



DAGSTUHL
ARTIFACTS SERIES

Volume 10 | Issue 2 | September, 2024

Special Issue of the 38th European Conference on Object-Oriented
Programming (ECOOP 2024)

Edited by

Karine Even-Mendoza

Raphaël Monat

ISSN 2509-8195

DARTS Special Issue Editors

Karine Even-Mendoza 

King's College London, UK
karine.even_mendoza@kcl.ac.uk

Raphaël Monat 

Inria & University of Lille, France
raphael.monat@inria.fr

ACM Classification 2012

Software and its engineering

Published online and open access by

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik
GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing, Saarbrücken/Wadern,
Germany.

Online available at

<https://drops.dagstuhl.de/darts>.

Publication date

September, 2024

License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0): <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>.



In brief, this license authorizes each and everybody to share (to copy, distribute and transmit) the work under the following conditions, without impairing or restricting the authors' moral rights:

- Attribution: The work must be attributed to its authors.

The copyright is retained by the corresponding authors.

Aims and Scope

The Dagstuhl Artifacts Series (DARTS) publishes evaluated research data and artifacts in all areas of computer science. An artifact can be any kind of content related to computer science research, e.g., experimental data, source code, virtual machines containing a complete setup, test suites, or tools.

Contact

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik
DARTS, Editorial Office
Oktavie-Allee, 66687 Wadern, Germany
publishing@dagstuhl.de

<https://www.dagstuhl.de/darts>

Digital Object Identifier

10.4230/DARTS.10.2.0

■ Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| Preface | |
| <i>Karine Even-Mendoza and Raphaël Monat</i> | 0:vii |
| Artifact Evaluation Process | |
| | 0:ix |
| Artifact Evaluation Committee | |
| | 0:xi |

Artifacts

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Runtime Instrumentation for Reactive Components (Artifact) | |
| <i>Luca Aceto, Duncan Paul Attard, Adrian Francalanza, and Anna Ingólfssdóttir</i> | 1:1–1:4 |
| Dynamically Generating Callback Summaries for Enhancing Static Analysis (Artifact) | |
| <i>Steven Arzt, Marc Miltenberger, and Julius Näumann</i> | 2:1–2:5 |
| A Language-Based Version Control System for Python (Artifact) | |
| <i>Luís Carvalho and João Costa Seco</i> | 3:1–3:2 |
| Regrading Policies for Flexible Information Flow Control in Session-Typed Concurrency (Artifact) | |
| <i>Farzaneh Derakhshan, Stephanie Balzer, and Yue Yao</i> | 4:1–4:3 |
| Mutation-Based Lifted Repair of Software Product Lines (Artifact) | |
| <i>Aleksandar S. Dimovski</i> | 5:1–5:5 |
| Pure Methods for roDOT (Artifact) | |
| <i>Vlastimil Dort, Yufeng Li, Ondřej Lhoták, and Pavel Parížek</i> | 6:1–6:8 |
| Rose: Composable Autodiff for the Interactive Web (Artifact) | |
| <i>Sam Estep, Wode Ni, Raven Rothkopf, and Joshua Sunshine</i> | 7:1–7:4 |
| Fair Join Pattern Matching for Actors (Artifact) | |
| <i>Philipp Haller, Ayman Hussein, Hernán Melgratti, Alceste Scalas, and Emilio Tuosto</i> | 8:1–8:3 |
| Constrictor: Immutability as a Design Concept (Artifact) | |
| <i>Elad Kinsbruner, Shachar Itzhaky, and Hila Peleg</i> | 9:1–9:4 |
| Fearless Asynchronous Communications with Timed Session Types in RUST (Artifact) | |
| <i>Nicolas Laguardie, Ping Hou, and Nobuko Yoshida</i> | 10:1–10:3 |
| InferType: A Compiler Toolkit for Implementing Efficient Constraint-Based Type Inference (Artifact) | |
| <i>Senxi Li, Tetsuro Yamazaki, and Shigeru Chiba</i> | 11:1–11:2 |
| Qafny: A Quantum-Program Verifier (Artifact) | |
| <i>Liyi Li, Mingwei Zhu, Rance Cleaveland, Alexander Nicolellis, Yi Lee, Le Chang, and Xiaodi Wu</i> | 12:1–12:2 |

38th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2024).

