

# Volume 10 | Issue 1 | July 2024

Special Issue of the 36th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems (ECRTS 2024)

Matthias Becker
Catherine E. Nemitz

#### ISSN 2509-8195

DARTS Special Issue Editors

#### Matthias Becker



KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden mabecker@kth.se

#### Catherine E. Nemitz



Davidson College, NC, USA canemitz@davidson.edu

ACM Classification 2012 Software and its engineering

Published online and open access by Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany. Online available at

https://drops.dagstuhl.de/darts.

Publication date July 2024

#### License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0): https: //creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0.



In brief, this license authorizes each and everybody to share (to copy, dis-

tribute and transmit) the work under the following conditions, without impairing or restricting the authors' moral rights:

Attribution: The work must be attributed to its authors.

The copyright is retained by the corresponding authors.

#### Aims and Scope

The Dagstuhl Artifacts Series (DARTS) publishes evaluated research data and artifacts in all areas of computer science. An artifact can be any kind of content related to computer science research, e.g., experimental data, source code, virtual machines containing a complete setup, test suites, or tools.

Contact Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik DARTS, Editorial Office Oktavie-Allee, 66687 Wadern, Germany publishing@dagstuhl.de

https://www.dagstuhl.de/darts

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/DARTS.10.1.0

# Contents

Artifact Evaluation Process	
Matthias Becker and Catherine E. Nemitz	0:vii
Artifact Evaluation Committee	
	0:ix
Artifacts	
Predictable GPU Sharing in Component-Based Real-Time Systems (Artifact)  Syed W. Ali, Zelin Tong, Joseph Goh, and James H. Anderson	1:1-1:5
Crêpe: Clock Reconfigurability for Preemption Control (Artifact)  Eva Dengler and Peter Wägemann	2:1-2:3
Autonomy Today: Many Delay-Prone Black Boxes (Artifact)  Sizhe Liu, Rohan Wagle, James H. Anderson, Ming Yang, Chi Zhang, and Yunhua Li	3:1-3:3
The Omnivisor: A real-time static partitioning hypervisor extension for heterogeneous core virtualization over MPSoCs (Artifact)	
Daniele Ottaviano, Francesco Ciraolo, Renato Mancuso, and Marcello Cinque	4:1-4:7

### Artifact Evaluation Process

The ECRTS Artifact Evaluation (AE) process takes place after the paper decisions have been finalized. We seek to achieve the benefits of the AE process without disturbing the current process through which ECRTS has generated high-quality programs in the past. Therefore, the current submission, review, and acceptance procedure are completely unaltered by the decision to run an AE process.

Once acceptance decisions are final, the authors of accepted papers are invited to submit an artifact evaluation (or replication) package. Hence, the repeatability evaluation process has no impact on whether a paper is accepted at ECRTS, and will be entirely optional and up to authors. Moreover, there is no disclosure of the title and authors of papers which would not pass the repeatability evaluation. This is to avoid negative bias towards submitting their artifact on the authors' part. Once authors who desire to do so have submitted their artifacts, an Artifact Evaluation Committee (AEC) composed mainly of PhD students close to graduation, postdocs, and young researchers evaluates the artifacts.

Artifacts should include two components:

- a document explaining how to use the artifact and which of the experiments presented in the paper are repeatable (with reference to specific digits, figures, and tables in the paper), the system requirements and instructions for installing and using the artifact;
- the software and any accompanying data.

During the first week, all the evaluators check that they can run the code of artifacts assigned to them, without problems. In case of problems, these are promptly (and anonymously) reported to the authors of the artifact that can help fixing them. The total evaluation period is 2.5 weeks. After the review period, a brief online discussion takes place if/when necessary and notifications are sent to authors.

## Artifact Evaluation Committee

Tanya Amert
Carleton University
Northfield, United States of America
tamert@carleton.edu

Jatin Arora CISTER, ISEP, Polytechnic Institute of Porto Porto, Portugal jatin@isep.ipp.pt

Joshua Bakita University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Chapel Hill, United States of America jbakita@cs.unc.edu

Daniel Casini Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna Pisa, Italy daniel.casini@santannapisa.it

Kuan-Hsun Chen University of Twente Twente, Netherlands k.h.chen@utwente.nl

Xiaotian Dai University of York York, United Kingdom xiaotian.dai@york.ac.uk

Zheng Dong Wayne State University Detroit, United States of America dong@wayne.edu

Brian Donyanavard San Diego State University San Diego, United States of America bdonyanavard@sdsu.edu

Anna Friebe Mälardalen University Västerås, Sweden anna.friebe@mdh.se

Mario Günzel
TU Dortmund University
Dortmund, Germany
mario.guenzel@tu-dortmund.de

Seonyeong Heo Kyung Hee University Yongin, South Korea seonyeong.heo@khu.ac.kr

Sims Osborne Elon University Elon, United States of America sosborne3@elon.edu

Luigi Pannoci Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna Pisa, Italy luigi.pannocchi@santannapisa.it

Romaric Pegdwende Nikiema University of Rennes/INRIA Rennes, France pegdwende.nikiema@inria.fr

Marion Sudvarg Washington University in St. Louis St. Louis, United States of America msudvarg@wustl.edu

Corey Tessler University of Nevada Las Vegas Las Vegas, United States of America corey.tessler@unlv.edu

36th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems (ECRTS 2024).
Editors: Matthias Becker and Catherine E. Nemitz

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series, Vol. 10, Issue 1, Artifact No. 0, pp. 0:ix-0:x

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series

ARTIFACTS SERIES

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany