ is true. This shows $\mathrm{DP}(G) \leq_{m} 3-\mathrm{SAT}(G)$.

- Corollary 29. 3-SAT $(G)$ is undecidable for finitely generated abelian groups, solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups, the Heisenberg group and affine groups.


## References

1 Leonard Adleman, Jarkko Kari, Lila Kari, and Dustin Reishus. The undecidability of the infinite ribbon problem: implications for computing by self-assembly. SIAM J. Comput., 38(6):2356-2381, 2009. doi:10.1137/080723971.
2 Nathalie Aubrun, Sebastián Barbieri, and Emmanuel Jeandel. About the domino problem for subshifts on groups. In Sequences, groups, and number theory, Trends Math., pages 331-389. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-69152-7_9.
3 Nathalie Aubrun and Nicolás Bitar. Domino snake problems on groups. In International Symposium on Fundamentals of Computation Theory, pages 46-59. Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-43587-4_4.

4 Nathalie Aubrun, Nicolás Bitar, and Sacha Huriot-Tattegrain. Strongly aperiodic SFTs on generalized Baumslag-Solitar groups. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, pages 1-30, 2023. doi:10.1017/etds.2023.44.

5 Nathalie Aubrun and Jarkko Kari. Tiling problems on Baumslag-Solitar groups. In Proceedings: Machines, Computations and Universality 2013, volume 128 of Electron. Proc. Theor. Comput. Sci. (EPTCS), pages 35-46. EPTCS, 2013. doi:10.4204/EPTCS.128.12.
6 Alexis Ballier and Emmanuel Jeandel. Tilings and model theory. In First Symposium on Cellular Automata "Journées Automates Cellulaires" (JAC 2008), Uzès, France, April 21-25, 2008. Proceedings, pages 29-39. MCCME Publishing House, Moscow, 2008.

7 Alexis Ballier and Maya Stein. The domino problem on groups of polynomial growth. Groups Geom. Dyn., 12(1):93-105, 2018. doi:10.4171/GGD/439.
8 Laurent Bartholdi. Monadic second-order logic and the domino problem on self-similar graphs. Groups Geom. Dyn., 16(4):1423-1459, 2022. doi:10.4171/ggd/689.
9 Laurent Bartholdi. The domino problem for hyperbolic groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06952, 2023.
10 Robert Berger. The undecidability of the domino problem. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 66:72, 1966.

11 J. Richard Büchi. Turing-machines and the Entscheidungsproblem. Math. Ann., 148:201-213, 1962. doi:10.1007/BF01470748.

12 Antonin Callard and Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus. The aperiodic Domino problem in higher dimension. In 39th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, volume 219 of LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform., pages Art. No. 19, 15. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2022.
13 Laura Ciobanu, Alex Levine, and Alan D Logan. Post's correspondence problem for hyperbolic and virtually nilpotent groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.12158, 2022.
14 Laura Ciobanu and Alan D Logan. Variations on the Post correspondence problem for free groups. In International Conference on Developments in Language Theory, pages 90-102. Springer, 2021.
15 Toby Cubitt, David Perez-Garcia, and Michael M. Wolf. Undecidability of the spectral gap. Forum Math. Pi, 10:Paper No. e14, 102, 2022. doi:10.1017/fmp.2021.15.
16 Michael H. Freedman. Limit, logic, and computation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 95(1):95-97, 1998. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.1.95.

17 Michael H. Freedman. $k$-SAT on groups and undecidability. In STOC '98 (Dallas, TX), pages 572-576. ACM, New York, 1999.
18 Anael Grandjean, Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus, and Pascal Vanier. Aperiodic point in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-subshifts. In 45 th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, volume 107 of LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform., pages Art. No. 128, 13. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2018.
19 Rachel Greenfeld and Terence Tao. Undecidability of translational monotilings. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09504, 2023.
20 Ju. Š. Gurevič and I. O. Korjakov. A remark on R. Berger's work on the domino problem. Sibirsk. Mat. Ž., 13:459-463, 1972.

21 David Harel. Recurring dominoes: making the highly undecidable highly understandable. In Topics in the theory of computation (Borgholm, 1983), volume 102 of North-Holland Math. Stud., pages 51-71. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
22 David Harel. Effective transformations on infinite trees, with applications to high undecidability, dominoes, and fairness. J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 33(1):224-248, 1986. doi:10.1145/4904. 4993.

23 Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus, Victor H. Lutfalla, and Camille Noûs. The Domino problem is undecidable on every rhombus subshift. In Developments in language theory, volume 13911 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 100-112. Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-33264-7_9.
24 Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus and Hugo Maturana Cornejo. Necessary conditions for tiling finitely generated amenable groups. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 40(4):2335-2346, 2020. doi:10.3934/dcds. 2020116.
25 Emmanuel Jeandel. The periodic domino problem revisited. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 411(44-46):4010-4016, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2010.08.017.

26 Emmanuel Jeandel. Aperiodic subshifts on polycyclic groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.02360, 2015.

27 Emmanuel Jeandel. Translation-like actions and aperiodic subshifts on groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.06419, 2015.
28 Emmanuel Jeandel and Pascal Vanier. The undecidability of the Domino Problem. In Substitution and tiling dynamics: introduction to self-inducing structures, volume 2273 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 293-357. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-57666-0_6.
29 A. S. Kahr, Edward F. Moore, and Hao Wang. Entscheidungsproblem reduced to the $\forall \exists \forall$ case. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 48:365-377, 1962. doi:10.1073/pnas.48.3.365.
30 Jarkko Kari. Reversibility of 2d cellular automata is undecidable. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 45(1-3):379-385, 1990. doi:10.1016/0167-2789 (90) 90195-U.
31 Jarkko Kari. Reversibility and surjectivity problems of cellular automata. J. Comput. System Sci., 48(1):149-182, 1994. doi:10.1016/S0022-0000(05)80025-X.
32 Dietrich Kuske and Markus Lohrey. Logical aspects of Cayley-graphs: the group case. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 131(1-3):263-286, 2005. doi:10.1016/j.apal.2004.06.002.
33 Douglas Lind and Brian Marcus. An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2021. doi: 10.1017/9781108899727.

34 Markus Lohrey. Subgroup membership in GL(2,Z). Theory of Computing Systems, pages 1-26, 2023.

35 Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. Combinatorial group theory, volume Band 89 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
36 K. A. Mihay̆lova. The occurrence problem for free products of groups. Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 75(117):199-210, 1968.
37 David E. Muller and Paul E. Schupp. The theory of ends, pushdown automata, and secondorder logic. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 37(1):51-75, 1985. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(85)90087-8.
38 Alexei Myasnikov, Andrey Nikolaev, and Alexander Ushakov. The Post correspondence problem in groups. Journal of Group Theory, 17(6):991-1008, 2014.
39 D Myers. Decidability of the tiling connectivity problem. abstract 79t-e42. Notices Amer. Math. Soc, 195(26):177-209, 1979.
40 Volodymyr Nekrashevych and Gábor Pete. Scale-invariant groups. Groups Geom. Dyn., 5(1):139-167, 2011. doi:10.4171/GGD/119.
41 Steven T. Piantadosi. Symbolic dynamics on free groups. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., $20(3): 725-738,2008$. doi:10.3934/dcds.2008.20.725.
42 Yo'av Rieck. Strongly aperiodic SFTs on hyperbolic groups: where to find them and why we love them. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00212, 2022.

43 E. Rips. Subgroups of small cancellation groups. Bull. London Math. Soc., 14(1):45-47, 1982. doi:10.1112/blms/14.1.45.
44 Neil Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. V. Excluding a planar graph. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 41(1):92-114, 1986. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(86)90030-4.
45 Hartley Rogers, Jr. Theory of recursive functions and effective computability. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto-London, 1967.
46 Peter van Emde Boas. The convenience of tilings. In Complexity, logic, and recursion theory, volume 187 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., pages 331-363. Dekker, New York, 1997.

47 Hao Wang. Proving theorems by pattern recognition-II. Bell system technical journal, 40(1):1-41, 1961.


[^0]:    1 This is also known as condition ( $\star$ ) in [24]

