Analyzing various models of Circadian Clock and Cell Cycle coupling

(Extended Abstract)

```
P. Ballarini<sup>1</sup>, A. Csikász-Nagy<sup>1</sup>, A. Faure<sup>2</sup>, R. Larcher<sup>1</sup>, P. Lecca<sup>1</sup>, T. Mazza<sup>1</sup>, I. Mura<sup>1</sup>, F. Jordan<sup>1</sup>, A. Palmisano<sup>1</sup>, A. Romanel<sup>1*</sup>, S. Sedwards<sup>1</sup>, H. Siebert<sup>3</sup>, S. Soliman<sup>4</sup>, D. Thieffry<sup>2,4</sup>, J. Zámborszky<sup>1</sup>
```

CoSBi, Trento, Italy
TAGC-INSERM U928, Marseille, France
Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
INRIA, Paris-Rocquencourt, France

The daily rhythm can influence the proliferation rate of many cell types [1]. In the mammalian system the transcription of the cell cycle regulatory protein Wee1 is controlled by the circadian clock [2]. In [3], Zámborszky et al. present a computational model of the cell cycle and circadian rhythm coupling, showing that the coupling can lead to multimodal cell cycle time distributions. Biological data points to additional couplings, including a link back from the cell cycle to the circadian clock (e.g. [4]); this requires a more detailed description of both parts of the model. Hence, we main at further extending and analyzing the model presented in [3] using various modelling and computational techniques. First, we focus on the use of the CoSBi Lab¹, before taking advantage of two other modelling/simulation environments, namely BIOCHAM² and GINsim³ tools.

Following the ideas presented in [8, 5], we translate the ODEs coupled

^{*}Corresponding author (romanel@cosbi.eu)

¹http://www.cosbi.eu/index.php/home/239-cosbi-lab

²http://contraintes.inria.fr/BIOCHAM/

³http://gin.univ-mrs.fr/

model of the cell cycle and the circadian rhythm [3, 9] into BlenX [6, 7], a new stochastic programming language explicitly designed to represent biological entities and their interactions; the main difference w.r.t. the model presented in [3] is that our translated model is fully stochastic and discrete, i.e., deals with molecule numbers. We run 5000 stochastic simulations of the translated original model (OM) over different Mass Doubling Times (MDTs) of cell growth and observe the cell cycle time distribution, obtaining also in our case the multimodal distributions observed in [3]. We also analyze the simulation results using a method based on the Fourier analysis [10]. Analyses of the frequencies of the time courses of different species highlight the presence of multimodal cell cycle distributions and provide insights in the frequency components that characterize species time courses. Moreover, the comparison of Fourier analysis results for the coupled and uncoupled OM shows that the coupled OM is more noisy than the uncoupled one. This result holds for the comparison of the two models over different MDTs.

Next, we extend the OM model with a first unpacking of the mechanism regulating the phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein (Rb) and its inhibitory effect on the E2F transcriptional activation protein. In OM, this mechanism is implicitly described through a function used by the ODEs system. Following [11], we extend OM, obtaining an unpacked model (UM) in which this mechanism is explicitly described in terms of reactions. We run 5000 stochastic simulations over different Mass Doubling Times (MDTs) and observe the cell cycle time distribution. As expected, the results are in accordance (up-to noise) with the results of the OM. Also the Fourier analysis shows results similar to those obtained for the original model. Moreover, the comparison of the coupled OM and UM, over different MDTs, shows that the level of noise in the two models is equivalent. These results support the idea that the two models provides a trusty description of the same system at two different levels of abstractions. Moreover, this shows how the compositional nature of BlenX allows, in an effective way, the refinement of complex coarsegrain models.

In UM, the mechanism through which Rb is phosphorylated is still not completely unpacked. Indeed, as described in [12], Rb is subject to a multisite phosphorylation process that is not yet understood. In [12], different possible multisite phosphorylation scenarios are considered, each describing the effect of Cdk/cyclin complexes and their inhibition (through phosphorylation) of Rb activity using different mechanisms. Multisite phosphorylation is a source of combinatorial complexity, i.e., the number of combinations of

protein modifications tends to increase exponentially, and its modelling can be difficult or even impossible. Our BlenX model is general enough to cover, with simple modifications in the quantitative parameters, all the different multisite phosphorylation order scenarios presented in [12] (and even more). By providing an educated guessing of the missing quantitative parameters, we can cover different multisite phosphorylation mechanism through a function in UM. For example, this enables us to recover the nonlinear effect of Rb on the expression of E2F. More generally, this shows how BlenX can be use to cover, in a simple and effective way, scenarios governed by a combinatorial complexity.

As a further step, we try to extend the circadian rhythm model and fit it to experimental measurements. We add the transcription and degradation of BMAL1 mRNA and refine the model (following ideas presented in [15, 14]) by adding different direct feedbacks (a positive, a negative and a combination of them) from the translated BMAL1 on the transcription of its mRNA. Comparisons of the resulting models with the experimental data presented in [13] lead to inconsistencies in the oscillations of BMAL1 and its mRNA. Hence, we further try to infer reaction rates from the experimental data using KInfer [16], a tool for estimating rate constants of biochemical network models from concentration data measured, with error, at discrete time points. This analysis results in sets of parameters impeding oscillations. Consequently, we conclude that there is a disagreement between the model and the experiments. This leads us to look for other revision or extensions of our models, in particular by considering in the feedbacks effect of BMAL1 by the presence of intermediate proteins like ROR and RevErb[15, 14].

