Reusing Caches and Invariants for Efficient and Sound Incremental Static Analysis (Artifact)

Mamy Razafintsialonina ⊠®

Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, Palaiseau, France Sorbonne Université, CNRS, LIP6, Paris, France

Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, Palaiseau, France

Valentin Perrelle ⊠ ©

Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, Palaiseau, France

— Abstract

Static analysis by means of abstract interpretation is a tool of choice for proving absence of some classes of errors, typically undefined behaviors in code, in a sound way. However, static analysis tools are hardly integrated in CI/CD processes. One of the main reasons is that they are still time- and memory-expensive to apply after every single patch when developing a program. For solving this issue, incremental static analysis helps developers quickly obtain analysis results after making changes to a program. However, existing approaches are often not guaranteed to be sound, limited to specific analyses, or tied to specific tools. This limits their generalizability and applicability in practice, especially

for large and critical software. In this paper, we propose a generic, sound approach to incremental static analysis that is applicable to any abstract interpreter. Our approach leverages the similarity between two versions of a program to soundly reuse previously computed analysis results. We introduce novel methods for summarizing functions and reusing loop invariants. They significantly reduce the cost of reanalysis, while maintaining soundness and a high level of precision. We have formalized our approach, proved it sound, implemented it in Eva, the abstract interpreter of Frama-C, and evaluated it on a set of real-world commits of open-source programs.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Program reasoning; Software and its engineering \rightarrow Software verification and validation; Software and its engineering \rightarrow Formal methods; Software and its engineering \rightarrow Software notations and tools

Keywords and phrases Abstract Interpretation, Static Analysis, Incremental Analysis

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/DARTS.11.2.15

Funding This work was funded by project ANR-22-PECY0005 "Secureval" managed by the French National Research Agency for France 2030.

Acknowledgements We thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback, which improved the final version of the artifact.

Related Article Mamy Razafintsialonina, David Bühler, Antoine Miné, Valentin Perrelle, and Julien Signoles, "Reusing Caches and Invariants for Efficient and Sound Incremental Static Analysis", in 39th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2025), LIPIcs, Vol. 333, pp. 28:1–28:29, 2025. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ECOOP.2025.28

Related Conference 39th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2025), June 30–July 2, 2025, Bergen, Norway

Evaluation Policy The artifact has been evaluated as described in the ECOOP 2025 Call for Artifacts and the ACM Artifact Review and Badging Policy.





1 Scope

Overview: What does the artifact comprise?

The artifact provides a self-contained Docker image to reproduce the experimental results presented in the related paper. It includes the implementation of the incremental static analysis approaches within the Eva plugin of Frama-C, the benchmark programs (PolarSSL, Chrony and Monocypher), and the scripts to run the analyses and generate the result figures and tables.

The artifact is intended to back the experimental claims made in the paper, regarding the performance (time efficiency, memory and disk usage, iteration count, cache loading and program differencing time) and precision (number of alarms) of the proposed approaches compared to full analysis. Specifically, it generates the data supporting Figures 8 and 9, and Table 3 of the paper.

2 Content

The artifact's container includes the following under /workspace:

- frama-c (directory): Source code of Frama-C with the implemented approaches (already installed).
- polarssl|chrony|monocypher (directories): Contain configuration files (.frama-c), program source code (cprogram>), and the list of analyzed commits (applicable_commits.txt) for each benchmark program.
- **example** (directory): Contains a small example program to try out the incremental analysis.
- plots (directory): Output directory for generated figures and tables (mounted to the host machine). Contains pdf and png files.
- **results** (directory): Output directory for raw benchmark data (mounted to the host machine).
- run_short.sh (script): Runs a quick "kick-the-tires" benchmark using 2 commits of Monocypher.
- run_all.sh (script): Runs the full benchmarking process for all programs and commits.
- benchmark.py (script): Main script orchestrating the benchmarking process (preparation, running analyses, and saving results).
- reset.sh (script): Cleans output directories.
- plot.py (script): Processes raw results and generates plots and tables.

3 Getting the artifact

Download: Link https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15516456.

Important Note on Commands: To avoid potential issues with hidden characters or formatting when copying from a PDF, it is strongly recommended to **type the commands manually** into your terminal rather than using copy-paste. Alternatively, a README.md file is included within the artifact/Docker image for copying commands directly.