Editors: Karine Even-Mendoza and Raphaël Monat

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series, Vol. 10, Issue 2, Artifact No. 0, pp. 0:v–0:xii



DAGSTUHL

ARTIFACTS SERIES

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

| | |
|---|------------|
| Compositional Symbolic Execution for Correctness and Incorrectness Reasoning (Artifact) | |
| <i>Andreas Lööw, Daniele Nantes-Sobrinho, Sacha-Élie Ayoun, Caroline Cronjäger, Nat Karmios, Petar Maksimović, and Philippa Gardner</i> | 13:1–13:2 |
| Generalizing Shape Analysis with Gradual Types (Artifact) | |
| <i>Zeina Migeed and Jens Palsberg</i> | 14:1–14:2 |
| Verifying Lock-Free Search Structure Templates (Artifact) | |
| <i>Nisarg Patel, Dennis Shasha, and Thomas Wies</i> | 15:1–15:2 |
| Ozone: Fully Out-of-Order Choreographies (Artifact) | |
| <i>Dan Plyukhin, Marco Peressotti, and Fabrizio Montesi</i> | 16:1–16:2 |
| Tenspiler: A Verified-Lifting-Based Compiler for Tensor Operations (Artifact) | |
| <i>Jie Qiu, Colin Cai, Sahil Bhatia, Niranjan Hasabnis, Sanjit A. Seshia, and Alvin Cheung</i> | 17:1–17:2 |
| Compiling with Arrays (Artifact) | |
| <i>David Richter, Timon Böhler, Pascal Weisenburger, and Mira Mezini</i> | 18:1–18:7 |
| Pipit on the Post: Proving Pre- and Post-Conditions of Reactive Systems (Artifact) | |
| <i>Amos Robinson and Alex Potanin</i> | 19:1–19:2 |
| Java Bytecode Normalization for Code Similarity Analysis (Artifact) | |
| <i>Stefan Schott, Serena Elisa Ponta, Wolfram Fischer, Jonas Klauke, and Eric Bodden</i> | 20:1–20:3 |
| Optimizing Layout of Recursive Datatypes with Marmoset (Artifact) | |
| <i>Vidush Singhal, Chaitanya Koparkar, Joseph Zullo, Artem Pelenitsyn, Michael Vollmer, Mike Rainey, Ryan Newton, and Milind Kulkarni</i> | 21:1–21:10 |
| Formalizing, Mechanizing, and Verifying Class-Based Refinement Types (Artifact) | |
| <i>Ke Sun, Di Wang, Sheng Chen, Meng Wang, and Dan Hao</i> | 22:1–22:3 |
| Refinements for Multiparty Message-Passing Protocols: Specification-Agnostic Theory and Implementation (Artifact) | |
| <i>Martin Vassor and Nobuko Yoshida</i> | 23:1–23:5 |
| Type Tailoring (Artifact) | |
| <i>Ashton Wiersdorf, Stephen Chang, Matthias Felleisen, and Ben Greenman</i> | 24:1–24:2 |
| Higher-Order Specifications for Deductive Synthesis of Programs with Pointers (Artifact) | |
| <i>David Young, Ziyi Yang, Ilya Sergey, and Alex Potanin</i> | 25:1–25:2 |
| CtChecker: A Precise, Sound and Efficient Static Analysis for Constant-Time Programming (Artifact) | |
| <i>Quan Zhou, Sixuan Dang, and Danfeng Zhang</i> | 26:1–26:5 |
| Defining Name Accessibility Using Scope Graphs (Artifact) | |
| <i>Aron Zwaan and Casper Bach Poulsen</i> | 27:1–27:3 |

■ Preface

ECOOP has a long-standing tradition of offering artifact evaluation dating back to 2013. Following the process introduced in 2022, the artifact evaluation involved every single paper submission to ECOOP 2024, rather than just accepted papers. As such, it happened in parallel with the paper review process. This approach has two benefits: all authors who submitted an artifact received feedback (independently from paper acceptance), and evaluation results were made available to the reviewers of the papers. In addition, senior artifact evaluation committee members (representing half of the members) contributed to an average of 2 paper reviews to the technical research track as members of the extended review committee, improving the information sharing between the two processes. Artifact submissions could, thus, provide more insights into the technical contributions described in the papers and help to improve the overall review process.

To handle the high review load that such a process entails, we recruited a large artifact evaluation committee that included a total of 61 artifact reviewers. The artifact submissions were due around one week after the paper deadline, for both submission rounds of ECOOP. We received a total of 64 submissions (41 for R1 and 23 for R2). After a kick-the-tires review and author response phase, during which authors had the opportunity to clarify or address technical issues with their submissions, each submitted artifact was reviewed by three committee members.

We have followed ACM's badging policy¹ since 2023; details about the evaluation process are provided in the next chapter. Out of the 64 submissions, the artifact evaluation committee awarded the highest qualification (available, functional and reusable badges) to 19 artifacts, the available and functional badges to 18 artifacts, the available badge to 23 artifacts. Out of those 64 submissions, 30 associated with papers accepted for presentation at ECOOP 2024.

The smooth and thorough artifact evaluation process would have not been possible without the members of the committee, who handled the artifact review workload and contributed to the technical PC discussions with great dedication. We would like to thank them for their valuable work, feedback to authors and the inspiring discussions! We would also like to thank the ECOOP 2024 program committee chairs Guido Salvaneschi and Jonathan Aldrich for the pleasant and productive interactions over the coordination of the paper and artifact review processes, and the Dagstuhl Publishing team for their proactive and highly responsive assistance during the preparation of this DARTS volume.