Still in the spirit of model extension, we initiate a network analysis of the OM. Using the STRING database [17], we build a protein-protein interaction network of our OM (25 nodes) and calculate measures such as the highest degree (connectedness) and highest betweenness (relative importance) of the proteins in the network, which further emphasize the importance of Wee1. We then extend the network including the proteins involved in the DNA damage pathway (29 nodes) and proteins connected with them, reaching a network with a size of 49 nodes. The highest betweenness of the resulting network suggests a possible direction for extending our OM by including p53 and CKll proteins. These proteins, indeed, result extremely important in the coupling between the cell cycle and the circadian clock [4].

Our work continues trying to integrate our CoSBi Lab with BIOCHAM

and GINsim tools. By exporting the BlenX model in SBML⁴ [18] we are able to provide an input file for BIOCHAM, thereby enabling the use of its model checking capabilities. BIOCHAM models are then exported, via the generation of an influence graph, in a format readable from the GINsim tool. This influence graph is finally processed to obtain a regulatory graph within GINsim, thereby enabling logical simulations and analyses of our model. Altogether, the different processing steps we consider in this work lead to the delineation of the following PIPELINE:

$$ODEs \rightarrow BlenX \rightarrow Reactions \rightarrow Logical regulatory graphs$$

This pipeline greatly eases reasoning on the same model from different perspectives, taking advantage of the complementary capabilities of different platforms. The analysis of the resulting Boolean model leads to novel insights and a better understanding of the gating mechanism (phase locking) emerging from the coupling of the cell cycle and the circadian clock.

The results of this work suggest directions for future experimental and computational investigations, in particular, the consideration of additional couplings (e.g. p21, M-phase transcription inhibition), and the use GINsim and BIOCHAM to design new experiments to run and analyse in the CoSBi Lab. At longer term, this model analysis could provide useful indications to define chrono-therapeutic protocols to treat diseases such as cancer [20].

References

- [1] Hunt T, Sassone-Corsi P. Riding tandem: circadian clocks and the cell cycle. Cell 129(3):461-464, 2007.
- [2] Matsuo T, Yamaguchi S, Mitsui S, Emi A, Shimoda F, and Okamura H. Control mechanism of the circadian clock for timing of cell division in vivo. *Science* 302:255-259, 2003.
- [3] Zámborszky J, Hong CI, and Csikász-Nagy A. Computational Analysis of Mammalian Cell Division Gated by a Circadian Clock: Quantized Cell Cycles and Cell Size. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 22:542-53, 2007.

 $^{^4}$ sbml.org

- [4] Oklejewicz M, Destici E, Tamanini F, Hut RA, Janssens R, and van der Horst GT. Phase resetting of the mammalian circadian clock by **DNA** damage Current Biology 18(4):286-91, 2008.
- [5] Calzone L, and Soliman S. Coupling the cell cycle and the circadian cycle. Research Report 5835, INRIA, February 2006.
- [6] Dematté L, Priami C, and Romanel A. **The BlenX Language: A Tutorial**. SFM 2008, LNCS 5016:313-365, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [7] Dematté L, Priami C, and Romanel A. **The Beta Workbench: a** computational tool to study the dynamics of biological systems. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 9(5):437-449, 2008.
- [8] Mura I, Palmisano A, and Priami C. From Odes to Language-based, executable models of Biological Systems. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing (PSB 2009)*, January, 2009.
- [9] Novák B, and Tyson JJ. Model for restriction point control of the mammalian cell cycle. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 230(4):563-579, 2004.
- [10] Sedwards S. Fourier analysis of stochastic simulations. TR-03-2009 CoSBi, 2009.
- [11] Conradie R, Bruggeman FJ, Ciliberto A, Csikász-Nagy A, Novák B, Westerhoff HV, and Snoep JL. Restriction Point Control of the Mammalian Cell Cycle via the Cyclin E/Cdk2:p27 Complex. Submitted 2008.
- [12] Mittnacht S. Control of pRB phosphorylation. Current Opinions in Genetics & Development 8:21-27, 1998.
- [13] Lee C, Etchegary J, Cagampang FRA, Loudon ASI, and Reppert SM. Posttranslational Mechanisms Regulate the Mammalian Circadian Clock. Cell 107:855-867, 2001.
- [14] Preitner N, Damiola F, Molina LL, Zakany Z, Duboule D, Albrecht U, and Schibler U. The Orphan Nuclear Receptor REV-ERB Controls Circadian Transcription within the Positive Limb of the Mammalian Circadian Oscillator. *Cell* 110:251-260, 2002.

- [15] Sato TK, Panda S, Miraglia LJ, Reyes TM, Rudic RD, McNamara P, Naik KA, FitzGerald GA, Kay SA, and Hogenesch JB. A Functional Genomics Strategy Reveals Rora as a Component of the Mammalian Circadian Clock Neuron 43:527-537, 2004.
- [16] Lecca P, Palmisano A, Priami C, Sanguinetti G. A new probabilistic generative model of parameter inference in biochemical networks. Proceedings of the 2009 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, 2009.
- [17] Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, Chaffron S, Creevey C, Muller J, Doerks T, Julien P, Roth A, Simonovic M, Bork P, von Mering C. STRING 8-a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. Nucleic Acids Res 37(Database issue):D412-D416, 2009.
- [18] Larcher R, Priami C. From BlenX to chemical reactions via SBML. TR-18-2008 CoSBi, 2008.
- [19] Faure A, Naldi A, Chaouiya C. and Thieffry D. Dynamical analysis of a generic Boolean model for the control of the mammalian cell cycle. *Bioinformatics* 22:124-131, 2006.
- [20] Levi F. Chronotherapeutics: the relevance of timing in cancer therapy. Cancer Causes Control 17:611-621, 2006.