Installation: Import the Docker image using:

```
$ docker load -i frama-c-incremental.local.tar
```

Execution: Run commands inside an empty directory to easily access results mounted from the container.

Kick-the-tires phase (\sim 15 minutes)

```
$ docker run -it --name frama-c-bench-short \
  -v "$PWD/plots:/workspace/plots" \
  -v "$PWD/results:/workspace/results" \
  frama-c-incremental:local /bin/bash /workspace/run_short.sh
```

Full benchmark (\sim 20 hours)

```
$ docker run -it --name frama-c-bench-all \
   -v "$PWD/plots:/workspace/plots" \
   -v "$PWD/results:/workspace/results" \
   frama-c-incremental:local /bin/bash /workspace/run_all.sh
```

Scaled-down benchmark (\sim N/A) (only 30 commits per program)

```
$ docker run -it --name frama-c-bench-partial \
   -v "$PWD/plots:/workspace/plots" \
   -v "$PWD/results:/workspace/results" \
   frama-c-incremental:local /bin/bash /workspace/run_partial.sh
```

Cleaning up

Output files in plots and results will be owned by root due to Docker mounting; use root privileges (sudo rm -rf plots results) to delete them.

4 Tested platforms

Hardware requirements: Recommend >= 16GB RAM, >= 6 performance cores and a single thread rating >= 2500 on PassMark CPU benchmark (https://www.cpubenchmark.net/), and >= 200GB disk space. Settings N1, N2, I3 require 4 threads; I1, I2, I4 are not parallelizable. Running on lower-end hardware is possible, but we recommend using the scaled-down version of the benchmarks.

Tested systems:

- Platform 1: Manjaro Linux (Kernel 6.6.80), Intel Core i7-12800H (14c/20t), 64GB RAM, 2TB NVMe SSD, Docker 28.0.0.
- Platform 2: Ubuntu 24.04.2 LTS (Kernel 6.11.0), Intel Core i5-12400F (6c/12t), 16GB RAM, 1TB NVMe SSD, Docker 28.0.1.

Docker base image: Debian 12 (Bookworm).

5 License

The artifact is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International license (CC BY-SA 4.0).

6 MD5 sum of the artifact

0ced0251ab667ebd91be0ebaabfc15d2

7 Size of the artifact

2.0 GiB

A Claims

We claim the **functional badge** for the artifact. All data supporting the claims (specifically Figure 8, Figure 9, and Table 3) are **automatically generated** by plot.py into the plots directory after running the benchmark scripts.

- Figure 8: plots//box-plot.png
- Figure 9: plots//scatter-plot.png
- Table 3: plots//summary-table.png

B Try it out

You can experiment with incremental analysis using an example project. To start, run the following command:

```
$ docker run -it --rm \
frama-c-incremental:local /bin/bash
```

This command launches a Docker container with Frama-C pre-installed. Inside the container, you can find an example project located at /workspace/example.

Step 1: Run a Full Analysis

Inside the container, run:

```
$ cd example
$ frama-c main.c -save frama.sav -eva -eva-statistics-file stats.
    full.csv
```

This will:

- Print the analysis results to stdout
- Save the analysis state to frama.sav
- Output statistics to stats.full.csv

The analysis should report a **true invalid memory access** alarm.

Step 2: Fix and Run Incremental Analysis

Apply the following patch (or edit the file yourself) to fix the alarm:

```
$ sed -i 's/i <= ARRAY_SIZE/i < ARRAY_SIZE/' main.c
$ frama-c main.c -eva -eva-load frama.sav -eva-statistics-file
    stats.incr.csv</pre>
```

This reuses the saved state and analyzes only what has changed.

Compare Full vs. Incremental Analysis

As the example is small, the incremental analysis may not show significant performance improvements on the analysis time. That's why we focus on the statistics files to evaluate the performance gain. To evaluate the efficiency of incremental analysis, compare:

- stats.full.csv
- stats.incr.csv

Focus on these key metrics:

- **total-iterations**: Total number of fixpoint iterations
- reused-widenings: Number of reused widenings from the previous run
- memexec-hits-summaries: Number of summaries reused

These metrics help quantify the performance gain provided by incremental analysis.