Karine Even-Mendoza
King's College London

Raphaël Monat
Inria Lille & University of Lille

Artifact Evaluation Co-chairs

¹ <https://www.acm.org/publications/policies/artifact-review-and-badging-current>

■ Artifact Evaluation Process

With ECOOP’s long standing tradition of artifact evaluations, the artifact review process for ECOOP 2024 builds on a wealth of experiences and insights from previous years and adopts concepts that have proven successful, such as the ‘kick-the-tires’ review phase and the adoption of the ACM’s badging scheme.

In order to streamline and reduce the variance of the artifact review process, we asked authors to fill a detailed submission form², explicitly listing all experimental claims from their paper alongside steps to reproduce those claims. We also provided the artifact evaluation committee with a detailed reviewing template³.

Selecting the Committee

We distributed and publicized a self-application form to select artifact evaluation committee members. In general, members ranged from starting a PhD to having a few years of experience as postdocs or being industry practitioners. Most members we selected had already experience in performing artifact evaluation. We ensured the committee was diverse, both in terms of expertise, gender and geographical location. In particular, we made sure our committee would have a wide range of expertise addressing all potential topics related to ECOOP.

Badges

We wish to highlight that for an artifact to be granted the “functional” badge, the ACM policy requires it to be “Complete [meaning that] to the extent possible, all components relevant to the paper in question are included”. As chairs, we have decided to enforce this constraint for ECOOP 2024. In our experience, this is a stricter interpretation than most other artifact evaluation committees. We hope that this move – following ACM’s policy – will raise the bar and overall improve the quality of artifacts.

² <https://zenodo.org/records/10462431>

³ <https://zenodo.org/records/10462437>

■ Artifact Evaluation Committee

Aditya Anand
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Jérôme Boillot
École Normale Supérieure, PSL University &
INRIA

Yuandao Cai
Hong Kong University of Science and
Technology

Kevin Cheang
Amazon Web Services

Yanju Chen
University of California at Santa Barbara

Cheng-En Chuang
University at Buffalo

Aidan Dakhama
King's College London

Alain Delaët
INRIA, ENS Lyon

Greta Dolcetti
Ca' Foscari University of Venice

Aoyang Fang
Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yuzhou Fang
University of Southern California

Sophie Fortz
King's College London

Yujiang Gui
UNSW

Yu Hao
University of California at Riverside

Dongjie He
Chongqing University

Ningyu He
Peking University

Jingmei Hu
Amazon

Xuejing Huang
University of Hong Kong

Grant Iraci
University at Buffalo

Kadiray Karakaya
Heinz Nixdorf Institut, Paderborn University

Sven Keidel
TU Darmstadt

David Kelly
University College London

Elad Kinsbruner
Technion

Dimitri Kokkonis
CEA LIST

Van-Hoang Le
The University of Newcastle

Seongmin Lee
Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy

Chengwei Liu
Nanyang Technological University

Zeyan Liu
University of Kansas

Daniel Marshall
University of Kent

Denis Mazzucato
INRIA & École Normale Supérieure

Marco Milanese
Sorbonne University

Ashish Mishra
Purdue University

Austin Mordahl
University of Texas at Dallas

Malte Mues
TU Dortmund University

Nuntipat Narkthong
Northeastern University

Luca Olivieri
Ca' Foscari University of Venice

38th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2024).

Editors: Karine Even-Mendoza and Raphaël Monat

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series, Vol. 10, Issue 2, Artifact No. 0, pp. 0:xi–0:xii



DAGSTUHL

ARTIFACTS SERIES

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

0:xii Committee

Abhinandan Pal
University of Birmingham

Kai-Oliver Prott
University of Kiel

Yuxin Qiu
University of California at Riverside

Divya Rathore
Indian Institute of Technology

Baber Rehman
The University of Hong Kong

David Richter
Technical University of Darmstadt

Neea Rusch
Augusta University

Simmo Saan
University of Tartu

Larisa Safina
INRIA Lille - Nord Europe

Philipp Schröer
RWTH Aachen University

Arindam Sharma
Imperial College London

Rob Sison
UNSW Sydney

Yaozhu Sun
University of Hong Kong

Jinhao Tan
University of Hong Kong

Yudai Tanabe
Tokyo Institute of Technology

Michelle Thalakottur
Northeastern University

Milla Valnet
Sorbonne Université

Guancheng Wang
University of Ottawa

Shiwei Weng
Johns Hopkins University

Ming Yan
Tianjin University

Elaine Yao
University of British Columbia

Zenong Zhang
The University of Texas

Yingquan Zhao
Tianjin University

Yi Zhou
Carnegie Mellon University

Tejaswi Agarwal
Uber Technologies