Visualization of Trajectories*

Stephen Borgatti¹, Ulrik Brandes², Michael Kaufmann³, Stephen Kobourov⁴, Anna Lubiw⁵, and Dorothea Wagner⁶

- Gatton College of Business, University of Kentucky, Dept of Management Lexington, KY 40506, USA sborgatti@uky.edu
- University of Konstanz, Department of Computer & Information Science D-78457 Konstanz, Germany

ulrik.brandes@uni-konstanz.de

Wilhelm-Schickard Institut f
ür Informatik, Arbeitsbereich f
ür Paralleles Rechnen Sand 14, D-72076 T
übingen, Germany

mk@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de

⁴ University of Arizona, Department of Computer Science 1040 E. Fourth St, Tucson, AZ 85721-0077, USA

kobourov@cs.arizona.edu

University of Waterloo, David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

alubiw@uwaterloo.ca

⁶ University Karlsruhe, Faculty of Informatics, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany wagner@ira.uka.de

Problem description

We considered the following problem: Given a set of vertices V and a set of paths P, where each path is a sequence of vertices, represent these paths somehow.

We explored representations in different dimensions and with different conditions on the paths.

1-D

In this case we want a linear order of V. Possible conditions on paths:

- (a) each path is increasing
- (b) each path is "monotone" i.e. either increasing or decreasing
- (c) each path is "unimodal" i.e. increasing for some prefix and decreasing for the rest. Note that the prefix or suffix may be empty, so a monotone path is unimodal.

^{*} Work on this problem began at Dagstuhl Seminar No. 08191 "Graph Drawing with Applications to Bioinformatics and Social Sciences", May 2008.

2 S. Borgatti, U. Brandes, M. Kaufmann, S. Kobourov, A. Lubiw, D. Wagner

2-D

In this case each vertex of V is represented as a point in the plane. Possible conditions on paths:

- (a) each path is increasing in x-order or increasing in y-order
- (b) each path is monotone in x-order or monotone in y-order
- (c) for each path there is some direction in which it is montone

Note that unimodal is also a possibility, but we didn't yet explore that far.

Results

1-D (a)

We include this case only to point out that it is just a question of whether the resulting directed edges form an acyclic graph.

1-D (b)

The decision version of this problem is NP-complete even for paths of lenghts two [J. Opatrny: Total ordering problems. SIAM J. Computing 8(1):111-114, 1979].

1-D (c)

This can be tested in linear time. We eliminate the points one by one, placing them from left to right. Suppose vertex set U remains; consider the subpaths induced by U. As the next vertex to eliminate, choose a vertex v of U such that no path uses v as an intermediate node. Paths may originate at v and may terminate at v.

Claim 1: We obtain a valid solution for U by adding v at the left of a valid solution for U-v. Proof. Adding a new origin/terminus at the left of a unimodal path yields a unimodal path.

Claim 2: If no such v exists, then there is no unimodal ordering. Proof. We prove the contrapositive: if there is a unimodal ordering then such a v always exists. Take a unimodal ordering and let v be the first vertex of U in this ordering.

2-D (a) (b)

We didn't have anything on these.

2-D (c)

Observation 1. If every path has at most 2 edges, then any non-degenerate placement of the points will do. Note that this extends to paths of 3 edges in 3-D.

Observation 2. A monotone path is non-self-intersecting. Thus, if there is a representation as monotone paths, then there is a simultaneous planar embedding. The converse is not true, but if can find a simultaneous planar embedding such that paths remain non-self intersecting even when edges are made longer, then this is a monotone embedding.

Observation 3. (c) is more general than (b): consider 3 points a, b, c and paths abc, bca, cab. By observation 1 any placement of points makes all paths monotone. However, if we are restricted to x and y directions of montonicity, then some 2 paths must share the x direction (say). But no two of those paths have a 1-D monotone ordering.

Other Variant

In 1-D an interesting variant is to allow clustering: group the points into clusters and find a linear order of clusters that makes the paths behave nicely if you ignore what a path does inside a cluster. I believe that the unimodal algorithm extends to the case where every cluster has some bounded size k: basically, instead of eliminating a single point, we consider eliminating a set of k points. Correctness should carry through, and the algorithm is poly time if k is fixed.